



Live Boldly.
Bank Simply.

June 9, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Mr. Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments/RIN 3064-AE94
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20429
Comments@fdic.gov

**Re: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Comment Letter
Unsafe and Unsound Banking Practices:
Brokered Deposits Restrictions
RIN 3064-AE94**

Dear Mr. Feldman:

NBKC Bank (“NBKC”) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation’s (the “FDIC’s”) Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPR”) entitled “Unsafe and Unsound Banking Practices: Brokered Deposits Restrictions.” In that NPR, the FDIC proposes revisions to its regulations relating to the brokered deposits restrictions that apply to less than well capitalized banks. As described below, this is an issue with which NBKC has experience and significant interest in light of its operations, and we hope that the FDIC takes our perspective into account before finalizing its approach to brokered deposits in the modern banking environment.

Background

Competitive Environment. NBKC is a 21-year old bank with approximately \$1 billion in assets. Like many comparable banks, we have been continually challenged to grow and retain relatively low-cost deposits. With our original focus on obtaining deposits in the local market, this required us to offer increasingly higher interest rates to compete with other banks. Our expansion over the last few years to a nationwide, and more diversified, platform brought to us a different level of competition on a much larger scale.

During that time, NBKC’s management recognized that banking was changing rapidly. Not only were banks competing against each other, but financial technology companies (“fintechs”) were becoming a legitimate marketplace competitor. Fintechs are disruptive because they generally provide a much better customer experience and, through their innovative platforms, are able to scale their users more rapidly than traditional banks. Consequently, NBKC’s management recognized that if we did not take drastic action to compete in this new environment, maintaining our existing funding sources would become even more challenging.

Accordingly, rather than compete with fintechs, NBKC embarked on a strategy to partner with them by offering so-called Banking as a Service (“BaaS”). Through BaaS, NBKC provides fintechs the opportunity to offer depository accounts (checking or savings), issue debit cards, move funds, and deliver other banking services, all while maintaining pass-through FDIC insurance. These BaaS partnerships allow NBKC to increase our outreach and grow our customer base in a manner that would be challenging to accomplish organically.

BaaS Structure. BaaS accounts are structured in two ways: (i) for benefit of (“FBO”) accounts; and (ii) individually provisioned accounts.

FBO Accounts. An FBO account is a pooled account through which a fintech itself maintains the relationship with its customers that is governed by the fintech’s account agreement, and related terms and conditions. NBKC treats the fintech as its customer and accountholder, including with respect to applicable Bank Secrecy Act/ anti-money laundering Customer Identification Program and due diligence requirements.

8320 Ward Parkway
Kansas City, MO 64114
816.965.1400
nbkc.com





Live Boldly.
Bank Simply.

Individually Provisioned Accounts. When using individually provisioned accounts, the fintech's customers become direct customers of NBKC, and the relationship is governed by an NBKC account agreement that is essentially similar to the agreement utilized for NBKC's traditional deposit customers.

In the BaaS structure, NBKC does not pay the fintech partners for these deposits, which generate no interest cost to NBKC, and the fintech often offers their solution free of charge to their customers. Each fintech relationship generates revenue opportunities for NBKC that are becoming increasingly more challenging to obtain through the traditional banking model.

Considerations for Brokered Deposit Policy

Generally. The banking industry has changed significantly in the last 30 years, when our nation's current approach to brokered deposits was established by Congress in Section 29 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. We believe that since then, the FDIC increasingly has expanded the original Congressional intent without considering how the banking industry has evolved, particularly over the last few years.

Technology advances, seamless nationwide banking availability, fintech disruption, BaaS relationships, and other financial innovation have only accelerated that (r)evolution and made the FDIC's brokered deposit policy that much more anachronistic. The FDIC's current position appears to place too much emphasis on the involvement of a third party in establishing deposit accounts, without considering what deposit stability means in the current environment.

Deposit Stability. With respect to fintech-related deposits, we submit that there are two significant considerations for the FDIC to evaluate when determining whether deposits should be deemed unstable ("hot money"), relative to traditional deposits: (i) the depositor's ability to move the deposit; and (ii) the fintech's ability to move the banking relationship. As described below, deposits obtained through fintechs maintained by NBKC (under both approaches described above) are generally stable and as "sticky" as deposits obtained in the traditional manner. Accordingly, we do not believe that either type of deposit should be deemed brokered deposits as the FDIC reevaluates its approach.

With traditional deposits, the depositor has the choice to migrate funds to another financial institution through immediate transfers, such as ACH transactions or wires. Similarly, in the case of deposits made through fintechs, the depositor has the choice to move money to another financial institution or alternative fintechs through similar means.

In both cases, the depositor retains the ultimate choice and manages its relationships at their direction. Most importantly, the relationship that the depositor maintains with the fintech does not increase the likelihood that the deposit is less stable than a traditional deposit. The customer chooses to "bank" with a fintech for several reasons that are generally unrelated to the interest rate provided, including the approachable customer experience derived from the use of the custom-built technology portal, as well as other special features offered by fintechs that are not offered at traditional banks.

Regarding the relationship between the fintech and NBKC, we submit that these relationships are extremely stable for three reasons:

Fintech Agreements. They are governed by a formal legal agreement between the fintech and NBKC, which are typically for a three-year term. This restricts the ability of a fintech to migrate its deposit relationships to another financial institution without either breaching the contract or a contractually required payment of an early termination fee, which can be significant. In addition, there are certain regulatory mandates relating to customer notices, balance transfers, etc., all of which make changing financial institutions difficult and cumbersome.

Program Migration. Migrating a BaaS program to another financial institution requires a lengthy process, likely at minimum 180-days, as it is analogous to a financial institution's conversion of its core processing system. This is a significant motivator toward stability, even beyond any of the contractual issues described above.

Customer Debit Cards. The issuance of debit cards to the fintech's customers creates further portability obstacles and migrating from one financial institution to another results in a significant amount of time and expense while impacting customers significantly.

8320 Ward Parkway
Kansas City, MO 64114
816.965.1400
nbkc.com





Live Boldly.
Bank Simply.

Adequately Capitalized Status Consequences

Generally. As the FDIC is aware, an “adequately capitalized” bank may not accept, renew, or roll over any brokered deposit unless the bank has received a waiver from the FDIC, which can be challenging to obtain. Even if a bank otherwise exceeds the applicable capital ratio thresholds to be considered “well capitalized” under applicable capital requirements (10%/ 8.5%/ 7%/ 5%), it is considered no better than “adequately capitalized” if it is subject to a formal enforcement action requiring the bank to maintain a specific capital level.

Previous Experience. NBKC experienced this issue during the last recession, when it was subject to a formal enforcement action with a strict capital requirement, rendering us “adequately capitalized,” and therefore subject to the brokered deposit restriction described above. That action, which occurred before we began engaging with fintechs, was triggered because of NBKC’s commercial real estate concentration and the resulting credit losses during the unprecedented economic downturn.

Future Uncertainty. Given the uncertainty surrounding the ongoing pandemic, NBKC is concerned that another economic downturn could create similar circumstances that could subject NBKC, and many other comparable banks with fintech relationships, to formal enforcement actions with strict capital requirements. Questions about NBKC’s ability to obtain an FDIC waiver would then raise significant doubts about its capacity to work with fintechs, and create an environment of significant disruption, not just for NBKC and its fintech partners, but also for the fintech customers. Those customers would be required to migrate to other banks, enter into new account agreements with those banks, and be reissued new debit cards. NBKC submits that this outcome would be inconsistent with the original rationale behind the brokered deposit restriction and would likely affect other similarly situated institutions and create an environment of undue marketplace disruption.

* * * *

We are pleased that the FDIC is allocating time and resources to address the topic of brokered deposits. We hope that the FDIC seizes this opportunity to update its outdated approach to brokered deposits that does not address the deposit relationships possible today given the advancement in technology that was not foreseen when that approach was originally conceived. We urge the FDIC to specifically address the concept of fintech-bank deposit relationships to provide NBKC and other comparable banks the opportunity to transform our business in the modern world.

We would be happy to engage with the FDIC further regarding this issue. Please call me directly if we can answer any specific questions and thank you for your consideration of this submission.


Eric Garretson
Chief Financial Officer

8320 Ward Parkway
Kansas City, MO 64114
816.965.1400
nbkc.com

