May 6, 2005

Mr. Robert E. Feldman<br>Executive Secretary<br>Attention: Comments<br>Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation<br>550 17 th Street N.W.<br>Washington, D.C. 20429



KIN 3064-AC89
Mr. Feldman:
We are a $\$ 278$ million bank (March 31, 2005) in Kankakee County, IllinoIs, one hour south of Chicago The majority of our loan portfolio (\$145 million) is in real estate mortgages I would like to relate some comments in connection with the latest regulatory proposal about the revisions to the small bank vs large bank CRA examinations We have always been examined under the small bank test and we will be examined under the large bank examination standards since we are now over $\$ 250$ million in assets.

The latest proposal does very little to ameliorate the situation regarding the difficulties we perceive with the Community Development Test under the examination standards being proposed. The Investment Test remains a burdensome standard to implement and I suspect to measure for our market. We have explored investment options for Kankakee County for CRA worthy investments and can find none. We have sought out the help of our correspondent banks and various professionals who provide no suggestions other than flat donations that do not seem to attain the original purpose

It seems more prudent to have the large-bank threshold raised to $\$ 1$ billion as originally proposed Rather than imposing costly burdensome requirements why not allow us to continue to do what we do best, that is to satisfy the many lending needs in our area for which include large and small borrowers with low to high income streams.

The new proposal only seems to add complexity to what is for us a level of examination that requires extra resources without producing benefits for those whom the regulation is designed to protect.


Merlin Karlock
President / Chairman of the Board

