### The Role of Credit Cards for Unemployed Households in the Great Recession

FDIC Consumer Research Symposium, October 15-16, 2015

J. Michael Collins<sup>1</sup> Kathryn Anne Edwards<sup>2</sup> Maximillian Schmeiser<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor La Follette School of Public Policy University of Wisconsin, Madison

<sup>2</sup>Ph.D. Candidate Department of Economics University of Wisconsin, Madison

<sup>3</sup>Economist Federal Reserve, Board of Governors

Consumer Research Symposium, 2015

Research questions:

• To what extent does a temporary unemployment spell increase unsecured debt?

• Among which borrowers?

Prior research using data from 1996 - 2003 finds unemployment borrowing is concentrated among those households at the margin of credit worthiness—2nd-3rd deciles of wealth, low-income, low-asset (Sullivan 2008).

#### Figure 1: Unemployment Rate, 1996-2013



### Figure 1: Unemployment Rate and Total Number of Credit Cards, 1996-2013



Research questions:

- To what extent does a temporary unemployment spell increase unsecured debt?
- Among which borrowers?
- Do either of these change during the most recent recession?
  - Changing composition of unemployed
  - Changing tightness in credit market

Research questions:

- To what extent does a temporary unemployment spell increase unsecured debt?
- Among which borrowers?
- Do either of these change during the most recent recession?
  - Changing composition of unemployed
  - Changing tightness in credit market

Method:

 Regress the change in household unsecured debt levels on head's temporary unemployment spell using the four most recent Surveys of Income and Program Participation and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York/Equifax Consumer Credit Panel.

# Figure 1: Unemployment Rate and Total Number of Credit Cards, 1996-2013, with SIPP panels



1996 Panel -

• Unemployment rate is falling; trend in credit not directly observed

2001 Panel -

Unemployment rate is rising; total available credit is rising

2004 Panel -

• Unemployment rate is falling; total available credit is stable

2008 Panel -

Unemployment rate is rising; total available credit is falling

Federal Reserve Bank of New York/Equifax Consumer Credit Panel: State-by-quarter averages, 1999 - 2015

- 1. Credit Card Limit
- 2. Number of Inquiries
- 3. Credit Score
- 4. Number of Open Accounts

Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP):

- Panels of 2-4 years in length
- Four-month interviews produce monthly observations on demographics and employment
- Annual topical modules on Asset and Liabilities provide wealth snapshot

#### Analytical Sample

 Analytical sample - 20-62 year-old household heads observed for 36 months and working consistently in the first and last year of the analytical observation window

#### Analytical Sample

• Analytical sample - 20-62 year-old household heads observed for 36 months and working consistently in the first and last year of the analytical observation window

_ 1	- 2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36

T1	T2	Т3				
[must be employed in every month]	[possible unemployment spell]	[must be employed in every month]				
ASSET MEASURE	ASSET MEASURE	ASSET MEASURE				

#### Analytical Sample

• Analytical sample - 20-62 year-old household heads observed for 36 months and working consistently in the first and last year of the analytical observation window

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36

11	12	13
[must be employed in every month]	[possible unemployment spell]	[must be employed in every month]
ASSET MEASURE	ASSET MEASURE	ASSET MEASURE

#### • N=15,000 - 30,000; 800 - 1000 spells

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta U_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i \tag{1}$$

- ΔY<sub>i</sub> change in unsecured debt between T1-T2, T1-T3
   → Unsecured debt in SIPP: credit cards, consumer debt, signature loans, installment loans, student loans, medical collections, other collections.
- *U<sub>i</sub>* unemployment spell in T2
- X<sub>it</sub> gender, age cubic, race, marital status, family size, highest quarterly wage in T1, high debt load indicator, educational attainment, weekly unemployment benefit amount, wealth groupings.
- $\lambda$ ,  $\tau$  state and year fixed effects

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta U_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i$$

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta U_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + \rho \mathbf{Credit}_s + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i \tag{2}$$

• *Credit<sub>s</sub>* - variables measuring state-by-quarter credit means and state homestead exemption laws

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta U_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i$$

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta U_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + \rho \mathbf{Credit}_s + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i$$

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{U}_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + [\rho \mathbf{Credit}_s] + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i$$
(3)

#### U<sub>i</sub>:

- 1. By panel 1996, 2001, 2004, 2008
- By wealth levels Low (1st-3rd decile), Middle (4th-6th), High (7th-10th)
- 3. By race white, black, Hispanic, other
- 4. By panel \* wealth

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta U_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i$$

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta U_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + \rho \mathbf{Credit}_s + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i$$

$$\Delta Y_i = \alpha + \beta \mathbf{U}_i + \gamma \mathbf{X}_i + [\rho \mathbf{Credit}_s] + \lambda_s + \tau_t + \epsilon_i$$

	Change in I	Debt, T1-T2
	(1)	(2)
Unemployed	1589.476*	2851.244**
	(911.632)	(1005.595)
Less than HS	500.180	146.665
2000 11011 110	(1181.041)	(1366.582)
High School+	2928.8256*	1565.4936*
	(1232.057)	(817.322)
Male	-2605.222	-1707.4316*
	(1823.844)	(693.596)
Non-white	-1190.390	-305.563
	(721.058)	(726.511)
Never Married	-378.915	-889.390
	(697.458)	(762.592)
Fam Size	674.501	191.607
	(547.280)	(248.910)
Other covariates	Y	Y
All panels	Ý	N
Credit controls	N	Y
N	30075	20194

#### Finding 1: Unemployment incurred unsecured debt is persistent

Consumer Research Symposium, 2015

#### Finding 1: Unemployment incurred unsecured debt is persistent

	Change in [	Debt, T1-T2
	(1)	(2)
Unemployed	1589.476* (911.632)	2851.244** (1005.595)
Other covariates	Y	Y
All panels	Y	Ν
Credit controls	Ν	Y
N	30075	20194
	Change in [ (1)	Debt, T1-T3 (2)
	(1)	(2)
Unemployed	2728.904**	3435.616**
	(816.027)	(1068.484)
Other covariates	Y	Y
All panels	Y	Ν
Credit controls	Ν	Y
N	25804	15923

# Finding 2: Unsecured debt increases during recessions, but not expansions

	Change in I (1)	Debt, T1-T2 (2)
Unemployed in 1996 Panel	-2071.461 (2384.130)	
Unemployed in 2001 Panel	2282.055 (1517.046)	2137.152* (1460.958)
Unemployed in 2004 Panel	-1634.485 (2149.690)	-2152.062 (2022.111)
Unemployed in 2008 Panel	5644.970** (1772.516)	5788.713** (1676.000)
Other covariates All panels Credit controls N	Y Y N 30075	Y N Y 20194

# Finding 3: Increases in unsecured debt during the 2008 recession were driven by middle-wealth borrowers

	0	Debt, T1-T2
	(1)	(2)
Low wealth, Unemp 2008	5049.991*	4876.335**
	(2090.255)	(1849.121)
Middle wealth, Unemp 2008	10006.003**	10323.659***
	(3845.716)	(3563.655)
High wealth, Unemp 2008	1662.090	2149.543
0	(3393.506)	(3437.443)
	· · · ·	,
Other covariates	Y	Y
All panels	Y	Ν
Credit controls	Ν	Y
N	30075	20194

# Figure 1: Unemployment Rate and Total Number of Credit Cards, 1996-2013, with SIPP panels



Going forward, we will investigate further:

- Measurable outcomes related to long-term unsecured debt.
- Characterization of unemployment spells and income shocks in recessions versus expansions.
- Wealth, assets, and secured debt changes for middle-wealth families during the most recent recession.