



Subsidiary of First Region Bancshares, Inc.

315 Railroad Avenue
P. O. Box 1050
Richlands, Virginia 24641
Phone 276/963-0836
Fax 276/963-0029

October 4, 2012

Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve
System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20551

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
250 E Street, SW
Mail Stop 2-3
Washington, DC 20219

Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments/Legal ESS
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation,
550 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20429

Re: Basel III Capital Proposals

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment on the Basel III proposals¹ that were recently issued for public comment by the Federal Reserve Board, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Applicability of Basel III to Community Banks

I feel that community banks should be allowed to continue using the current Basel I framework for computing their capital requirements. Basel III was designed to apply to the largest, internationally active, banks and not community banks. First Sentinel Bank operates on a relationship-based business model that has served our customers well in Southwestern Virginia. This model contributes to the success of community banks all over the United States through practical, common sense approaches to managing risk. Since we know our customers on a more personal level we can adapt more easily to structure a loan request to meet their needs. This model works well for us in meeting the requirements for the Community Reinvestment Act. This difference in banking models demonstrates the need to place tougher capital standards exclusively on the largest banks to better manage the ability to absorb losses.

Incorporating AOCI as Part of Regulatory Capital

Inclusion of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in capital for community banks will result in increased volatility in regulatory capital balances and could rapidly deplete capital levels under certain economic conditions. When FASB 115 was enacted in the early nineties the AOCI was specifically excluded from the tier 1

¹ The proposals are titled: *Regulatory Capital Rules: Regulatory Capital, Implementation of Basel III, Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratios, Capital Adequacy, and Transition Provisions; Regulatory Capital Rules: Standardized Approach for Risk-weighted Assets; Market Discipline and Disclosure Requirements; and Regulatory Capital Rules: Advanced Approaches Risk-based Capital Rules: Market Risk Capital Rule.*

capital calculation due to the volatility of interest rates. The AOCI for most community banks represents unrealized gains and losses on investment securities held available-for-sale. Because these securities are held at fair value, any gains or losses due to changes in interest rates are captured in the valuation. Recently, both short-term and long-term interest rates have fallen to historic lows generating unprecedented unrealized gains for most investment securities. As interest rates rise, fair values will fall causing the balance of AOCI to decline and become negative. This decline will have a direct, immediate impact on common equity, tier 1, and total capital as the unrealized losses will reduce capital balances. Although First Sentinel Bank has a much smaller investment portfolio than most of community bank and will not at the present time create serious hardship for our bank. I do see a future potential problem in classifying future investment purchases. To avoid the mark to market of the available for sale securities, I would be more inclined to classify future purchases as held-to-maturity which will have a negative impact on the bank's liquidity. Large financial institutions have the ability to mitigate the risks of capital volatility by entering into qualifying hedge accounting relationships for financial accounting purposes with the use of interest rate derivatives like interest rate swap, option, and futures contracts. At First Sentinel Bank, we do not have the knowledge or expertise to engage in these transactions and manage their associated risks, costs, and barriers to entry. Community banks should continue to exclude AOCI from capital measures as they are currently required to do today.

Capital Conservation Buffers

Implementation of the capital conservation buffers for community banks will be difficult to achieve under the proposal and therefore should not be implemented. Community banks do not have ready access to capital that the larger banks have through the capital markets. The only way for community banks to increase capital is through the accumulation of retained earnings over time. Due to the current ultra low interest rate environment, community bank profitability has diminished further hampering their ability to grow capital. If the regulators are unwilling to exempt community banks from the capital conservation buffers, additional time should be allotted (at least five years beyond 2019) in order for those banks that need the additional capital to retain and accumulate earnings accordingly.

New Risk Weights

The proposed risk weight framework under Basel III is too complicated. This will penalize community banks and jeopardize the housing recovery. Increasing the risk weights for residential balloon loans, interest-only loans, and second liens will penalize our bank, First Sentinel Bank, as these are the loan products that we provide to our customers. By increasing the risk weighting this may deprive customers of many financing options for residential property. Additionally, higher risk weights for balloon loans which we use as a means to manage our interest rate sensitivity will further penalize community banks. Community banks will be forced to originate only 15 or 30 year mortgages with durations that will make their balance sheets more sensitive to changes in long-term interest rates. We saw the effects of placing the 30 year mortgages on the banks balance sheets during the early 1980's. Many community banks will either exit the residential loan market entirely or only originate those loans that can be sold to a GSE. Second liens will either become more expensive for borrowers or disappear altogether as banks will choose not to allocate additional capital to these balance sheet exposures. Community banks should be allowed to stay with the current Basel I risk weight framework for residential loans. Furthermore, community banks will be forced to make significant software upgrades and incur other operational costs to track mortgage loan-to-value ratios in order to determine the proper risk weight categories for mortgages.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments in the implementation of Basel III for community banks. Community banks are an important factor for the economic growth of rural America and I would strongly urge that this regulation is unnecessary in its present form.

Sincerely,



J. Robert Buchanan
President/CEO