MEMORANDUM

TO: Public File – Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Minimum Requirements for Appraisal Management Companies (RIN 3064 AE10)

FROM: FDIC Staff

DATE: May 20, 2014

SUBJECT: Meeting with FAIR Coalition

On May 20, 2014, FDIC staff and representatives from the other agencies issuing the above-referenced, proposed rulemaking (the FRB, NCUA, OCC, FHFA, and the CFPB), as well as the Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council participated in a meeting with members of the Coalition to Facilitate Appraisal Integrity Reform (FAIR Coalition), which included representatives from CoreLogic, ServiceLink, and Rels Valuation. The FAIR Coalition members presented four issues regarding a selection of questions in the proposed rulemaking, as follows:

- 1. In response to the Agencies' request for comment on the proposed definition of "appraiser network or panel" (Question 2; 79 Fed. Reg. 19521, 19525), the FAIR Coalition suggested that the agencies reconsider distinctions between appraisal firms and appraisal management companies (AMCs).
- 2. In response to the Agencies' request for comment on the proposed minimum requirements for State registration and supervision of AMCs (Question 6; 79 Fed. Reg. 19521, 19527), the FAIR Coalition suggested that the agencies reconsider their conclusion that section 1124 to Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 does not compel a State to establish an AMC registration and supervision program. 79 Fed. Reg. 19523.
- 3. In response to the Agencies' request for comment on the questions of what barriers, if any, exist that may make it difficult for a State to implement the proposed AMC rules and whether there any barriers to a State collecting information on Federally regulated AMCs and submitting such information to the ASC (Questions 8 & 10; 79 Fed. Reg. 19521, 19529), the FAIR Coalition suggested that the agencies reconsider how panel membership is determined for the purposes of state registration and information submission to the Appraisal Subcommittee.
- 4. In response to the Agencies' request for comment on the question of whether any questions are raised by any differences between State laws and the proposed AMC rules (Question 11; 79 Fed. Reg. 19521, 19529), the FAIR Coalition suggested that the agencies clarify whether a state appraiser agency or board can interpret or has authority to investigate and enforce section 129E of the Truth in Lending Act.