

The New Basel III Definition of Capital: Understanding the Deductions for Investments in Unconsolidated Financial Institutions

On July 9, 2013, the FDIC Board of Directors approved the Basel III interim final rule (new capital rule or rule). The new capital rule, which takes effect for community banks in January 2015, is intended to strengthen the quality and increase the required level of regulatory capital in order to promote a more stable and resilient banking system.¹ This article is part of the FDIC's effort to provide technical assistance to community banks on the new capital rule. It focuses on a specific aspect of the rule that changes the treatment for certain capital investments that community banks may hold: the deductions from regulatory capital for investments in the capital instruments of unconsolidated financial institutions.

Background on Basel III

An important goal of the new capital rule is to strengthen the definition of regulatory capital to ensure it consists of elements that can absorb loss. Beginning with the Call Report dated March 31, 2015, community banks will report a new regulatory capital measure, common equity tier 1 (CET1), which is limited to capital elements of the highest quality. Some banks may have other capital elements such as noncumulative perpetual preferred stock; these, if any, may be recognized as "additional tier 1 capital," which when added to CET1 equals tier 1 capital. Finally, a bank's total regulatory capital may also include certain tier 2 elements (see Table 1).

Table 1 – Components of Regulatory Capital

| | | | |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Total capital | Tier 1 capital | Common Equity tier 1 capital (CET1) | Composed of common stock and surplus, retained earnings, accumulated other comprehensive income (unless an opt-out is chosen*) and qualifying minority interest |
| | | Additional tier 1 capital | Noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus, and qualifying minority interest |
| | | Tier 2 capital | Subordinated debt, qualifying minority interest, limited amounts of gains on available-for-sale equity securities, and the allowable portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses |

*All banks, other than advanced approaches banks, are given a one-time irrevocable option to continue to treat certain accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) components as they are treated under the current general risk-based capital rules. The AOCI opt-out election must be made on the Call Report filed as of March 31, 2015.

¹ The rule, which is substantively identical to the rule issued by the Federal Reserve and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, is described in FIL-31-2013. Additional resources are available at <http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/capital/index.html>.

New Basel III Definition of Capital

continued from pg. 27

The new rule includes a series of adjustments and deductions to arrive at the final value of reported CET1 used to meet the regulatory capital requirements (see Table 2). Some adjustments and deductions are straightforward and longstanding, such as the deduction of goodwill. Others are conceptually straightforward but new. For example, certain types of deferred tax assets are automatically deducted, and all intangible assets are deducted except a limited amount of mortgage servicing assets. In addition, there are threshold deductions, which are new and not quite as straightforward. The remainder of this article will describe the threshold deductions and work through an extended example to demonstrate the deduction calculations.

The Call Report instructions will also be available to walk banks step by step through these calculations. It is expected that the once a bank has identified its investments that are subject to the threshold deductions (if any), the calculation of those deductions and applicable transitions will be performed within Call Report software. It should also be noted that the examples in this article involve relatively large capital deductions and a relatively large proportion of additional tier 1 capital within the example bank's tier 1 capital. The amounts in the examples are to help explain the calculations and are not viewed as representative of typical banks.

Table 2 – Regulatory Capital Deductions and Adjustments

| Deduction or Adjustment | Common Items for Community Banks* |
|--|--|
| Regulatory Capital Deductions from CET1 capital | Goodwill |
| | Intangible assets (other than mortgage servicing assets (MSAs)) |
| | Deferred Tax Assets (DTAs) that arise from Net Operating Losses and tax credit carryforwards |
| Regulatory Adjustments to CET1 capital | Unrealized Gains and Losses included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (if the opt-out election is not chosen) |
| Threshold Deductions to CET1 capital | Non-significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions exceeding 10% of the bank's CET1, after regulatory capital deductions and adjustments |
| | Items subject to the 10% and 15% CET1 capital deduction thresholds: DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks MSAs Significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock |

* This chart does not include all the deductions or adjustments a community bank may be required to make and only includes a few of the more common deductions or adjustments for illustrative purposes. See 12 CFR § 324.22(a)-(d) for a complete list of items subject to deduction or adjustment.

Reasons for these threshold deductions

First and foremost, the purpose of the threshold deductions for investments in financial institutions is to limit the double counting of capital in the financial system. When banks invest in capital instruments of other financial institutions, problems at one institution can directly affect the financial health of other banks investing in its capital instruments. A good example is the losses some banks experienced on their investments in collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) of trust preferred securities of other banking organizations. This type of interdependence among banks can exacerbate a financial crisis.

A. What is the starting point for the threshold deductions?

If a bank has investments in the capital instruments of a financial institution, then these investments may be subject to the threshold deductions. The threshold deductions are made to CET1 *after* regulatory capital **deductions** and regulatory **adjustments** (see the first two panels of Table 2).

B. What is the definition of a financial institution?

If certain investments in financial institutions are to be deducted, the first question must be, for what types of financial institutions? The answer is in the definition of financial institution in the Basel III regulation, which determines whether any of a bank's capital investments may be subject to the threshold deductions. In brief, "financial institutions" include banks (including bankers' banks), bank holding companies, savings and loan holding companies, and other institutions cited in the definition. For purposes of the threshold deductions, financial institutions do not include government-sponsored enterprises (for example, Federal Home Loan Banks), small business investment companies, community development financial institutions, mutual funds, and employee benefit plans.

The definition also includes a *predominantly engaged* test as a catch-all for types of financial institutions not expressly listed. Investments in the capital instruments of such companies would also be subject to the threshold deduction. If a community bank owns more than 10 percent of a potential unconsolidated financial institution's common stock, the bank would have to apply this test.

New Basel III Definition of Capital

continued from pg. 29

C. What is the amount of my investment?

Once a bank determines it has an investment in the capital instrument of an unconsolidated financial institution, it must determine the amount of the investment. A bank may have such an investment through either a direct, indirect, or synthetic exposure (see Table 3).

D. Is my investment significant or non-significant?

The bank needs to determine whether its investment is significant or non-significant as this directly affects the calculation of the deduction:

- A significant investment in the capital of an unconsolidated financial institution refers to all investments in the capital instruments of an unconsolidated financial institution where the bank owns more than 10 percent of the common stock of the unconsolidated financial institution. Note that when a bank determines it has a significant investment in the capital instruments of an unconsolidated financial institution, the bank's other investments in the capital instruments of that financial institution are also considered significant. For example, any qualifying subordinated debt or noncumulative

perpetual preferred stock owned by the bank also would be considered a significant investment.

- A non-significant investment in the capital of an unconsolidated financial institution refers to all investments in the capital instruments of an unconsolidated financial institution where the bank owns 10 percent or less of the common stock of the unconsolidated financial institution (including situations in which the bank owns no common stock). For example, if a bank only owns noncumulative perpetual preferred stock in an unconsolidated financial institution, its investment is non-significant regardless of the amount of preferred stock owned.

Banks must evaluate investments in the capital instruments of each unconsolidated financial institution to which they are exposed to determine whether the exposure is significant or non-significant. Once this analysis is completed, the resulting significant and non-significant investments are aggregated into two separate buckets for purposes of the threshold deductions (i.e., the separate threshold deductions for significant and non-significant investments are computed based upon the aggregate exposure, not an individual exposure). The calculation of the threshold deductions differs for significant and non-significant investments and is described in greater detail in the next two sections of the article.

Table 3 – Exposures to investments in the capital instruments of an unconsolidated financial institution

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Direct exposure | An exposure held directly by the bank (not through a fund or securitization). The amount is normally the balance sheet carrying value. |
| Indirect Exposure | An exposure held indirectly by the bank, such as through a fund. |
| Synthetic exposure | A synthetic exposure results from a bank's investment in an instrument where the value of such instrument is linked to the capital instrument of a financial institution. For example, a bank that owns a total return swap on a capital instrument of another bank would have a synthetic exposure. |

E. The threshold deduction requirements for non-significant investments in unconsolidated financial institutions

The threshold deduction requirements for non-significant investments in unconsolidated financial institutions are described in § 324.22(c)(4) of the new capital rule. First, the bank aggregates all of its non-significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions. Second, the bank must determine its 10 percent threshold amount. The threshold is 10 percent of the bank's adjusted CET1 capital (conceptually, the adjusted CET1 is computed by completing Table 1 and adjusting according to the first two panels of Table 2). Any aggregate amount of non-significant investments above this threshold is deducted

according to the corresponding deduction approach (see Box 1). Amounts below the threshold are not deducted and are risk weighted in accordance with the new standardized approach (see Part 324 Subpart D of the new capital rule). For example:

- Bank A has adjusted CET1 of \$1,050 and a total of \$500 in noncumulative perpetual stock issued and outstanding.
- Bank A's threshold for non-significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions is 10% of its adjusted CET1, or \$105.
- Bank A has a total of \$200 in non-significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions consisting of \$100 in common stock and \$100 in noncumulative perpetual preferred stocks.

Box 1 – Corresponding Deduction Approach

Some deductions resulting from a bank's significant and non-significant investments must be made according to the corresponding deduction approach. Under this approach, the threshold deductions must be made from the tier of capital for which the instrument qualifies:

| If a bank investment is in an instrument that qualifies as: | Any required deductions would be: |
|---|---|
| Tier 2 capital | Deducted from tier 2 capital |
| Additional tier 1 capital | Deducted from Additional tier 1 capital |
| CET1 capital | Deducted from CET1 capital |

Furthermore, if a tier of capital is not sufficient to absorb the deduction, the shortfall is deducted from the next, more subordinated (higher quality) tier of capital. For example:

- Bank XYZ has \$100 of issued and outstanding common stock (CET1) and \$20 of issued and outstanding noncumulative perpetual preferred stock (additional tier 1 capital)
- Bank XYZ's aggregate non-significant investment in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions exceeds its threshold by \$25 and the investments consist solely of noncumulative perpetual preferred stock (an additional tier 1 capital component). Therefore, Bank XYZ must deduct 100% of its excess investment from its additional tier 1 capital. However, Bank XYZ's additional tier 1 capital only totals \$20.
- Per the corresponding deduction approach, Bank XYZ deducts \$20 from its additional tier 1 capital (completely deducting this tier of capital) and the remaining \$5 from its CET1.

New Basel III Definition of Capital

continued from pg. 31

- Therefore, Bank A must deduct \$95 of its aggregate non-significant investment in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions. This is reflected in line 4 of Table 4.
- Now the bank must follow the corresponding deduction approach to determine how to deduct its excess non-significant investment in unconsolidated financial institutions. Since Bank A's investments included \$100 in common stock (a CET1 capital component) and \$100 in noncumulative perpetual preferred stock (an additional tier 1 capital component), 50% of the excess non-significant investment in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions is deducted from CET1 and 50% is deducted from additional tier 1 capital. This is reflected in lines 5 and 6 of Table 4.
- If Bank A did not have any qualifying additional tier 1 capital instruments on its books, it would have deducted the entire excess non-significant investment in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions from its CET1 (refer to Box 1).

F. The threshold deduction requirements for significant investments in the form of common stock

The deduction for significant investments in common stock of an unconsolidated financial institution is governed by the 10 percent and 15 percent CET1 threshold deductions. These threshold deductions are applied individually and collectively to the following three categories:

- Significant investments in common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions;
- Mortgage servicing assets; and
- Deferred tax assets that arise from temporary timing differences not subject to carryback.

The 10% and 15% thresholds are applied to CET1 capital after making deductions for non-significant investments in the capital of an unconsolidated institution. These threshold deductions are calculated in two

Table 4 - Calculation to determine the deduction for non-significant investments in the capital of an unconsolidated financial institution

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Adjusted CET1 (before threshold deductions) | \$1050 |
| 10% Threshold for Non-significant Investments ($\$1050 * 10\%$) | \$105 |
| Total amount of non-significant investments in unconsolidated financial institutions | \$200 |
| Amount over threshold to be deducted ($\$200 - \105) | \$95 |
| Amount to be deducted from CET1 ($\$95 * 50\%$) | \$47.50 |
| Amount to be deducted from Additional tier 1 ($\$95 * 50\%$) | \$47.50 |
| New CET1 ($\$1050 - \47.50) | \$1002.50 |
| New Additional tier 1 ($\$500 - 47.50$) | \$452.50 |

phases. First, the 10 percent threshold deduction is applied on an individual category basis – any amount of the three categories greater than the 10 percent threshold is deducted from CET1 capital. Second, the remaining amount attributed to the three categories is limited, in aggregate, to 15 percent of CET1 capital. Any amount above this threshold is also deducted from CET1 capital. The amounts not deducted in these three categories are risk weighted at 250 percent. Note that due to the transition periods, the calculation of the deduction changes slightly before and after 2018. See Table 5 (page 34) for an example:

■ Continuing from the previous example, Bank A has CET1 capital of \$1002.50 after adjustments, deductions and the threshold deduction for non-significant investments in the capital of an unconsolidated financial institution.

■ Bank A has the following amounts in items subject to the 10 percent and 15 percent CET1 threshold deductions:

- \$150 in significant investments in the common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions
- \$50 in mortgage servicing assets
- \$75 in deferred tax assets that arise from temporary timing differences not subject to carry-back

As noted previously, the 10 percent and 15 percent CET1 threshold deductions occur in two parts. The individual 10 percent threshold is applied first and the aggregate 15 percent threshold is applied second. The new capital rule includes a transition period for the threshold deductions to allow banks time to manage the impact to their regulatory capital position. To make the example more useful and demonstrate the impact of the transition periods, the threshold deductions are calculated below assuming two different time periods.

New Basel III Definition of Capital

continued from pg. 33

Table 5 - 2016 Example (includes a 60% phase-in)

Step 1: Application of the individual 10% CET1 threshold deduction

| | |
|--|----------|
| 10% CET1 threshold ($\$1002.50 * 10\%$) | \$100.25 |
| Amount over threshold to be deducted: | |
| Significant investments in the common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions ($\$150 - \100.25) | \$49.75 |
| Mortgage servicing assets ($\$50 - \100.25) | \$0* |
| Deferred tax assets that arise from temporary timing differences not subject to carry-back ($\$75 - \100.25) | \$0* |
| Application of the 60% phase-in | |
| Significant investments in the common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions ($\$49.75 * 60\%$) | \$29.85 |
| Mortgage servicing assets ($\$0 * 60\%$) | \$0 |
| Deferred tax assets that arise from temporary timing differences not subject to carry-back ($\$0 * 60\%$) | \$0 |
| Sum of deductions from CET1 capital due to the 10% threshold after phase-in ($\$29.85 + \$0 + \$0$) | \$29.85 |

* Enter 0 if the calculation results in a negative number.

Step 2: Application of the aggregate 15% CET1 threshold deduction

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| 15% CET1 threshold ($\$1002.50 * 15\%$) | \$150.38 |
| Remaining items not deducted due to 10% CET1 threshold | |
| Significant investments in the common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions ($\$150 - \29.85) | \$120.15 |
| Mortgage servicing assets (no deduction due to 10% threshold so entire amount is subject to 15% CET1 threshold) | \$50 |
| Deferred tax assets that arise from temporary timing differences not subject to carry-back (no deduction due to 10% threshold so entire amount is subject to 15% CET1 threshold) | \$75 |
| Subtotal ($\$120.15 + \$50 + \$75$) | \$245.15 |
| Amount over threshold to be deducted ($\$245.15 - \150.38) | \$94.77 |
| Deductions from CET1 capital due to the 15% threshold after phase-in ($\$94.77 * 60\%$) | \$56.86 |
| Total deductions due to 10% and 15% CET1 thresholds ($\$29.85 + \56.86) | \$86.71 |
| Common equity after threshold deductions ($\\$1002.50 - \\86.71) | \$915.79 |

As demonstrated in the prior example, the transition period provided in the new capital rule mitigates the impact of the threshold deductions. See below for the impact to the threshold deductions once fully phased in (as of 2018):

Application of the 10 percent threshold: The calculation of the 10 percent threshold is consistent during and after the transition period. Once the threshold deductions are fully phased in, the amount to be deducted is no longer adjusted; therefore, in this example, the amount to be deducted due to the 10 percent threshold is \$49.75.

Application of the 15 percent threshold: The calculation of the 15 percent threshold changes slightly once the deductions are fully phased in. The new capital rule requires that the aggregate sum of these items that are not deducted cannot exceed 15 percent of the CET1 capital of a bank. To effect this requirement, the 15 percent threshold is calculated as CET1 minus the sum of the three items before any deductions, with the result multiplied by 17.65 percent. Multiplying by 17.65 percent ensures that the ending amount of the items subject to deduction do not exceed 15 percent of ending CET1. See Table 6 below for an example:

Table 6 - 2018 Example (fully phased in thresholds)

| <i>Step 1: Application of the individual 10% CET1 threshold deduction</i> | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|-------|
| CET1 deduction due to 10% threshold | The calculation of the 10% threshold is unchanged from 2016. As noted above, the full amount of the deduction is taken in 2018. | \$49.75 | |
| <i>Step 2: Application of the aggregate 15% CET1 threshold deduction</i> | | | |
| Calculation of 15% CET1 threshold | CET1 base | \$1002.50 | |
| | Sum of the items before any deductions: | | |
| | Significant investments in the common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions | | \$150 |
| | Mortgage servicing assets (no deduction due to 10% threshold so entire amount is subject to 15% CET1 threshold) | | \$50 |
| | Deferred tax assets that arise from temporary timing differences not subject to carryback (no deduction due to 10% threshold so entire amount is subject to 15% CET1 threshold) | | \$75 |
| | Subtotal (\$150 + \$50 + \$75) | \$275 | |
| | Ratio of 15% / 85% | 17.65% | |
| | 15% threshold: $(\$1002.50 - \$275) * 17.65\%$ | \$128.40 | |
| CET1 deduction due to 15% threshold | Remaining items not deducted due to 10% CET1 threshold $(\$275 - \$49.75)$ | \$225.25 | |
| | CET1 Deduction: $(\$225.25 - \$128.40)$ | \$96.85 | |
| Ending CET1: $(\$1002.50 - \$49.75 - 96.85)$ | | \$855.90 ² | |

² In this example, the amount of the items not deducted as a result of the 10% and 15% thresholds is \$128.40, calculated as $(\$150 + \$50 + 75) - (\$49.75 + \$96.85)$. Using 17.65% to calculate the 15% threshold, ensures that the amounts not deducted do not comprise more than 15% of ending CET1. $(\$128.40 / \$855.90 = 15\%)$.

New Basel III Definition of Capital

continued from pg. 35

See Table 7 for a comparison of the deductions under these two time periods:

Table 7 - Comparison of 10% and 15% CET1 threshold deduction before and after phase-in

| | 2016, 60% phase-in | 2018, fully phased-in |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 10% CET1 threshold | \$100.25 | \$100.25 |
| Sum of deductions from CET1 capital due to the 10% threshold | \$29.85 | \$49.75 |
| 15% CET1 threshold | \$150.38 | \$128.40 |
| Deductions from CET1 capital due to the 15% threshold | \$56.86 | \$96.85 |
| Ending CET1 | \$915.79 | \$855.90 |

G. Significant investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions that are not in the form of common stock

As discussed previously, if a bank determines it has a significant investment in the capital instruments of an unconsolidated financial institution (i.e., the bank owns 10 percent or more of the financial institution's common stock), all other investments in the capital instruments of that unconsolidated financial institution are considered significant. These investments are fully deducted using the corresponding deduction approach; see Table 8, continuing from our previous example:

Table 8 - Bank A's capital structure in 2018

| | |
|---|----------|
| Ending CET1 | \$855.90 |
| Additional tier 1 capital after the threshold deductions for non-significant investments in unconsolidated financial institutions | \$452.50 |
| Tier 2 capital | \$80 |

Suppose that in addition to the \$150 in significant investments in the common stock of unconsolidated financial institutions, Bank A also has exposure of \$100 in qualifying subordinated debt (tier 2 capital) to these same unconsolidated financial institutions. The \$100 in subordinated debt would also be considered a *significant investment* as these are the capital instruments of unconsolidated financial institutions in which Bank A owns 10 percent or more of the financial institution's common stock. Bank A would therefore deduct its entire exposure to the subordinated debt per the corresponding deduction approach:

- Bank A's tier 2 capital, after deduction: \$0, calculated as \$80 - \$100
- Bank A's additional tier 1 capital, after deduction: \$432.50, calculated as \$452.50 - \$20 (as Bank A's tier 2 capital has been completely deducted, the remaining \$20 is deducted from additional tier 1 capital)

H. Summary of the impact of the threshold deductions on Bank A's capital structure

Table 9 shows the impact on Bank A's capital structure due to the threshold deductions (assuming the threshold deductions are made in the year 2018).

I. A bank will need to risk weight remaining amounts of capital instruments that are not deducted

The remaining amount of an item after making all required deductions is then risk weighted. Below is a summary of the risk weights to be applied to the items limited by the deductions described in this article (see Table 10):

Table 9 - Summary of the impact of the threshold deductions on Bank A's capital structure

| Tier of Capital | Beginning Amount* | Deductions for Non-significant investments | Deductions for Significant investments | Ending Amount |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--|---------------|
| CET1 | \$1050 | 47.50 | (\$49.75 + \$96.85) | \$855.90 |
| Additional tier 1 capital | \$500 | 47.50 | \$20 | \$432.50 |
| Tier 2 capital | \$80 | 0 | \$80 | \$0 |

*After regulatory adjustments and deductions (see Table 2).

Table 10 – Summary of common risk weights for investments in the capital instruments of unconsolidated financial institutions limited by the threshold deductions

| | |
|---|--|
| Risk weights that apply to the remaining amounts of significant investments in the capital instruments of unconsolidated financial institutions, MSAs and DTAs not deducted | 100% (2015 to 2017) 250% (2018 onwards) |
| Risk weights that apply to remaining amounts of investments in financial institutions in the form of publicly traded equities | 300% |
| Risk weights that apply to remaining amounts of investments in financial institutions in the form of non-publicly traded equities | 400% |
| Trust Preferred Securities CDOs should be risk weighted using the securitization framework (sections 41 through 43 of the new capital rule), meaning | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gross-up • Simple Supervisory Formula Approach (SSFA) • 1,250% |

Capital relief for a limited amount of non-significant equity exposures

The new capital rule applies significantly higher risk weights to equity exposures in general. To provide some relief from these higher risk weights, the new capital rule includes a 10 percent non-significant equity exposure threshold, *which is separate and distinct from the previously described thresholds*. This 10 percent threshold is calculated as 10 percent of the institution's total capital. Certain equities that fall within this threshold can be risk weighted at 100 percent; however, this 10 percent bucket must be filled in the following order:

- Equity exposures to unconsolidated small business investment companies described in Section 302 of the *Small Business Investment Act*
- Publicly traded equity exposures (including those held indirectly through investment funds)
- Non-publicly traded equity exposures (including those held indirectly through investment funds)

Once this 10 percent bucket is filled, the other risk weights shown above would apply.

J. Transition Rules

Although the new capital rule takes effect January 1, 2015, for community banks, various aspects of the rule, such as the deductions for capital instruments in unconsolidated financial institutions, have a phase-in period, as illustrated above. Banks should consult the Transitions section of the new capital rule (§ 324.300) for full details.

K. Resources available to help guide the bank through these deduction requirements

Resources are available to guide banks through the deduction requirements, including the deductions related to investments in the capital instruments of unconsolidated financial institutions. For example, the preamble of the new capital rule includes a flow chart and the proposed call report instructions available on the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's (FFIEC) Web site helps banks navigate the deduction requirements and the calculation of each tier of capital, including the transition arrangements. The FDIC Regulatory Capital website (<http://www.fdic.gov/regulations/capital/index.html>) includes presentations describing key aspects of the new capital rule, the Inter-agency Community Bank Guide, the Expanded Community Bank Guide for FDIC-supervised banks and a listing of contacts who can help to answer questions. Through these resources and outreach efforts such as this article, the goal is that banks will be able to understand this admittedly complex aspect of the new capital rule.

Benedetto Bosco

*Capital Markets Policy Analyst
Division of Risk Management
Supervision
bbosco@fdic.gov*

The author acknowledges the valuable contributions made by several reviewers of this article with special thanks to David W. Riley, Senior Policy Analyst; and James S. Haas, Financial Analyst.