



DENALI STATE BANK

September 01, 2015

Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attention: Comments
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20429

Re: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Notice of Proposed
Rulemaking (RIN 3064-AE37)

Dear Mr. Feldman:

Denali State Bank welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) proposing changes to the FDIC's deposit insurance assessment regulation for small banks, which are defined as banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. In particular, we would like to comment on the impact of this proposal on reciprocal deposits.

Denali State Bank is headquartered in Fairbanks, AK. We have approximately \$270 million in assets and 5 branches. We are part of a reciprocal placement network, and, since 2009, have utilized this network to provide deposit opportunities to bank customers with large deposit balances. More than 6% of our total deposits are reciprocal. Local governments, non-profit agencies and high wealth individuals have found this product particularly attractive. We have found reciprocal deposits to be an important, stable source of funding.

As noted in the NPR, the Federal Deposit Act specifically calls for a risk-based assessment system "for calculating an insured depository institution's assessment based on the insured depository institution's probability of causing a loss to the DIF due to the composition and concentration of the IDI's assets and liabilities...." In short, the premium assessments for each individual institution are supposed to reflect the specific and measurable risks posed by its assets and liabilities.

The proposal also states that it would improve the current system "by incorporating newer data from the recent financial crisis" ... to ... "more accurately reflect risk."

Fairbanks: Denali State Bank, P.O. Box 74568, Fairbanks, AK 99707

Tok: Denali State Bank, P.O. Box 579, Tok, AK 99780

MAIN BRANCH
119 N. CUSHMAN ST.
FAIRBANKS, AK 99707
(907) 456-1400
FAX (907) 458-4240

GOLDEN HEART BRANCH
1989 AIRPORT WAY
FAIRBANKS, AK 99707
(907) 458-4260
FAX (907) 458-4270

VAN HORN BRANCH
975 VAN HORN RD.
FAIRBANKS, AK 99707
(907) 458-8120
FAX (907) 458-8125

CHENA PUMP BRANCH
470 CHENA PUMP RD.
FAIRBANKS, AK 99709
(907) 458-4281
FAX (907) 479-4082

TOK BRANCH
MILE 1314 ALASKA HWY.
TOK, AK 99780
(907) 883-2265
FAX (907) 883-2268

Robert E. Feldman, FDIC

September 1, 2015

Page | 2

When it established the current system in 2009, the FDIC recognized that reciprocal deposits “may be a more stable source of funding for healthy banks than other types of brokered deposits and that they may not be as readily used to fund rapid asset growth.”

That recognition was based on the characteristics that reciprocal deposits share with core deposits, characteristics that traditional brokered deposits lack. In particular, reciprocal deposits typically come from a bank’s local customers and the relationship the bank has with the customer is long term and includes multiple services. The bank sets the interest rate based on local market conditions. The deposits add to a bank’s franchise value. Reciprocal deposits, therefore, do not present any of the concerns that traditional brokered deposits do: instability, risk of rapid asset growth, and high cost.

Specifically, under the current system, reciprocal deposits are excluded from the “adjusted brokered deposit ratio” which penalizes banks for reliance on brokered deposits. The proposed assessment system would no longer exclude reciprocal deposits from the definition of brokered deposits.

In the proposal, the FDIC gives no justification for this shift, which would result in reciprocal deposits being treated like any other form of brokered deposit or wholesale funding. It simply and arbitrarily lumps reciprocal deposits in with traditional brokered deposits. In doing so, it would penalize banks that use them by charging a higher assessment rate to these deposits and, in effect, taxing them.

A solution is simple: retain the current system’s exclusion of reciprocal deposits from the definition of “brokered” for assessment purposes.

Further, we strongly urge the FDIC to support legislation to explicitly exempt reciprocal deposits from the definition of brokered deposit in the FDI Act.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal.

Sincerely,



Steve Lundgren
President & CEO

cc:

The Honorable Lisa Murkowski
709 Hart Senate Office Building
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Robert E. Feldman, FDIC

September 1, 2015

Page | 3

The Honorable Dan Sullivan
702 Hart Senate Office Building
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Don Young
2314 Rayburn House Office Building
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

✓ The Honorable Martin J. Gruenberg
Chairman
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th St., NW
Washington, DC 20429