



March 13, 2009

Robert Feldman, Executive Secretary
FDIC
550 17th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20429

Re: **RIN 3064-AD35**
74 FR 9338; FDIC Interim Rule on Special Assessment

The FDIC has proposed a “one-time” Special Assessment of 20 basis points. According to the Interim Rule, this is an across the board assessment, without consideration of risk or effect.

While our institution understands the need for a strong, viable and ongoing Insurance Fund, this method of securing those funds seems precarious at best. We understand the urgency of the situation, but our industry can bear no additional policy mistakes at this time.

The 20bp Special Assessment will be due right after a 12-45bp regular quarterly assessment. Even the healthiest community banks can expect, under that scenario, to pay out 20% or more of the expected profits for 2009, leaving the bank with little ability to cope with other economic emergencies.

Healthy, well managed banks understand that they are the backbone and the strength of the financial services industry, but a decision such as this one strikes at the very core of that strength. The FDIC has suggested that putting a risk factor into this Special Assessment rate would cause troubled banks to fail. That may be true, but imposing this Special Assessment without a risk factor could result in much worse – it could cause strong banks to weaken significantly, which in turn would jeopardize the entire industry and everyone relying on it.

In addition to the immediate impact that such an assessment would have on the strength of the industry and the individual community banks, it will also drain available liquidity from the community banks, leaving us without the available funds for loans that we are being urged to make and which are necessary to economic recovery. In addition, it will require community banks to reduce staff, leaving valuable employees without a job during these difficult times, causing a further strain on the economy.

It will also necessitate a moratorium or significant reduction in dividends, which penalizes the shareholder who has invested in well managed banks and discourages

others from investing in a time when we are trying to rebuild the participation through investment of equity in sound institutions.

Our bank is a strong bank. Kentucky banks are strong banks. There are many other states and communities across the country just like us. How many times can the strong, well managed institution be looked to for shoring up those that were not responsible, before the entire system collapses?

We implore the FDIC to work with the industry leaders, legislators, other regulatory bodies and others to develop another way to restore the Insurance Fund. There are so many possibilities – none of which are perfect – but all of which are better than destroying the community banking system.

There is a way to protect the industry and those who relied on it by placing their deposits in an FDIC insured institution. We have to find that way in a thoughtful, well reasoned manner – with the participation of the industry as well as the regulators.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments and, again, I urge you to work with the leaders of the industry to develop a safer and sounder solution.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "C. Ronald Wise".

C. Ronald Wise
President/CEO
United Citizens Bank of Southern Kentucky