

1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

1-800-BANKERS www.aba.com

World-Class Solutions, Leadership & Advocacy Since 1875

Mary Frances Monroe Vice President Office of Regulatory Policy Phone: 202-663-5324 Fax: 202-828-5047 mmonroe@aba.com September 2, 2009

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency 250 E Street, SW Mail Stop 2-3 Washington, DC 20219 Attn: ID OCC 2009-0012 RIN 1557-AD26

Mr. Robert E. Feldman Executive Secretary Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20429 Attn: RIN 3064-AD48 Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson Secretary Federal Reserve Board 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20551 Docket No. R-1368

Chief Counsel's Office Office of Thrift Supervision 1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552 Attn: ID OTS 2009-0015 RIN 1550-AC36

Re: Consolidation of Asset-Backed Commercial Paper Programs and Other Related Issues

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The American Bankers Association¹ respectfully requests that agencies extend for an additional period of at least 30 days the period provided for public comment on the agencies' notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) that would (i) modify the capital adequacy frameworks to eliminate the exclusion of certain consolidated asset-backed commercial paper programs from risk-weighted assets and (ii) provide a reservation of authority to permit the agencies to require banking organizations to treat entities that are not consolidated under accounting standards as if they were consolidated for risk-based capital purposes. Banks and other interested parties need this additional time to assess the impact of the proposal on their capital levels and facilitate more meaningful input to the agencies on the NPR.

In order to respond in a more meaningful manner to the NPR and provide the specificity and supporting data requested in the NPR, banks will be required to conduct a significant amount of analysis on their securitization exposures and variable interest entities. Moreover, the NPR asks a number of detailed related

¹ The ABA brings together banks of all sizes and charters into one association. The ABA works to enhance the competitiveness of the nation's banking industry and strengthen America's economy and communities. Its members – the majority of which are banks with less than \$125 million in assets – represent over 95 percent of the industry's \$14 trillion in assets and employ more than two million men and women.

questions, including inquiries into competitive equity considerations and the impact of loan loss provisioning, which will take considerable time and analysis to provide thorough and meaningful feedback and recommendations. In light of the considerable demands on banks and their management in these economically challenging times, as well as the fact that the comment period includes the quarter-end reporting period, we respectfully submit that a minimum period of 60 days from the date of publication of the NPR in the Federal Register is a more appropriate comment period.

We also wish to reiterate our request of June 5, 2009, for a delay in the implementation of any final rule for a period of one year following the publication of the final rule (a copy of the earlier letter is enclosed for your reference). Our further comments on an appropriate transition period for implementation of the rule will be addressed in a subsequent comment letter.

We appreciate your consideration of this request. Please contact me or Mike Gullette, Vice President, Accounting and Financial Management (202-663-4986 or <u>mgullette@aba.com</u>) if you wish to discuss this request.

Sincerely,

Mary Frances Mource

Mary Frances Monroe

Enclosure



1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

1-800-BANKERS www.aba.com

World-Class Solutions, Leadership & Advocacy Since 1875

Robert R. Davis Executive Vice President Mortgage Markets, Financial Management & Public Policy Tel: 202-663-5588 rdavis@aba.com

June 5, 2009

The Honorable John C. Dugan Comptroller of the Currency 250 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20219

The Honorable Sheila Bair Chairman Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20429

The Honorable John Bowman Acting Director Office of Thrift Supervision 1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552

The Honorable Elizabeth A. Duke Governor Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System 20th and C Streets, NW, (Mail Stop 54) Washington, DC 20551

The Honorable Daniel K. Tarullo Governor Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System 20th and C Streets, NW, (Mail Stop 54) Washington, DC 20551

Re: Regulatory Capital Adjustments Required in Response to FASB Sales and Consolidation Accounting Changes

Ladies and Gentlemen:

With the issuance of the amendments to FASB Statement No. 140 ("FAS 140", *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets*) and FASB Interpretation No. 46R ("FIN 46R", *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*) expected in the next few weeks, billions of dollars worth of assets and liabilities that reside in special purpose entities and are currently disclosed in footnotes to the financial statements will now be reported on bank balance sheets. Due to the regulatory impact of this "gross up" of balance sheets, it is critical that banking institutions, as well as the investment community, understand the impact of these changes. Specifically, with a significant increase in assets and liabilities being expected to be recorded on bank

Regulatory Capital Adjustments Required in Response to FASB Sales and Consolidation Accounting Changes June 5, 2009 Page 2

balance sheets, both banks and investors need to understand how the accounting changes will affect the regulatory capital of banking institutions.

The expected changes to FAS 140 and FIN 46R will also have an enormous potential impact on the operations of many banks, whether or not they are involved in securitization activities. New quarterly fair value estimates and analyses of each interest in a variable interest entity, as well as comprehensive consolidation accounting procedures, are just a few of the necessary processes that are not currently in place in the vast majority of institutions. These major new processes could pose operational concerns for banking institutions, especially when considering these companies are required to set these processes up within approximately six months of issuance of the final accounting standard.

We have been in contact with staff at your agencies regarding this matter over the past several months. Whatever the actual regulatory impact may be, we believe it is critical that this guidance coincide with the issuance of the FASB changes in order to avoid unnecessary uncertainty in the markets.

With that in mind, we respectfully submit our recommendations regarding how these changes should be treated for regulatory capital purposes. We believe these recommendations reflect the practical impact of how these changes will affect the safety and soundness of banking institutions.

Recommendations

Look through the reported asset to the underlying guarantee: Because most guaranteed mortgage securitizations will no longer meet the new sales criteria in FAS 140, banks will no longer record such securitizations as securities, but will maintain them on their books as loans. However, whether the securitizations are recorded as loans or as securitizations, once the loans are guaranteed, they should naturally carry a correspondingly lower risk weighting than an unguaranteed whole loan. Therefore, we recommend that regulations "look through" the accounting for the instrument to determine whether the loans are securitized and are guaranteed. If so, those securities reported as loans should carry the same risk weighting as those recorded as guaranteed securities.

Link the assets to the corresponding liabilities of the trust: While the FASB is discontinuing the concept of the qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE), this does not affect the fact that many securitizations are performed through legal trusts where the transferred financial assets have been isolated beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership. The new FASB rules also do not change the fact that loans residing in SPEs to be recorded on the balance sheet will have a significantly different risk profile than those loans directly held by the company. In other words, while the accounting has changed for the assets and liabilities within the SPEs, the risks to the banking institution have not, and, thus, the risk weightings should remain consistent with the substance of the structures.

As one example, loans that are securitized and reside in SPEs will often now be consolidated by the credit enhancer/servicer of the securities (the primary beneficiary). However, the portion held by third parties (through beneficial interests of the related securities) does not subject the primary beneficiary to the same market risks (e.g. interest rate, liquidity) as a recorded whole loan. Those

Regulatory Capital Adjustments Required in Response to FASB Sales and Consolidation Accounting Changes June 5, 2009 Page 3

risks are borne by the security holder. Only if the primary beneficiary holds the security is it exposed to these risks.

With this in mind, we recommend that assets in SPEs that have met the isolation test, along with the corresponding amounts payable to security holders (excluding the credit loss reserve), be linked and excluded from an individual bank's regulatory capital ratios. Regulatory capital should be maintained only for those assets that are retained by the banking institution and subject to claims of its creditors or receiver/conservators. To assign risk weightings to these consolidated assets in a manner similar to risk weightings for whole loans or securities would be inappropriate and arbitrary. Further, a requirement that specific capital be held for the proportionate amount of assets that reside in securities held by others would result in an industry-wide double counting of required capital.

Transition period for any additional capital required: In addition to the operational impact discussed above, the potential impact of these changes on banking regulatory capital is obviously significant - not just to the banks, but also to the economy. With any increase in required capital, a banking institution is likely to reduce the amount of lending using such securitization vehicles, as well as other lending. No matter what the new capital requirements may be, and in consideration of the time required to effectively create and implement such necessary operational processes and to determine and execute alternatives to address any increased regulatory burden, we recommend that the agencies carefully consider whether regulatory changes are necessary from a safety and soundness perspective. If changes are to be required, we recommend that they be phased in over a period of time. Because of the numerous challenges being faced by banking institutions in the current market, we recommend that the transition period be at least three years, with the first year having no regulatory capital impact and the following two years being the time period for implementing the regulatory capital requirements. Such a transition, allowing a bank to "catch up" the incremental capital requirement over a three year period, will allow banks to migrate to alternative procedures and funding without completely halting the markets that rely on securitization.

Thank you for considering our request. Please contact Mike Gullette, ABA's Vice President, Accounting and Financial Management (202-663-4986 or <u>mgullette@aba.com</u>) or me if you have any questions or would like to discuss these issues in greater detail

Sincerely,

Robert R. Davia

Robert R. Davis