



MECHANICS CO/OPERATIVE BANK

INCORPORATED IN 1877



September 16, 2006

Mr. Robert Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20429

Re: FDIC Assessments – RIN-3064-AD09

Dear Mr. Feldman,

Mechanics Co-operative Bank was established in 1877. It is a community bank that serves its market area through loans, deposits and volunteer efforts. I support this statement with our CRA rating of "Outstanding."

Senior management of the Bank has reviewed the proposed rules regarding FDIC assessments (RIN-3064-AD09). We generally support the use of both financial ratios and supervisory ratings to determine premium assessments but submit the following comments for your consideration:

Risk Weighting for 30-89 Day Delinquencies

It could be argued that loan delinquencies are double counted when determining a banks' deposit insurance assessment. They are a factor in both the financial ratios and in the "A" and "M" components of the overall CAMELS rating. This double counting could result in a bank being charged a higher deposit insurance risk weighted assessment than might otherwise be the case. We would, therefore, recommend that 30-89 delinquencies be excluded from the financial ratios or netted from the "A" and "M" CAMELS component of the risk weighting assessment.

We further note that a large portion of our assets is held in 1-4 family, owner-occupied mortgage loans. These assets are generally well secured and present reduced risk of insurance loss when compared to other types of loans. We strongly suggest that, at a minimum, 1-4 family, owner-occupied real estate loans with an 80% or less loan-to-value ratio be excluded from this risk-weighting factor. Please consider this change for all insured banks or at least for those banks under \$1 billion in asset size.



MECHANICS CO/OPERATIVE BANK

Camels Component Weightings

Both the capital and management elements have a weighting of 25%. However, the management rating in examinations contains a certain amount of subjectivity. Well-capitalized, community banks, while posing no significant risk to the insurance funds, sometimes find themselves with a lower management rating than they feel they may deserve. Capital is the most important defense against unexpected losses and we recommend that the capital weighting be increased to 35% and the management weighting be reduced to 15%.

The Minimum Assessment Rate

Based upon a preliminary analysis, most of our peer banks would be in Risk Category 1. Using your proposed formula, many of these should be assessed a risk-based premium of between 1 and 2 basis points, but their actual assessment is rounded up to 2 basis points. The banks that theoretically pose the least insurance risk shouldn't pay a higher price and we recommend that 1 basis point be used as a minimum for institutions in Risk Category 1.

The Maximum Assessment Rate (Alternative Proposal)

We remain concerned regarding examination subjectivity and the higher likelihood that a small community bank might receive an "M" component rating of "3", and yet not pose a high degree of insurance loss risk due to the conservative nature of its asset mix, operating profile and capital.

From the proposed formula, a .25 or .50 basis points higher assessment would already result in comparison to either a 2 or 1 "M" rating respectively. Therefore, we would recommend that an "M" component rating of 4 or higher be used before the maximum assessment rate is considered.

Term Deposit Certificates in excess of \$100,000

The inclusion of TDC's greater than \$100,000 in the volatile liability category should be reviewed. Term deposits are an important source of deposits for community thrift institutions such as Massachusetts co-operative banks. These TDC's are local deposits and tend to be very stable. Brokered deposits present much greater deposit volatility and potential insurance risk to the FDIC and they are usually structured with balances under \$100,000.

In the case of certain Massachusetts-chartered thrift banks, deposit balances in excess of FDIC limits are insured by our Share Insurance Fund (for co-operative banks). We recommend that term CD's at all state-chartered co-operative a banks in Massachusetts be excluded from the volatile liability category.



MECHANICS CO/OPERATIVE BANK

INCORPORATED IN 1877

Deposit Insurance Assessment and Reserve Ratio Replenishment

We believe that the legislative intent of Congress in providing the broader reserve ratio range for the FDIC was to allow for a gradual replenishment of the reserve ratio over time. We are concerned that some news article and comments by FDIC officials are suggesting that a higher average assessment rate may be considered for 2007 to more quickly restore the reserve ratio.

We recommend that the FDIC assess lower average annual premiums over a longer period of time. This should make the reinstatement of deposit insurance premiums more manageable for member banks and would seem to be in order given the low loss rates of recent years. Also, it would keep assessment credits from being used up too rapidly. At the time of assessment credit passage, assessment credits were expected to last for 2-4 years and not be wiped out by a single year's assessment. A fairer distribution to the free riders since 1997 should be developed and additional legislation may be necessary. We recommend that the FDIC work with bank trade associations to seek further legislation to increase the amount of assessment credit that pre-1997 insured banks might have available to offset any larger annual assessments.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the FDIC's proposal to revise the deposit insurance assessment system. It is a very important issue for our Bank and I thank you for considering my suggestions. If you have any questions about our comments, please don't hesitate to contact me directly at 508.884.2155.

Very truly yours,

Joseph T. Baptista Jr.
Executive Vice President
Chief Lending Officer