

October 13, 2010

October 15, 2010

Mr. Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary Attention: Comments Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20429

> Sent by Email: Comments@FDIC.gov Re: RIN 3064-AD37

Dear Mr. Feldman:

On behalf of Neighborhood Legal Services' clients and staff, I write to urge you to delay any notice to IOLTA account holders about ineligibility for FDIC insurance until December 30, 2010 or later. We fear that earlier notice may cause attorneys to withdraw their funds from such accounts unnecessarily while harming the legal services programs that depend on the interest from IOLTA accounts.

Proposed FDIC regulations regarding implementation of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act would require notice no later than December 31, 2010 to IOLTA account depositors that the accounts would no longer be eligible for unlimited FDIC coverage effective January 1, 2011. However, pending legislation would allow for continued unlimited FDIC coverage for IOLTA accounts, and we are hoping the legislation will be enacted this year.

The FDIC has supported IOLTA programs in the past but including IOLTA accounts in the Transaction Account Guarantee Program (TAGP). The FDIC action recognized that IOLTA accounts are functionally non-interest bearing to the depositor owner of the IOLTA account, and non-interest bearing to the owner of the funds in the IOLTA trust account. The IOLTA program provides critically needed non-tax funding for civil legal services for indigent and elderly Americans by leveraging accounts that would normally pay no interest to anyone. IOLTA funding helps prevent homelessness, provides protection to women and children from abusers, and assists the elderly confronting predatory lending and consumer fraud.

A bill is currently pending in the U.S. Senate that would correct the unintended exclusion of unlimited coverage for IOLTA accounts. Banks sending the proposed notification prematurely

WWW.NEIGHBORHOODLAW.ORG SCASEY@NLSMA.ORG Ms. Marianela Sosa April 30, 2009 Page 2 of 2

will have to rescind that notification when the legislation is passed, causing significant confusion among depositors about the insured funds. Upon receiving the proposed notice, attorneys with significant deposits in their IOLTA accounts will be forced to decide whether to move the accounts to larger banks that are presumed "too big to fail" and be in compliance with state mandates, establish multiple IOLTA accounts at multiple banks and greatly increase administration of attorney trust accounts, or out of an over-abundance of caution, violate state rules and place the IOLTA trust account funds in non interest bearing, non IOLTA accounts to assure unlimited FDIC coverage. If the FDIC notice proves to be premature, and the legislation passes, attorneys are unlikely to go to the trouble to reinstate their IOLTA accounts.

We respectfully request the FDIC delay the proposed required notification requirement relative to IOLTA account depositors, allowing time for Congress to pass the pending Senate bill or other corrective action.

Sincerely,

Sheila C. Casey Executive Director

SCC/pmm