To Whom it May Concern:

NeighborWorks Southern Colorado and subsidiary Community Development Financial Institute (CDFI), Southern Colorado Community Lending appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) regarding updating the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA). This NPR represents the most significant changes to the CRA regulation and exams in 27 years.

CRA has successfully leveraged loans, investments, and services. Between 2009 and 2020, banks have made more than $2.58 trillion in home loans to low- and moderate-income (LMI) borrowers or in LMI census tracts. They made $856 billion in loans to small businesses with revenues under $1 million. In Pueblo County, CO from 2009-2020 there was an investment of over $600 million in mortgage lending to LMI borrowers or in LMI census tracts, over $250 million in lending to businesses in LMO census tracts and over $250 million in lending to small businesses. It’s imperative that we build on this progress and address remaining disparities in lending through CRA reform.

The more rigorous CRA exams and ratings are the more effective CRA will be in bolstering bank reinvestment activity in underserved communities like the ones we serve. The agencies proposed important improvements in the CRA regulation including increasing the rigor of the subtests on the CRA exams and expanding geographical areas on CRA exams and collecting more data to scrutinize bank performance. However, they did not adequately address racial inequities.

CRA must explicitly consider bank activity by race and ethnicity

Although the CRA statute does not mention race, it does require banks to serve all communities. This provides room for the federal bank agencies to incorporate race in CRA exams. Persistent racial disparities in lending should compel the agencies to incorporate race and ethnicity in CRA exams. A recent national level analysis showed continuing disparities in loan denials by race, and when people of color do receive home loans their equity accumulation was less than white mortgage holders. In Pueblo County, both Black and Hispanic mortgage loan applicants were 1.6 times more likely to be denied than white applicants, and at an even more disproportionate rate by large mortgage lending corporations; for example, one of the largest mortgage lending corporations in the country denied Black applicants 8.4 times and Hispanic applicants 2.5 times more than white applicants in Pueblo County.
The agencies proposed to use the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data to produce exam tables that describe lending by race, but not to use the results of these analyses to influence a bank’s rating. In a paper co-authored by Reiman Colfax PLLC, NCRC has asserted that changes to the CRA would comply with legal standards if the CRA examined lending by race and ethnicity in geographical areas that experience ongoing discrimination or are exhibiting significant racial disparities in lending. NCRC has also proposed including analyses of lending data in underserved neighborhoods with low levels of lending, which are disproportionately communities of color.

While we believe the agencies can examine banks’ records of lending to race, the agencies should at least bolster fair lending reviews accompanying CRA exams for banks that perform poorly in the HMDA data analysis of lending by race. In addition, the agencies proposed using Section 1071 data on small business lending by race and gender of the business owner, and this data should be used as a screen for fair lending reviews. By including race and ethnicity, CRA can identify and address persistent racial disparities that have direct impacts on quality of life and health outcomes.

NeighborWorks Southern Colorado’s Board of Directors recently voted to adopt the following statement to reflect our focus on impacting the racial wealth gap: NeighborWorks Southern Colorado prioritizes the BIPOC population as our primary target market. One of the reasons for this is entry-level homeownership opportunities were once provided by the market, but market pressures in recent years have made affordable homeownership scarce statewide. High-cost real estate markets impede upward mobility for families, putting added pressure on the already constrained rental market and widening the wealth gap. Importantly, BIPOC households are disproportionately represented among renters, and are especially impacted by these high-cost markets.

Homeownership is the primary vehicle through which American households build wealth, and lack of access to homeownership is the critical, persistent driver of the racial wealth gap. The typical white family has eight times the wealth of the typical Black family, and five times the wealth of the typical Hispanic family, this is largely due to established policies that exclude BIPOC households from homeownership.

**Public input mechanisms: agencies propose improvements that must be codified**

Since CRA requires banks to meet the needs of communities, the agencies must elevate the importance of public comments to address the extent to which banks meet local needs. The agencies proposed to continue the current practice of sending any comments on CRA performance to banks and are also considering publishing comments received on agency websites.

Posting public comments on agency websites will establish accountability on the part of examiners for consideration. In addition, these comments can be referenced during future merger applications to determine if the banks addressed significant concerns of the public.

Also, the agencies should establish a public registry that community organizations can use to
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sign up if they want to be contacted about community needs and bank CRA performance. Furthermore, we request that the agencies start to publish which organizations they consult with to understand local community needs, commit to collecting input from a diverse range of organizations that includes organizations led by people of color and women, follow up on needs identified and detail how community input was factored into the results of CRA performance evaluations.

We also agree with Acting Comptroller Hsu that the agencies must hold frequent public hearings on large bank mergers. If CRA exams are made more rigorous by a final rule this will help hold merging banks accountable. However, merging banks must also submit a community benefits plan as part of their merger applications that could include community benefits agreements negotiated with community organizations. As further described in recent comments we agree with NCRC that an outstanding CRA rating must not be considered evidence that merging banks have satisfied the public benefits legal requirement.

Reducing CRA ratings inflation: progress on the lending test of the large bank exam, but not as much on the other subtests
About 98% of banks currently pass their CRA exams on an annual basis with just less than 10% receiving an Outstanding rating and almost 90% of them receiving a rating of Satisfactory. CRA has successfully leveraged more loans, investments, and services for LMI communities but it would be more effective in doing so if the ratings system more accurately revealed distinctions in performance. More banks would be identified as significantly lagging their peers, which would motivate them to improve their ratings and increase their reinvestment activity. The agencies bolstered the rigor on the large bank retail lending test by introducing performance ranges for comparisons among a bank’s lending, demographic, and market benchmarks. This quantitative approach would decrease ratings inflation, and result in more failings and low satisfactory ratings on the lending test. As a result of this proposed reform, several banks would likely respond positively by boosting their retail lending to underserved communities.

The agencies proposed improvements to other subtests of the large bank exam but did not establish many guidelines for the performance measures that could contribute to inflation on the subtests. For example, the community development finance test will consist of a quantitative measure of a bank’s ratio of community development finance divided by deposits. The bank’s ratio will be compared to a local and national ratio. However, the agencies did not provide enough guidelines to examiners for comparing the bank’s ratio to either the local or national ratio, making it possible for an examiner to inflate a rating by choosing the lowest comparator ratio.

The possibilities of misplaced examiner discretion can also occur on the retail services test and the community development services test. The retail services test contains quantitative measures comparing a bank’s branch distribution to market and demographic benchmarks but does not provide enough instructions to examiners about how to weigh these benchmarks.
We believe that it’s possible for the agencies to further develop guidelines for how to use the performance measures on the community development and services subtests of the large bank exam in order to produce a uniformly rigorous CRA exam, and guard against ratings inflation.

**Enhancements to community development definitions will increase responsiveness of banks to community needs**

The agencies proposed refinements to the definitions of affordable housing, economic development, climate resiliency and remediation, community facilities and infrastructure that we believe will be more effectively targeted as revitalization activities to communities such as persistent poverty counties and Native American communities.

The NPR clarified that financing health services qualifies under the definition of community support services. Essential community facilities now include hospitals and health centers without current documentation requirements, applied inconsistently, that the financing attract and retain residents to the community. This streamlining would boost financing of critical community infrastructure.

However, the community development finance test will include an impact review which must be further developed to include points and ratings similar to other subtests so that the test can be even more effective in stimulating responsive community development activities. Finally, we ask the agencies to reconsider their proposal to expand CRA consideration for financial literacy with no income limits; scarce counseling resources need to be targeted to LMI and other underserved populations.

**Data improvements will help hold banks accountable, but all new data should be publicly available**

The agencies correctly proposed to include new data collecting requirements for deposits, community development activities and automobile lending. Some of this data such as deposit and automobile lending would not be publicly available, which limits the extent to which the public can hold banks accountable for reaching underserved communities. We ask the agencies to reconsider this decision and also to expand data collection to all large banks instead of just banks with assets of more than $10 billion in the case of deposits and automobile lending. Finally, CRA exams should not only analyze access to deposits accounts for LMI communities but also affordability by comparing and refining, if necessary, fee information collected in call report data.

**Accountability for discrimination will increase but the agencies need to bolster their reviews concerning the quality of lending**

The agencies proposed to include all activities and products including deposit accounts in addition to credit in anti-discrimination and consumer protection legal reviews. This is an important advance but we urge the agencies to expand their reviews to include the quality of...
lending. **Massachusetts CRA exams** include analysis of delinquency and defaults rates in home lending. Federal CRA exams should do likewise in all major product lines. Moreover, reviews of lending must include an affordability analysis and impose penalties when banks offer on their own or in partnerships with non-banks abusive, high-cost loans that exceed state usury caps and that exceed borrowers’ abilities to repay. Finally, we are pleased that the agencies added the Military Lending Act in the list of laws to be included in the fair lending review but we urge them to also add the Americans with Disability Act.

**Assessment areas are expanded to include online lending but performance in smaller areas needs to be considered more carefully**

For several years, advocates have urged the agencies to examine lending that occurs online. The agencies proposed to create retail assessment areas where a large bank does not have branches when a bank has issued 100 home loans or 250 small business loans. This proposal would result in the great majority of total lending being incorporated on exams and would therefore hold non-traditional banks more accountable for serving LMI communities.

We ask the agencies to expand upon their proposal to include partnerships with banks and non-banks for retail lending. When a bank partners with more than one non-bank, the lending of all the non-banks needs to be totaled together for calculating if the threshold is exceeded for purposes of creating assessment areas.

In order to ensure that banks serve smaller metropolitan areas and rural counties, the agencies proposed requiring that banks with 10 or more assessment areas must receive at least a Low Satisfactory rating in 60% of the assessment areas in order to pass overall. This still may not be an adequate solution since the smaller areas could represent a minority of areas, allowing a bank to pass the 60% threshold by focusing on the larger areas. One possible fix is to require banks to achieve at least a Low Satisfactory rating of 60% in each of its large metropolitan, small metropolitan and rural assessment areas.

**Reclassify banks as small and intermediate small banks (ISB) would reduce community reinvestment activity**

By adjusting asset thresholds to qualify for various CRA exams, the agencies proposed to reclassify 779 ISB banks as small banks, which would no longer hold these banks accountable for community development finance. In addition, the agencies proposed to reclassify 217 large banks as ISB banks. This would eliminate their service test and accountability for placing branches in LMI communities. These changes lack justification since these banks have been successfully performing these activities for several years. We strongly encourage the agencies to eliminate this aspect of the NPR since it would reduce reinvestment activity.

**Conclusion**

The NPR is a good start and promises to make parts of CRA exams more rigorous, but we urge the agencies to extend the rigor of the large bank lending test to the other tests. We also ask the agencies to incorporate race in CRA exams, to expand the public reporting of their data collection proposals, to bolster their assessment area proposal to make sure that smaller
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communities are not left out, and to refrain from reducing reinvestment requirements for any segment of banks. If CRA is improved while maintaining public input and accountability, we believe the proposed rule could help reduce inequalities, disinvestment and other disadvantages in America’s overlooked communities.

Thank you,

Ashleigh Winans | CEO (she/her/hers)
NeighborWorks® Southern Colorado
1241 E. Routt Ave. Pueblo, CO 81004
awinans@nwsoco.org | (719) 544-8078 | nwsoco.org
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