
From: John Rankin [mailto:jrankin@peoplesbank-ms.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2009 9:51 AM
To: Comments
Subject: Prepaid Assessments.12 CFR Part 327 - RIN 3064-AD49

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the subject of banks prepaying assessments three years in advance as a way to reconstitute the deposit insurance fund.

While I as a bank shareholder and bank employee realize that there are few good options available to the FDIC, and that while not perfect, prepayment of assessments may be one of the better options out there, I do think that in the spirit of fairness the following recommendations should be considered.

First, please strongly consider changing the Deposit Insurance Fund assessment calculation to a percentage of total assets minus tier 1 capital instead of as a percentage of total deposits. I

believe that the FDIC made great strides towards fairness when calculating the June 30, 2009 special assessment wherein the assessment was 5% of the banks total assets minus its tier 1 capital. This is a much more favorable way of calculating the assessment rate for community banks. Community banks are the institutions that are staying true to their community by drawing the vast amounts of their funding from their local communities and then in turn are loaning those funds back out into their communities. Peoples Bank's deposits represent 86% of our assets. Our peer bank's deposits represent 83% of their assets. If our peer group were an equal representation of the banks that are receiving deposit insurance then I would agree that this was a fair way to calculate the premiums for the Deposit Insurance Fund, but consider the deposit to asset ratio at Chase Bank, a \$94 billion in assets institution. Their deposit to asset ratio is only 44%. Now consider that that is a high ratio for their peer group. Their peers deposit to asset ratio is 36%. Now I ask you this, who is responsible for the banking crisis that we are now in? Is it the community banks like ours all over the country or is it the enormous too big to fail banks? They are the ones that clearly demonstrated the power to create systemic unrest. They were the ones that created the mortgage products and derivative products that were so complicated that they didn't even understand them. They drove the economy into this recession and now they are bearing the least share of the burden among us in reconstituting the Deposit Insurance Fund.

Second, please also consider eliminating the 5% growth charge from the prepaid assessment. Simply put, by funding the prepayment banks are foregoing the opportunity to invest those funds. If we don't have the funds to invest the money and benefit from its growth we should not have to pay it out.

The bottom line is we are not asking to be excluded from the economic recovery process just because our bank is healthy. All we are asking is that reasonable and fair consideration be given as we find our way forward.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of these comments.

Regards,

John Rankin

John Rankin, VP

Peoples Bank

601-847-2210 - Office

601-847-1414 - Fax



WARNING: *Never send confidential information, such as account numbers or social security numbers via email. Peoples Bank will never request this information from its customers via email.*

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. This communication represents the originator's personal views and opinions, which do not necessarily reflect those of Peoples Bank. If you are not the original recipient or the person responsible for delivering the email to the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error, and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Peoples Bank accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.