



[www.firstmnbank.com](http://www.firstmnbank.com)

**MINNETONKA**  
4625 County Road 101  
Minnetonka, MN 55345  
(952) 933-9550

**MOUND**  
5211 Shoreline Drive  
Mound, MN 55364  
(952) 345-6280

**EDINA**  
4018 W. 65th Street  
Suite 150  
Edina, MN 55435  
(952) 926-6000

**CHAMPLIN**  
11431 Jefferson Court N.  
Champlin, MN 55316  
(763) 488-1568

**MONTICELLO**  
106 East 4th Street  
Monticello, MN 55362  
(763) 271-7200

**BUFFALO**  
555 Highway 55 E.  
Buffalo, MN 55313  
(763) 682-0555

**LOAN PRODUCTION OFFICE  
WACONIA**  
44 West 1st Street  
Waconia, MN 55387  
(952) 442-9940

**MAYER**  
101 Ash Avenue N.  
Mayer, MN 55360  
(952) 657-2500

**GLENCOE**  
606 East 11th Street  
Glencoe, MN 55336  
(320) 864-3161

**HUTCHINSON**  
308 Main Street S.  
Hutchinson, MN 55350  
(320) 587-8800

**STEWART**  
737 Hall Street  
Stewart, MN 55385  
(320) 562-2553

October 19, 2012

Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary  
Board of Governors of the Federal  
Reserve System  
20th Street and Constitution Avenue,  
N.W. Washington, D.C. 20551

Office of the Comptroller of the  
Currency  
250 E Street, SW  
Mail Stop 2-3  
Washington, DC 20219

Robert E. Feldman  
Executive Secretary  
Attention: Comments/Legal ESS  
Federal Deposit Insurance  
Corporation,  
550 17th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20429

**Re: *Basel III Capital Proposals***

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the Basel III proposals<sup>1</sup> that were recently issued for public comment by the Federal Reserve Board, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Recognizing that this capital proposal represents one of the most important regulatory initiatives in recent years, it is critical that its effect on community banks is taken into account with extreme caution. As currently proposed, we at First Minnesota Bank believe the effect of the proposals will alter our business model, resulting in adverse consequences impacting our ability to serve our community and customers with the financial services and products that they have come to depend on in their daily lives.

First, the plan does not take into account the diverse universe of banks serving this Country's financial needs. Specifically, the proposal treats a multi-billion dollar organization the same as small community bank serving smaller communities throughout this Nation. And, as your own data supports, small community banks maintain capital levels far in excess of the larger multi-billion organizations.

There are three specific areas of the proposal that are especially troubling for First Minnesota Bank.

First, the inclusion of accumulated other comprehensive income in capital will result in increased volatility in our regulatory capital balances and will likely

have an adverse impact on our regulatory capital under certain economic conditions. With the current low interest rate environment, we believe the accumulated comprehensive income on our available for sale investment portfolio is not representative of our core capital position, and neither do we believe in an increasing interest rate environment that a negative comprehensive income position detracts from our core capital position. Adding this volatility to a community bank's core capital position will further stress a bank's ability to serve its community's financial needs.

Second, changing the methodology for assessing risk weighted assets will not only be a burden on a community bank in the form of investing significant resources to upgrade computer systems, but will also create ongoing operational cost to track mortgage loan to value ratios. Another major consideration is the additional capital requirements for certain products such as balloon payments in residential mortgages. Forcing banks to place higher reserves on such products are likely to have the adverse consequence such as community banks originating full term 15 or 30 year mortgages with durations that will make their balance sheets more sensitive to changes in long-term interest rates.

Finally, we object to the proposed ten year phase-out of the tier one treatment of certain capital instruments such as trust preferred securities (TRUPS). TRUPS have become a reliable source of core capital for community banks that have proven to be an effective tool in the overall capital planning for community banks. We are unaware of any situation where the presence of TRUPS in a community bank have created regulatory concern or problems, particularly where the level of TRUPS has been regulated and a cap of total amount permissible has been instituted.

In closing, we believe community banks should be permitted to continue using the current BASEL 1 framework for computing their capital requirements. Initially, Basel III was designed to apply to the largest, internationally active banks and NOT community banks. These large banks operate under a very different model and focus less on customer relationships that are the hallmark of community banking. This difference in banking models demonstrates the need to place higher capital standards on the Nation's largest banks, and continue with the more reasonable approach on the smaller community banks.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Lowell Wakefield". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Lowell Wakefield  
Chairman of the Board