

June 30, 2025

The Honorable Michelle W. Bowman  
Vice Chair for Supervision  
Federal Reserve Board  
20<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20551

The Honorable Rodney Hood  
Acting Comptroller of the Currency  
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency  
400 7<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington, D.C. 20219

The Honorable Travis Hill  
Acting Chairman  
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation  
550 17<sup>th</sup> Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20429

Dear Vice Chair Bowman, Acting Comptroller Hood, and Acting Chairman Hill:

We are writing on behalf of 53 trade associations representing banks of every size to urge the federal banking regulators to prioritize the indexing of supervisory asset thresholds. This issue carries far-reaching implications for the structure, efficiency, and fairness of our financial system.

Inflation affects every corner of the American economy, from the price of consumer goods to the purchasing power of deposits. Yet, many supervisory asset thresholds applicable to banks remain fixed in nominal terms, unchanged for years or even decades. Another key driver of the resulting misalignment has been the growth of the banking sector itself, which has expanded significantly alongside the broader economy. As a result, thresholds that once reflected meaningful distinctions in size, complexity, or risk now capture institutions that were never intended to be subject to more burdensome regulatory requirements.

Indexing plays a [crucial role](#) in maintaining regulatory relevance, reducing unintended constraints on market participants and the public, and ensuring that rules remain appropriately calibrated as the economy grows. While regulators have recognized the importance of [revisiting asset triggers](#) (such as for the 18-month exam cycle; see Figure 1), very few supervisory asset thresholds are regularly adjusted for growth. The practical consequence of this failure to index is a steady expansion of regulatory reach — not through legislative or regulatory deliberation, but by default.

18-month exam cycle: Asset threshold adjustments	
Effective date	Asset threshold
8/28/1998	\$250 million
9/25/2007	\$500 million
1/17/2017	\$1 billion
1/17/2019	\$3 billion
Source: ABA analysis	

The failure to index has real effects on institutional behavior, strategic growth decisions, and market structure. Banks with limited complexity or risk profiles may be forced to shoulder costs and reporting burdens designed for much larger peers. Institutions manage their balance sheets defensively to avoid crossing arbitrary thresholds. In some cases, this distortion discourages organic growth and instead encourages consolidation as the only viable means to absorb new regulatory burdens.

Static thresholds also carry consequences for regulators. An expanding pool of covered banks beyond the intended scope dilutes regulatory efforts and the ability of agencies to focus on the largest sources of risk. These outcomes run counter to the policy objectives Congress and regulators have set.

We appreciate the growing attention across both Congress and the regulatory agencies to the challenges posed by outdated supervisory thresholds. For example, at ABA’s Washington Summit, House Financial Services Committee Chairman [French Hill](#) proposed raising the \$10 billion asset threshold to \$25 billion, citing the distortive effects of thresholds that no longer reflect institutional scale or risk. Similarly, Subcommittee Chairman [Andy Barr](#) has introduced legislation that would raise the \$10 billion threshold to \$50 billion.

We were similarly encouraged to hear recent comments from [Vice Chair Bowman](#) and [Acting Chairman Hill](#) expressing broad support for modernizing and indexing supervisory thresholds across the board, including for category thresholds established in the 2019 tailoring rules. We also note the [recent letter](#) from the Conference of State Bank Supervisors (CSBS) emphasizing the need to revisit asset thresholds. These developments reflect a shared understanding that static thresholds, left unadjusted, have drifted out of sync with their original policy intent.

We respectfully urge the regulatory agencies to continue evaluating opportunities to use existing authority to index supervisory asset thresholds. We also encourage the agencies to work with Congress to identify where statutory changes are required to implement indexing so that standards do not unintentionally drift over time. In doing so, policymakers will support a healthier, more resilient, and more competitive banking system — one better positioned to serve customers, communities, and the broader economy.

Sincerely,

American Bankers Association  
Alabama Bankers Association  
Alaska Bankers Association  
Arizona Bankers Association  
Arkansas Bankers Association  
California Bankers Association  
Colorado Bankers Association

Connecticut Bankers Association  
DC Bankers Association  
Delaware Bankers Association  
Florida Bankers Association  
Georgia Bankers Association  
Hawaii Bankers Association  
Idaho Bankers Association

Illinois Bankers Association  
Indiana Bankers Association  
Iowa Bankers Association  
Kansas Bankers Association  
Kentucky Bankers Association  
Louisiana Bankers Association  
Maine Bankers Association  
Maryland Bankers Association  
Massachusetts Bankers Association  
Michigan Bankers Association  
Minnesota Bankers Association  
Mississippi Bankers Association  
Missouri Bankers Association  
Montana Bankers Association  
Nebraska Bankers Association  
Nevada Bankers Association  
New Hampshire Bankers Association  
New Jersey Bankers Association  
New Mexico Bankers Association  
New York Bankers Association

North Carolina Bankers Association  
North Dakota Bankers Association  
Ohio Bankers League  
Oklahoma Bankers Association  
Oregon Bankers Association  
Pennsylvania Bankers Association  
Puerto Rico Bankers Association  
Rhode Island Bankers Association  
South Carolina Bankers Association  
South Dakota Bankers Association  
Tennessee Bankers Association  
Texas Bankers Association  
Utah Bankers Association  
Vermont Bankers Association  
Virginia Bankers Association  
Washington Bankers Association  
West Virginia Bankers Association  
Wisconsin Bankers Association  
Wyoming Bankers Association

**cc:** The Honorable French Hill  
Chairman  
House Committee on Financial Services  
2129 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Tim Scott  
Chairman  
Senate Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee  
534 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510