August 06, 2015

Robert E. Feldman Executive Secretary Attention: Comments Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20429

> Re: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (RIN 3064–AE37)

Dear Mr. Feldman:

Equal Housing Lender A subsidiary of HF Financial Corp. NASDAQ: HFFC

Home Federal Bank is a community bank headquartered in Sioux Falls, SD. We have \$1,136,590,000 in assets and 27 branches. The second state of the

We welcome this opportunity to comment on the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) RIN 3064-AE37, which proposes changes to the FDIC's deposit insurance assessment regulation for small banks, that is to say banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. In short, the proposal would penalize small banks that use reciprocal deposits by, in effect, taxing them. Why does the FDIC propose this harsh treatment, treatment that is a complete reversal of current practice?

When the FDIC established, the current small bank assessment formula system in 2009, "it set as explicitly recognized that reciprocal deposits" "may be a more stable source of funding for a(a) healthy banks than other types of brokered deposits and that they may not be as readily used to fund rapid asset growth" (a a back of place of the place of the place) of the place of the

How? I have been sensed as the property of the sense of t

It excluded reciprocal deposits from the "adjusted brokered deposit fatio" that increases assessments on banks that rely on traditional brokered deposits for funding. It recognized that reciprocal deposits differed from traditional brokered deposits in a number of ways. Traditional

With so much to offer, learn what Home Federal can do for you.

1. 19 april 1

brokered deposits are "hot money" that flow from bank to bank in search of the highest interest rates in a national market. In contrast, reciprocal deposits typically come from a bank's local customers at local interest rates. We have found that once deposited the funds tend to stay in the bank; they are "sticky."

The proposed assessment system would no longer exclude reciprocal deposits from the definition of brokered deposits. It would fold reciprocal deposits in with traditional brokered deposits and other wholesale funding. The proposal gives no reason for doing so. It does not argue that reciprocal deposits are as risky as traditional brokered deposits, nor does it show data that reciprocal deposits increase the risk of loss to the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF).

Several post-crisis studies have, in fact, shown the opposite: reciprocal deposits did not increase risk of failure. Nor did they increase losses in the event of failure, as can collateralized funds.

It is easy to see why we as a community bank value reciprocal deposits. They enable us to retain our large-dollar depositors in the face of competition from the country's largest banks. Why would the FDIC want to penalize us for using them without even giving a reason? Hundreds of community banks would feel the burden of the unjustified tax on a stable, nonvolatile source of funding.

Wholesale funds can adjust to the new assessments by simply shifting prices downward. Reciprocal deposits, with rates based on local markets, cannot. Faced with the new tax the proposal would impose, community banks will lose their safe, stable, large-dollar deposits to the largest banks that can attract the funds without providing deposit insurance.

We urge you to retain the current system's exclusion of reciprocal deposits from the definition of "brokered" for assessment purposes.

Further, we strongly encourage the FDIC to support legislation to explicitly exempt reciprocal deposits from the statutory definition of brokered deposit as well.

Sincerely, Stephel

Steve Bianchi President & CEO

cc:

The Honorable John Thune 511 Dirksen Senate Office Building United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510 The Honorable Mike Rounds 502 Hart Senate Office Building United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Kristi Noem 2422 Rayburn House Office Building United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Martin J. Gruenberg Chairman Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th St., NW Washington, DC 20429