

PACIFIC LIBERTY BANK

www.PacificLiberty.com

Board of Directors

Al Coen Chairman of the Board Adoption Attorney

Haydee V. Tillotson Vice Chairwoman/Board Tillotson Enterprises

> Rick Ganulin President & CEO Pacific Liberty Bank

Ken Bourguignon Chairman, Dothen Engineering

Clare Marie Einsmann
Executive Vice President
United Agribusiness League

Ed Laird CEO Coatings Resource

Hugh A. Moran Certified Public Accountant

> John Rich Retired General Counsel MD Space Systems

> > Ron Shenkman Senior Vice President Rainbow Disposal


Dr. Caleb K. Zia, Ph.D.
President
The Chesterfield Corporation

Executive Management

Cathy M. Williams Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer

Diane Heyden
Executive Vice President
SBE Lending

Frank J. Ford Executive Vice President Cheif Credit Officer Mr. Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments/Legal ESS
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20429



Re: RIN Number 3064-AC50: FDIC Proposed Increase in the Threshold for the Small Bank CRA Streamlined Examination

Dear Mr. Feldman:

I am President and CEO of Pacific Liberty Bank, located in Huntington Beach, California. We are a city of 200,000 residents located about 30 miles south of Los Angeles. My bank has assets of \$130,000,000 and is currently subject to the small bank CRA examination. On behalf of our Board of Directors, employees and customers, I am writing to strongly support the FDIC's proposal to raise the threshold for the streamlined small bank CRA examination to \$1 billion without regard to the size of the bank's holding company. This would greatly relieve the regulatory burden imposed on many small banks.

We also support the addition of a community development criterion to the small bank examination for larger community banks. It appears to be a significant improvement over the investment test. However, I urge the FDIC to adopt its original \$500 million threshold for small banks without a CD criterion and only apply the new CD criterion to community banks greater than \$500 million up to \$1 billion. Banks under \$500 million now hold about the same percent of overall industry assets as community banks under \$250 million did a decade ago when the revised CRA regulations were adopted, so this adjustment in the CRA threshold is appropriate. As FDIC examiners know, it has proven extremely difficult for small banks, especially those in rural areas, to find appropriate CRA qualified investments in their communities. Many small banks have had to make regional or statewide investments that are extremely unlikely to ever benefit the banks' own communities. That was certainly not the intent of Congress when it enacted CRA.

An additional reason to support the FDIC's CD criterion is that it significantly reduces the current regulation's "cliff effect." Today, when a small bank goes over \$250 million, it must completely reorganize its CRA program and begin a massive new reporting, monitoring and investment program. If the FDIC adopts its proposal, a state nonmember bank would move from the small bank examination to an expanded but still streamlined small bank examination, with the





PACIFIC LIBERTY BANK

www.PacificLiberty.com

Board of Directors

Al Coen Chairman of the Board Adoption Attorney

Haydee V. Tillotson Vice Chairwoman/Board Tillotson Enterprises

> Rick Ganulin President & CEO Pacific Liberty Bank

Ken Bourguignon Chairman, Dotken Engineering

Clare Marie Einsmann Executive Vice President United Agribusiness League

> Ed Laird CEO Coatings Resource

Hugh A. Moran Certified Public Accountant

> John Rich Retired General Counsel MD Space Systems

Ron Shenkman Senier Vice President Ratubow Disposal

Dr. Caleb K. Zia, Ph.D. President The Chesterfield Corporation

Executive Management

Cathy M. Williams Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer

Diane Heyden Executive Vice President SBA Lending

Frank J. Ford Executive Vice President Cheif Credit Officer flexibility to mix Community Development loans, services and investments to meet the new CD criterion. This would be far more appropriate to the size of the bank, and far better than subjecting the community bank to the same large bank examination that applies to \$1 trillion banks. This more graduated transition to the large bank examination is a significant improvement over the current regulation.

We strongly oppose making the CD criterion a separate test from the bank's overall CRA evaluation. For a community bank, CD lending is not significantly different from the provision of credit to the entire community. The current small bank test considers the institution's overall lending in its community. The addition of a category of CD lending (and services to aid lending and investments as a substitute for lending) fits well within the concept of serving the whole community. A separate test would create an additional CD obligation and regulatory burden that would erode the benefit of the streamlined exam.

We strongly support the FDIC's proposal to change the definition of "community development" from only focusing on low- and moderate-income area residents to including rural residents. I think that this change in the definition will go a long way toward eliminating the current distortions in the regulation. We caution the FDIC to provide a definition of "rural" that will not be subject to misuse to favor just affluent residents of rural areas

In conclusion, I believe that the FDIC has proposed a major improvement in the CRA regulations, one that much more closely aligns the regulations with the Community Reinvestment Act itself, and I urge the FDIC to adopt its proposal, with the recommendations above. I will be happy to discuss these issues further with you, if that would be helpful.

Sincerely,

Rick Ganulin

President and CEO

