OREGON LAW FOUNDATION

October 15, 2010

Via e-mail to commentsfdic.gov

Robert F. Feldman, Executive Secretary Attention: Comments Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20429

Reference Number: RIN 3064-AD37

Dear Mr. Feldman:

The Oregon State Bar and the Oregon Law Foundation are joining together in these comments to emphasize our concerns about a proposed rule. The Regulation to implement the provision of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) providing unlimited coverage for non-interest-bearing transaction accounts would have a serious negative impact on Oregon's Interest on Lawyers Trust Account (IOLTA) program.

IOLTA accounts now receive unlimited deposit insurance coverage under the existing Transaction Account Guarantee (TAG) program but would be excluded from that full coverage in the revised Regulation as of January 1, 2011, We understand the exclusion of IOLTA Accounts in the Dodd-Frank Act was unintentional and that a bi-partisan bill has been introduced in the Senate to remedy this situation. We are hopeful that this bill will be acted upon by the end of this year.

However, confusion and lasting harm to the IOLTA program could occur if this Regulation takes effect before Congressional action can occur. If financial institutions are required to individually notify holders, of IOLTA accounts that their accounts will no longer be eligible for full coverage after year end, some attorneys may feel they need to move their IOLTA funds to a non-interest-bearing account or to a bank perceived as "too big to fail." Besides the loss of IOLTA revenue for important public purposes, this movement of funds to new accounts or new banks would be disruptive to clients and to banks. This would be particularly unfortunate if the financial institutions then had to rescind that notice after Congress acts on a fix. By then, the harm could be great to our historical relationships with banks and lawyers who have long supported IOLTA and to IOLTA's continued ability to fund needed charitable services.

To prevent these negative effects and facilitate uninterrupted full coverage for TOLTA accounts, we request that the FDIC delay finalization or implementation of this Regulation and the notification requirements until Congress has an opportunity to take action on this matter.

Robert F. Feldman, Executive Secretary October 15, 2010 Page 2

We also ask that the FDIC continue to support the policy of unlimited deposit insurance or other coverage for IOLTA accounts for the reasons they were given such coverage under TAG, including that they are functionally similar to the types of non-interest-bearing transaction accounts receiving coverage. IOLTA captures the aggregate net earnings on pooled client deposits that are held too briefly or are too small to produce net income for the clients to fund charitable services such as nonprofit legal programs that help low-income persons threatened with homelessness, family violence and other critical legal needs. In fact, without the IOLTA rule, these would be non-interest-bearing accounts.

Please advise if we can provide more information or answer questions. Thank you.

Judith Baker

Executive Director

Oregon Law Foundation

Sylvia Stevens

Executive Director

Oregon State Bar