State Mandated Financial Education and the Credit Behavior of Young Adults

Alexandra Brown¹ J. Michael Collins² Maximilian Schmeiser¹ Carly Urban³

¹Federal Reserve Board

²Department of Consumer Science University of Wisconsin-Madison

³Department of Agricultural Economics and Economics Montana State University

October 16, 2014

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ



Financial Literacy in the U.S. is generally low, but financial knowledge amongst young adults is particularly weak:

 Less than ¹/₃ of Americans ages 23 to 28 possess basic knowledge of interest rates, inflation and risk diversification. (Lusardi and Mitchell (2010)).

▶ Big Three Questions

Low Levels of Financial Literacy have been associated with:

- Lower rates of planning for retirement, asset accumulation, stock market participation (Lusardi and Mitchell (2007, 2014); Lusardi et al. (2010); van Rooij et al. (2012)).
- Greater use of high cost financial services and higher levels of debt (Lusardi and Tufano (2009); Meier and Springer (2010)).

Financial Literacy in the U.S. is generally low, but financial knowledge amongst young adults is particularly weak:

 Less than ¹/₃ of Americans ages 23 to 28 possess basic knowledge of interest rates, inflation and risk diversification. (Lusardi and Mitchell (2010)).

Big Three Questions

Low Levels of Financial Literacy have been associated with:

- Lower rates of planning for retirement, asset accumulation, stock market participation (Lusardi and Mitchell (2007, 2014); Lusardi et al. (2010); van Rooij et al. (2012)).
- Greater use of high cost financial services and higher levels of debt (Lusardi and Tufano (2009); Meier and Springer (2010)).

Financial Literacy in the U.S. is generally low, but financial knowledge amongst young adults is particularly weak:

 Less than ¹/₃ of Americans ages 23 to 28 possess basic knowledge of interest rates, inflation and risk diversification. (Lusardi and Mitchell (2010)).

Big Three Questions

Low Levels of Financial Literacy have been associated with:

- Lower rates of planning for retirement, asset accumulation, stock market participation (Lusardi and Mitchell (2007, 2014); Lusardi et al. (2010); van Rooij et al. (2012)).
- Greater use of high cost financial services and higher levels of debt (Lusardi and Tufano (2009); Meier and Springer (2010)).

Financial Literacy in the U.S. is generally low, but financial knowledge amongst young adults is particularly weak:

• Less than $\frac{1}{3}$ of Americans ages 23 to 28 possess basic knowledge of interest rates, inflation and risk diversification. (Lusardi and Mitchell (2010)).

• Big Three Questions

Low Levels of Financial Literacy have been associated with:

 Lower rates of planning for retirement, asset accumulation, stock market participation (Lusardi and Mitchell (2007, 2014); Lusardi et al. (2010); van Rooij et al. (2012)).

 Greater use of high cost financial services and higher levels of debt (Lusardi and Tufano (2009); Meier and Springer (2010)).

э

Financial Literacy in the U.S. is generally low, but financial knowledge amongst young adults is particularly weak:

• Less than $\frac{1}{3}$ of Americans ages 23 to 28 possess basic knowledge of interest rates, inflation and risk diversification. (Lusardi and Mitchell (2010)).

Big Three Questions

Low Levels of Financial Literacy have been associated with:

- Lower rates of planning for retirement, asset accumulation, stock market participation (Lusardi and Mitchell (2007, 2014); Lusardi et al. (2010); van Rooij et al. (2012)).
- Greater use of high cost financial services and higher levels of debt (Lusardi and Tufano (2009); Meier and Springer (2010)).

э



Policy Response: Financial Education in the U.S.

After the 2008 financial crisis, policymakers intensified efforts to increase financial literacy in the U.S.

• One response: Expand K-12 personal finance and economic education requirements.

Existing body of research on the effectiveness of personal finance education yields conflicting findings at best (Fernandes et al. (2013); Willis (2011)).

This paper uses a novel approach to independently examine the effect of specific, well-defined personal finance mandates in three states.

・ロット (雪) () () () ()



Policy Response: Financial Education in the U.S.

After the 2008 financial crisis, policymakers intensified efforts to increase financial literacy in the U.S.

• One response: Expand K-12 personal finance and economic education requirements.

Existing body of research on the effectiveness of personal finance education yields conflicting findings at best (Fernandes et al. (2013); Willis (2011)).

This paper uses a novel approach to independently examine the effect of specific, well-defined personal finance mandates in three states.

ヘロア ヘビア ヘビア・



Policy Response: Financial Education in the U.S.

After the 2008 financial crisis, policymakers intensified efforts to increase financial literacy in the U.S.

• One response: Expand K-12 personal finance and economic education requirements.

Existing body of research on the effectiveness of personal finance education yields conflicting findings at best (Fernandes et al. (2013); Willis (2011)).

This paper uses a novel approach to independently examine the effect of specific, well-defined personal finance mandates in three states.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・



Policy Response: Financial Education in the U.S.

After the 2008 financial crisis, policymakers intensified efforts to increase financial literacy in the U.S.

• One response: Expand K-12 personal finance and economic education requirements.

Existing body of research on the effectiveness of personal finance education yields conflicting findings at best (Fernandes et al. (2013); Willis (2011)).

This paper uses a novel approach to independently examine the effect of specific, well-defined personal finance mandates in three states.

・ロ・ ・ 同・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

-

Background

Previous Literature

Paper	Financial Education	Other Education	Sample Age
Brown et al.	↑ credit score	Econ	22-28
FRBNY WP	\downarrow CC, auto	Math ↑ bankruptcy	
(2013)	delinquency		
Cole et al.	No effect	Math ↓ debt	24-54
HBS WP			
(2012)			
Tennyson &	↑ literacy		HS
Nguyen JCA	only when tested		Students
(2001)			
Bernheim	↑ stock participation	Merges Econ &	30-49
et al. JPubE	↑ asset	Personal Finance	
(2001)	accumulation		

Limitations of Previous Literature

Previous literature often assumes all personal finance education mandates are equal. However...

- Often a lag between mandate passage and implementation in schools (varies by state).
- After passage, some states do not require school districts to actually implement the new curriculum.
- Few states require teacher training on new curriculum.
- Hard to identify financial education effects if other education mandates (e.g. math, economics) change at the same time.

 \rightarrow Ignoring these issues could bias estimates towards finding no effect.

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

Limitations of Previous Literature

Previous literature often assumes all personal finance education mandates are equal. However...

- Often a lag between mandate passage and implementation in schools (varies by state).
- After passage, some states do not require school districts to actually implement the new curriculum.
- Few states require teacher training on new curriculum.
- Hard to identify financial education effects if other education mandates (e.g. math, economics) change at the same time.

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

 \rightarrow Ignoring these issues could bias estimates towards finding no effect.

Our Contribution

Estimate Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE) of personal finance education in specific states

Background

- Choose three states with relatively rigorous mandates passed post-2000: GA, ID, TX
- Determine exactly what each mandate entailed: standardized curricula, graduation requirements, teacher training, etc.
- Begin treatment with first class affected by mandate, not following passage of mandate.
- Use synthetic control methods to build proper comparison groups for each treated state.

Question: What are the effects of these specific personal finance education mandates in high school on credit behavior in early adulthood?

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban

State Financial Education

Our Contribution

Estimate Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE) of personal finance education in specific states

Background

- Choose three states with relatively rigorous mandates passed post-2000: GA, ID, TX
- Determine exactly what each mandate entailed: standardized curricula, graduation requirements, teacher training, etc.
- Begin treatment with first class affected by mandate, not following passage of mandate.
- Use synthetic control methods to build proper comparison groups for each treated state.

Question: What are the effects of these specific personal finance education mandates in high school on credit behavior in early adulthood?

Our Contribution

Estimate Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE) of personal finance education in specific states

Background

- Choose three states with relatively rigorous mandates passed post-2000: GA, ID, TX
- Determine exactly what each mandate entailed: standardized curricula, graduation requirements, teacher training, etc.
- Begin treatment with first class affected by mandate, not following passage of mandate.
- Use synthetic control methods to build proper comparison groups for each treated state.

Question: What are the effects of these specific personal finance education mandates in high school on credit behavior in early adulthood?

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

ヘロン 不通 とくほ とくほ とう

э

Data Sources

Collect Data on Financial Education Mandates from 2000 to present from:

- Jump\$tart Coalition for Personal Financial Literacy
- Council for Economic Education (CEE) Survey of the States
- Champlain College Center for Financial Literacy
 - In many cases, Jump\$tart and CEE conflict.
 - Heterogeneity and actual implementation (vs. mandate) matter.
- Direct contact with states, graduation requirement documents, standardized curriculum.

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Treatment States: GA, ID, TX

- Each state's education program was implemented for graduating class of 2007.
- Each taught Personal Finance in a required HS Economics course.
- Each offered teacher training and a standardized curriculum.
- No other mandated economics, personal finance, or math course requirement changes in the sample period (2000-2013)

Georgia 1yr Yes	State	Length	Testing		
	Georgia Idaho	1yr 0.5 yr	Yes No		

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Control States

Need adequate control states without mandates that did not change curriculum in the sample period (2000-2013).

- Solution: Use Synthetic Control Methods for Comparative Case Studies (Abadie et al. (2010); Abadie and Gardeazabal (2003)).
- Collect state-level data in 2000: GDP, Median HH Inc, Poverty Rate, HPI, Unemployment, Education levels, Region, Division, % Private Schools, \$ per Pupil, Race, Ethnicity, Total Education Expenditures.
- Find weights such that treatment states are comprised of one weighted average of potential control states based on pre-period observable characteristics.
- Assume: no contamination (spillovers) in Treatment effects.

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Treatment and 24 Potential Control States (+ AK & HI)



Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

Synthetic Controls Selection:

GA	(1)	ID	(1)	ТΧ	(1)
AK	0.03				
AL	0.084	ND	0.441	CA	0.318
CA	0.021	NE	0.247	KY	0.382
DE	0.111	WA	0.312	MS	0.3
HI	0.021				
KY	0.696				
MD	0.037				

Specification (1) GDP, Median Inc, Poverty Rate, HPI, Unemployment, Education, Region, % Private Schools, $\frac{\$}{Pupil}$, Race, Ethnicity, Education \$s

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Treatment and Synthetic Control States



Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Treatment and Border Control States



Consumer Credit Panel Data

Use administrative credit bureau data from the FRBNY/Equifax Consumer Credit Panel (CCP)

- 5% sample of U.S. credit files from Equifax, plus all household members with credit files.
- Panel data collected quarterly.
- Know birth-date, so we assume age 18 = graduation year.
- Not all individuals in sample have credit files at 18, assume HS state = current state.
- Restrict the sample to those 18-22 years of age.

Dependent variables:

- Credit Score
- Delinquency: Any account 30, or 90+ days delinquent; Auto loan 30, or 90+ days delinquent

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Synthetic Control, Treatment, and Border Sample: GA

	Control	GA	Border (FL)
Credit Score	618.1239	606.5294	611.1519
	(85.6048)	(89.4437)	(88.1336)
Number of Accounts	2.3075	2.0766	2.4485
	(2.3996)	(2.2480)	(2.5576)
Account 30 Days Delinquent	0.1535	0.1576	0.1581
	(0.3604)	(0.3644)	(0.3648)
Account 90 + Days Delinquent	0.1751	0.1818	0.1809
	(0.3801)	(0.3857)	(0.3849)
Auto 30 Days Delinquent	0.0320	0.0362	0.0310
	(0.1759)	(0.1867)	(0.1732)
Auto 90 + Days Delinquent	0.0115	0.0127	0.0103
	(0.1066)	(0.1120)	(0.1008)
Number of Individuals	329160	55081	112735

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban

State Financial Education

ヘロン ヘ週ン ヘヨン ヘヨン

ъ

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Synthetic Control, Treatment, and Border Sample: ID

	Control	ID	Border (WY, MT
Credit Score	638.1212	632.3341	637.6713
	(80.2629)	(85.5644)	(78.4124)
Number of Accounts	2.4552	2.2857	2.4190
	(2.3890)	(2.1718)	(2.3192)
Account 30 Days Delinquent	0.1115	0.1079	0.1148
	(0.3147)	(0.3102)	(0.3188)
Account 90 + Days Delinquent	0.1205	0.1217	0.1237
	(0.3256)	(0.3269)	(0.3292)
Auto 30 Days Delinquent	0.0207	0.0229	0.0220
	(0.1425)	(0.1495)	(0.1467)
Auto 90 + Days Delinquent	0.0066	0.0087	0.0098
	(0.0810)	(0.0927)	(0.0983)
Number of Individuals	62678	11310	10999

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban

State Financial Education

ヘロン ヘ週ン ヘヨン ヘヨン

ъ

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Synthetic Control, Treatment, and Border Sample: TX

	Control	ТΧ	Border (NM)
Credit Score	630.2958	609.3161	614.2561
	(85.4562)	(88.5174)	(87.2455)
Number of Accounts	2.3680	2.3674	2.2232
	(2.3532)	(2.4861)	(2.1841)
Account 30 Days Delinquent	0.1076	0.1488	0.1375
	(0.3099)	(0.3559)	(0.3444)
Account 90 + Days Delinquent	0.1260	0.1781	0.1585
	(0.3318)	(0.3826)	(0.3652)
Auto 30 Days Delinquent	0.0311	0.0323	0.0297
	(0.1735)	(0.1768)	(0.1698)
Auto 90 + Days Delinquent	0.0078	0.0084	0.0110
	(0.0879)	(0.0911)	(0.1043)
Number of Individuals	270322	153807	12625

State Financial Education

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう

3

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

Trends in Dependent Variables



Other Dependent Variables

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban

State Financial Education

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日 二

Financial Education Mandates Consumer Credit Panel Empirical Method

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q ()

Empirical Strategy: Difference-in-Differences

$$Y_{ist} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 (T_s \times P1_{it}) + \beta_2 (T_s \times P2_{it}) + \beta_3 (T_s \times P3_{it}) + \gamma_1 u_{it} + \delta_s + \kappa X_{it} + \eta_t + \epsilon_{ist}$$

 Y_{ist} = credit score, any trade delinquency, and auto trade delinquency

$$T_s = 1$$
 if state was treated

 $T_s \times P1, 2, 3_{it} = 1$ if received education 1, 2, or 3 years following implementation

- u_{it} = unemployment rate in the county
- n_i = number of quarters of individual's credit file
- $\delta_s = \text{state fixed effects}$
- X_{it} = number of credit accounts for individual i
- η_t = quarter by year fixed effects

Main Results

Synthetic Control Sample Results: GA

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Credit	Account 30	Account 90 +	Auto 30	Auto 90 +
	Score	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent
P1	0.666	-0.00232**	-0.00550***	-0.00229	0.000768
	(0.414)	(0.00103)	(0.00135)	(0.00235)	(0.00149)
P2	13.40***	-0.00509***	-0.0205***	-0.00515**	-0.00249*
	(0.419)	(0.00108)	(0.00140)	(0.00237)	(0.00145)
P3	28.71***	-0.0149***	-0.0364***	-0.0174***	-0.00257
	(0.508)	(0.00127)	(0.00169)	(0.00264)	(0.00184)
Ν	3894181	3412901	3412901	687659	687659
Means	606.5	0.158	0.182	0.036	0.013

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban State Financial Education

Main Results

Synthetic Control Sample Results: ID

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Credit	Account 30	Account 90 +	Auto 30	Auto 90 +
	Score	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent
P1	-7.332***	0.00388*	0.00846***	0.00257	-0.000784
	(0.917)	(0.00204)	(0.00262)	(0.00350)	(0.00192)
P2	2.183**	-0.00206	-0.0114***	-0.00670**	0.00297
	(0.861)	(0.00191)	(0.00232)	(0.00309)	(0.00240)
P3	6.509***	-0.00180	-0.00686**	-0.0115***	-0.00929***
	(0.977)	(0.00224)	(0.00288)	(0.00355)	(0.00178)
Ν	703386	620045	620045	138733	138733
Means	632.3	0.108	0.122	0.023	0.009

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban State Financial Education

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Main Results

Synthetic Control Sample Results: TX

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Credit	Account 30	Account 90 +	Auto 30	Auto 90 +
	Score	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent
P1	-0.938***	0.00137**	-0.00810***	-0.00608***	0.000483
	(0.261)	(0.000601)	(0.000825)	(0.00117)	(0.000654)
P2	4.943***	0.000253	-0.0218***	-0.00621***	-0.00124*
	(0.262)	(0.000599)	(0.000811)	(0.00123)	(0.000637)
P3	13.01***	-0.000685	-0.0327***	-0.00568***	-0.00198***
	(0.284)	(0.000666)	(0.000887)	(0.00142)	(0.000712)
Ν	4174049	3683648	3683648	752678	752678
Means	609.3	0.149	0.178	0.032	0.008

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban State Financial Education

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Main Results

Border Sample Results: GA

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Credit	Account 30	Account 90 +	Auto 30	Auto 90 +
	Score	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent
M1	6.743***	-0.00331***	-0.0106***	-0.00702***	-0.00137
	(0.437)	(0.00115)	(0.00140)	(0.00210)	(0.00135)
M2	10.23***	-0.00345***	-0.0186***	-0.00685***	-0.00705***
	(0.460)	(0.00120)	(0.00147)	(0.00235)	(0.00140)
M3	9.251***	-0.00149	-0.0222***	-0.00966***	-0.00770***
	(0.476)	(0.00123)	(0.00153)	(0.00243)	(0.00142)
Ν	2869079	2547209	2547209	552460	552460
Means	606.5	0.158	0.182	0.036	0.013

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0)

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban State Financial Education

Main Results

Border Sample Results: ID

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Credit	Account 30	Account 90 +	Auto 30	Auto 90 +
	Score	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent
M1	-3.290***	-0.000663	-0.00203	-0.00501	0.00175
	(0.920)	(0.00223)	(0.00255)	(0.00323)	(0.00228)
M2	-4.411***	-0.00123	-0.00320	-0.00545	0.000956
	(0.994)	(0.00231)	(0.00266)	(0.00340)	(0.00220)
M3	-6.742***	0.00743***	-0.00530**	0.000782	-0.00170
	(0.976)	(0.00238)	(0.00259)	(0.00364)	(0.00194)
Ν	448347	401074	401074	93344	93344
Means	632.3	0.108	0.122	0.023	0.009

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - の Q ()

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban State Financial Education

Main Results

Border Sample Results: TX

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Credit	Account 30	Account 90 +	Auto 30	Auto 90 +
	Score	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent	Days Delinquent
M1	5.179***	-0.00369***	-0.0118***	-0.00997***	-0.000571
	(0.272)	(0.000670)	(0.000856)	(0.00120)	(0.000662)
M2	1.074***	0.000611	-0.0101***	-0.00732***	-0.00278***
	(0.283)	(0.000694)	(0.000896)	(0.00128)	(0.000662)
M3	3.733***	0.000818	-0.0182***	-0.0117***	-0.00367***
	(0.294)	(0.000709)	(0.000914)	(0.00136)	(0.000696)
Ν	3074161	3205133	3205133	3205133	3205133
Means	609.3	0.149	0.178	0.032	0.008

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban State Financial Education

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - の Q ()

Discussion

- Find evidence that rigorous personal finance education mandates do in fact have an effect on early-life delinquency and credit scores.
- Emphasize that not all state education mandates or personal finance education programs are created equal
- If well done, personal finance education appears to yield significant improvements in financial behavior
- However, estimating the long-run effects of financial education will require further research

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

Contact

Maximilian D. Schmeiser Federal Reserve Board max.schmeiser@frb.gov 202.728.5882



Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban

State Financial Education

Big 3 Questions (Lusardi and Mitchell (2008, 2011))

- Suppose you had \$100 in a savings account and the interest rate was 2 percent per year. After 5 years, how much do you think you would have in the account if you left the money to grow: more than \$102, exactly \$102; less than \$102; do not know; refuse to answer.
- Imagine that the interest rate on your savings account was 1 percent per year and inflation was 2 percent per year. After 1 year, would you be able to buy: more than, exactly the same as, or less than today with the money in this account; do not know; refuse to answer.
- Oo you think that the following statement is true or false? "Buying a single company stock usually provides a safer return than a stock mutual fund." [true; false; do not know; refuse to answer]

ヘロア ヘビア ヘビア・



Synthetic Controls

- Use when only a few, aggregated entities exist.
- Combination of aggregated units best mimics the treatment.
- Control is selected as weighted average of all potential comparison units.
- Absence of randomization still exists.
- Small sample bias still exists.
- More important to do Placebo tests

▶ Go Back

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Trends in Other Dependent Variables



▸ Go Back

Brown, Collins, Schmeiser, Urban

State Financial Education

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●