Working with Borrowers

1. **Payment Accommodations.** Would it be acceptable for a bank to offer borrowers affected by COVID-19 payment accommodations, such as allowing borrowers to defer or skip some payments or extending the payment due date.

   Yes. The FDIC encourages financial institutions to provide borrowers affected in a variety of ways by the COVID-19 outbreak with payment accommodations that facilitate their ability to work through the immediate impact of the virus. Such assistance provided in a prudent manner to borrowers facing short-term setbacks could help the borrower and a community to recover. The FDIC understands that effective loan accommodation programs may involve protracted resolutions, but all should be ultimately targeted toward loan repayment.

   Financial institutions may want to consider addressing any deferred or skipped payments by either extending the original maturity date or by making those payments due in a balloon payment at the maturity date of the loan. When deferring or skipping payments, providing borrowers with accurate disclosures that are consistent with federal and state consumer protection laws will help to avoid any misunderstandings relative to the changes in the terms. Financial institutions can call their FDIC Regional Office, which can assist them by discussing key considerations and regulations on payment accommodations and disclosures.

2. **[As of 4/14/2020] Reporting to Credit Reporting Agencies.** How should financial institutions report loan payment histories to the credit reporting agencies when the institution and borrower have agreed to a payment accommodation?

   For institutions that report credit payment histories to a credit reporting agency, Section 4021 of the recently enacted Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act)\(^1\) amends the Fair Credit Reporting Act\(^2\) to provide relief from negative credit reporting for those who seek payment modifications or forbearances because of the coronavirus pandemic. Under Section 4021, during the period of January 31, 2020 until 120 days after the end of the national coronavirus emergency declaration, if a financial institution makes an accommodation for one or more payments, and the borrower fulfills the terms of the accommodation, the institution should report the account as current. However, if an account was delinquent before the coronavirus pandemic the financial institution can continue to report the account as delinquent unless the account is brought current. Further, Section 4021 does not apply to accounts that have been charged off.

   The credit reporting agencies have provided guidance regarding the credit reporting coding options available for furnishers of credit report information during disasters and specifically the COVID-19 emergency. Furnishers are encouraged to review the options available to determine the appropriate coding policy for their borrowers.

---

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)\(^3\) released a statement reiterating that financial institutions must follow the provisions of the CARES Act.\(^4\) Refer to the CFPB for more information regarding the FCRA. In addition, the FDIC encourages financial institutions to provide borrowers affected by the COVID-19 outbreak with payment accommodations that facilitate their ability to work through the immediate impact of the virus.

3. **Documentation. What type of documentation should financial institutions maintain relative to providing an accommodation to a borrower affected by COVID-19?**

Financial institutions should maintain appropriate documentation that considers borrowers’ payment status prior to being affected by COVID-19, and borrowers’ payment performance according to the changes in terms provided by the payment accommodation. Documentation could also include the borrowers’ recovery plans, sources of repayment, additional advances on existing or new loans, and value of the collateral.

4. **Reporting Delinquent Loans. Do loans that receive payment accommodations have to be reported as delinquent or non-performing?**

Borrowers who were current prior to becoming affected by COVID-19 and then receive payment accommodations as a result of the effects of COVID-19 generally would not be reported as past due. Each financial institution should consider the specific facts and circumstances regarding its payment accommodations for borrowers affected by COVID-19 in determining the appropriate reporting treatment in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and regulatory reporting instructions. Past due reporting status in regulatory reports should be determined in accordance with the contractual terms of a loan, as its terms have been revised under a payment accommodation or similar program provided to an individual customer or across-the-board to all affected customers.

Accordingly, if all payments are current in accordance with the revised terms of the loan, the loan would not be reported as past due.

For loans subject to a payment deferral program on which payments were past due prior to the borrower being affected by COVID-19, it is the FDIC’s position that the delinquency status of the loan may be adjusted back to the status that existed at the date of the borrower became affected, essentially being frozen for the duration of the payment deferral period. For example, if a consumer loan subject to a payment deferral program was 60 days past due on the date of the borrower became affected by COVID-19, an institution would continue to report the loan in its regulatory reports as 60 days past due during the deferral period (unless the loan is reported in nonaccrual status or charged off).

---

\(^3\) See at [https://www.consumerfinance.gov/](https://www.consumerfinance.gov/).

5. **[As of 4/14/2020] Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs). When does a payment accommodation become a TDR?**

Modifications of loan terms do not automatically result in TDRs, and, as described below, institutions generally do not need to categorize COVID-19-related modifications as TDRs. According to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), a restructuring of a debt constitutes a TDR if the creditor, for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor’s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider.\(^5\)

Under Section 4013 of the CARES Act, banks may elect not to categorize loan modifications as TDRs if they are (1) related to COVID-19; (2) executed on a loan that was not more than 30 days past due as of December 31, 2019; and (3) executed between March 1, 2020, and the earlier of (A) 60 days after the date of termination of the National Emergency or (B) December 31, 2020. For all other loan modifications, the agencies have confirmed with staff of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) that short-term modifications made on a good faith basis in response to COVID-19 to borrowers who were current prior to any relief, are not TDRs. This includes short-term (e.g., six months) modifications such as payment deferrals, fee waivers, extensions of repayment terms, or other delays in payment that are insignificant.\(^6\)

Borrowers considered current are those that are less than 30 days past due on their contractual payments at the time a modification program is implemented.

Examiners will exercise judgment in reviewing loan modifications, and will not automatically adversely risk rate credits that are affected by COVID-19. Regardless of whether modifications result in loans that are considered TDRs or are adversely classified, agency examiners will not criticize prudent efforts to modify the terms on existing loans to affected customers. Please refer to interagency supervisory guidance,\(^7\) which provides more information on TDRs.

6. **TDR Categorization. Will FDIC examiners make banks categorize all loan modifications related to COVID-19 events as a TDR?**

No. The FDIC continues to encourage financial institutions to work with borrowers who may be impacted by COVID-19, by offering to modify, extend, suspend, or defer the repayment terms. FDIC examiners have been directed to exercise significant flexibility in reviewing credits that are impacted by COVID-19 and will work with financial institutions relative to any reporting issues. Please refer to interagency supervisory guidance,\(^8\) which provides more information on TDRs.

---

\(^5\) The TDR designation is an accounting categorization, as promulgated by the FASB and codified in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 310-40, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (ASC 310-40).

\(^6\) According to ASC 310-40, factors to be considered in making this determination, which could be qualitative, are whether the amount of delayed restructured payments is insignificant relative to the unpaid principal or collateral value of the debt, thereby resulting in an insignificant shortfall in the contractual amount due from the borrower, and whether the delay in timing of the restructured payment period is insignificant relative to the frequency of payments due under the debt, the debt’s original contractual maturity, or the debt’s original expected duration.


7. **Accommodations for Loans Guaranteed by the Small Business Administration.** Can financial institutions provide payment accommodations to borrowers whose loans are guaranteed by the SBA?

Financial institutions can provide payment accommodations that modify, extend, suspend, or defer the repayment terms on SBA-guaranteed loans to borrowers affected by COVID-19. While the majority of payment accommodations do not require SBA approval, financial institutions should determine what types of modifications require the SBA’s approval. More information regarding the SBA’s programs is available at [https://www.sba.gov/](https://www.sba.gov/).

8. **Nonaccrual Status, Allowance for Credit Losses (ACL), Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL), and Charge-offs.** Do loans that receive payment accommodations have to be reported as nonaccrual, reflect appropriate ACL or ALLL, and be charged off?

Each financial institution should refer to the applicable regulatory reporting instructions, as well as its internal accounting policies, in determining whether to report loans with accommodations to customers affected by COVID-19 as nonaccrual assets in regulatory reports. (See also the response to questions 4 and 6). Each institution should maintain an appropriate allowance allocation for these loans, considering all information available prior to filing its reports about their collectability. As information becomes available that indicates a specific loan will not be repaid, institutions should preserve the integrity of their internal loan grading methodology and maintain appropriate accrual status on affected credits. Financial institutions should refer to the charge-off guidance in the instructions for the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income.
Operational Issues

1. Alternative Service Options. In an effort to protect employees and customers, can a financial institution limit access to branch offices, such as by limiting access to the use of the drive-up window?

Yes. Financial institutions can consider alternative service options to provide access to financial services. Financial institutions may want to remind customers of the various ways they can access banking services without physically coming to a facility, such as managing their accounts online, performing transactions at an automated teller machine (ATM), using telephone banking, or accessing a mobile banking application. Financial institutions could also provide information about how to use electronic payments, bill pay, and mobile remote deposit capture services.

Providing regularly updated information about the operating status of the bank, branch offices, remote access facilities, and mobile and online services as pandemic conditions evolve could be helpful to customers. Posting this information on the institution’s website, providing recorded information on its customer support lines, and pushing notifications out to customers that have signed up for alerts are just some of the ways institutions could help customers.

2. Filing Applications. Does the FDIC require financial institutions impacted by COVID-19 to file applications for temporary office closures?

No. The FDIC does not require an application to temporarily close a facility due to staffing challenges or to take precautionary measures. For example, some institutions may wish to limit foot traffic within a branch and provide services only through the drive-through lanes. The FDIC supports flexible approaches and encourages financial institutions to maintain a safe environment for their employees, reduce disruptions to their customers, provide alternative service options when practical, and reopen affected facilities when it is safe to do so.

However, financial institutions should check with their state regulator to determine whether state laws and regulations require applications to be filed. While no official application is required by the FDIC, affected financial institutions are encouraged to notify their primary federal and state regulator and their customers of temporary closure of an institution’s facilities and the availability of any alternative service options as soon as practical.

3. Difficulties Filing Reports. Will the FDIC give some forbearance to financial institutions experiencing difficulties in meeting regulatory reporting requirements?

The FDIC’s staff stands ready to work with financial institutions that may experience challenges fulfilling their reporting responsibilities, taking into account each financial institution’s particular circumstances. The FDIC encourages institutions affected by COVID-19 to take reasonable and prudent steps to comply with regulatory reporting requirements to the extent possible, and to contact their Regional Office if they are unable to do so.
4. **First Quarter 2020 Regulatory Report Filings.** The effects of COVID-19 may affect the ability of financial institutions to submit timely and accurate regulatory reports for March 31, 2020. These reports include bank Reports Condition and Income (Call Reports). What approach does the FDIC expect to take in situations where institutions affected by COVID-19 expect to encounter difficulty completing their March 31, 2020, regulatory reports?

The FDIC understands that financial institutions may need additional time to submit certain regulatory reports in light of staffing priorities and disruptions caused by the COVID-19. The FDIC will not take action against any institution for submitting its March 31, 2020, Reports of Condition and Income (Call Reports) after the respective filing deadline, as long as the report is submitted within 30 days of the official filing date. FDIC-supervised institutions are encouraged to contact the FDIC in advance of the official filing date if they anticipate a delayed submission.\(^9\)

5. **First Quarter 2020 Regulatory Reporting Disclosure.** Is there an ability for a financial institution to disclose additional information in its regulatory reports about the consequences of the impacts of COVID-19?

Yes, the FDIC notes that for financial institutions that file Call Reports, the management of such financial institutions may, if it wishes, submit a brief narrative statement on the amounts reported in the Call Report. This optional narrative statement will be made available to the public, along with the publicly available data in the Call Report. This statement has long been available for the use of financial institutions that are required to file a Call Report. Financial institutions may wish to comment on certain financial consequences to their institutions resulting from the effects of COVID-19 in the optional narrative statement. Institutions can refer to the General Instructions to the Call Report Instructions for more information.

6. **Sales of Held-to-Maturity Securities.** If a financial institution affected by the impact of COVID-19 sells investment securities that were classified as "held to maturity" (HTM) to meet its liquidity needs, will that financial institution's intent to hold other investment securities to maturity be questioned?

Under normal circumstances, the sale of any HTM investment would call into question an institution's intent to hold its remaining HTM investments to maturity. However, ASC Section 320-10-25 indicates that events that are isolated, nonrecurring, and unusual for the reporting enterprise that could not be reasonably anticipated may cause an enterprise to sell or transfer an HTM debt security without necessarily calling into question its intent to hold other HTM debt securities to maturity. ASC Section 320-10-25 specifically states that extremely remote disaster scenarios should not be anticipated by an entity in deciding whether it has the positive intent and ability to hold a debt security to maturity. Accordingly, in this situation, the sale of any HTM investment security would not necessarily call into question the bank’s intent to hold its remaining HTM investment securities until maturity. Financial institutions are encouraged to maintain documentation memorializing the intent and purpose of transactions involving the sale of HTM investment securities.

---

7. **First Quarter 2020 Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses or Allowances for Credit Losses.**

How should financial institutions with borrowers affected by the effects of COVID-19 determine the appropriate amount to report for their allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) or allowances for credit losses (ACLs), if applicable, in their first quarter regulatory reports?

For financial institutions that have not adopted FASB Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2016-13, “Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments,” with loans to borrowers impacted by the effects of COVID-19, it may be difficult at this time to determine the overall effect that the situation will have on the collectability of these loans. Many of these financial institutions will need time to evaluate their individual borrowers, assess the repayment capacity, and other available sources.

For its first quarter regulatory reports, management should consider all information available prior to filing the report about the collectability of the financial institution’s loan portfolio in order to make its best estimate of probable losses within a range of loss estimates, recognizing that there is a short time between the beginning effects of COVID-19 and the required filing date for the first quarter regulatory report. Consistent with GAAP, the amounts included in the ALLL in first quarter regulatory reports for estimated credit losses incurred as a result of the effects of COVID-19 should include those amounts that represent probable losses that can be reasonably estimated. As financial institutions are able to obtain additional information about their loans to borrowers affected by COVID-19, estimates of the effect of COVID-19 on loan losses could change over time and revised estimates of loan losses would be reflected in financial institution’s subsequent regulatory reports.

For financial institutions that have adopted FASB ASU No. 2016-13, with financial assets impacted by the effects of COVID-19, it may also be difficult at this time to determine the overall effect that the situation will have on the collectability of these assets. Many of these financial institutions will need time to evaluate their collective assessments on the net amount expected to be collected.

For its first quarter regulatory reports, management should consider all information available prior to filing the report about the collectability of the financial institution’s financial assets in order to make a good faith estimate on the net amount expected to be collected. Furthermore, management should ensure the measurement of expected credit losses includes forward-looking information, such as reasonable and supportable forecasts, in assessing the collectability of financial assets. The FDIC expects financial institutions to make good faith efforts to include its best estimate of expected credit losses within a range of expected loss estimates, recognizing that there is a short time between the beginning effects of COVID-19 and the required filing date for the first quarter regulatory report.

Consistent with GAAP, the amounts included in the ACL in first quarter regulatory reports for expected credit losses as a result of the effects of COVID-19 should include those amounts that represent expected credit losses over the remaining contractual term of the financial asset, adjusted for prepayments. As financial institutions are able to obtain additional information about their financial assets affected by COVID-19, estimates of the effect of COVID-19 on credit losses could change over time and revised estimates of credit losses would be reflected in financial institution’s subsequent regulatory reports.
8. **Security.** How should a bank handle customers wearing masks coming into a branch? It may be difficult to distinguish between a customer and a bank robber.

The FDIC encourages financial institutions to provide appropriate training to staff and to take appropriate measures to maintain the security of their staff as well as their customers. Local law enforcement should be contacted whenever staff is concerned about individuals on bank premises.

9. **Cash Management.** With the financial market disruptions caused by COVID-19, some customers may seek to deposit their money into FDIC-insured deposit accounts, while other customers may feel the need to withdraw large amounts of cash. What can a financial institution do to protect customers looking to hold onto large sums of cash?

Financial institutions may want to remind customers about the safety of their money in a financial institution that is FDIC-insured and discuss deposit insurance coverage of the customer’s accounts. Closely monitoring deposits, withdrawals, and the availability of cash can ensure financial institutions are prepared to meet customers’ cash needs.

10. **Community Bank Leverage Ratio (CBLR) Election.** Should financial institutions considering whether to make their CBLR election, delay their CBLR election?

The decision to elect the CBLR rests with financial institutions. Financial institutions will reflect their CBLR election on the March 31, 2020 Reports of Condition and Income (March Call Reports). The decision to elect CBLR for the March Call Report is not binding, and may be reversed in a subsequent quarter.

11. **Real Property Inspections.** How should financial institutions respond to COVID-19 related issues relative to inspections of real property?

Financial institutions should consult with appraisers and other persons performing real estate inspections about alternative arrangements if the inspector cannot access the interior of a property due to concerns related to COVID-19.

12. **Interior Inspection Alternatives.** What alternative options are available in lieu of obtaining an interior inspection for real estate secured loans due to concerns related to COVID-19?

Responses to COVID-19 related questions provided by The Appraisal Foundation note that the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) address situations where access to the interior of a property may not be feasible. USPAP permits an appraiser to make an extraordinary assumption about the interior of a property due to health concerns or other emergency conditions, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Appraisers may have a variety of reasonable bases for an extraordinary assumption, including, but not limited to:

---

• Determining an interior inspection is not needed because the appraiser has a reasonable basis for an extraordinary assumption and its use still results in a credible analysis.
• Having conducted a prior inspection of the property in the recent past.
• Obtaining an affidavit and/or pictures from the borrower regarding the interior.

13. **Real Property Appraisals.** How should COVID-19 related issues be addressed in appraisal reports?

Financial institutions should consult with appraisers about how to address any short-term, temporary reduction in the income stream produced by income-producing real estate that has been affected by COVID-19.

14. **[4/14/2020] Updated Valuation Information.** Do financial institutions need to obtain updated valuation information for real estate related transactions when granting a short-term loan modification to a borrower affected by COVID-19?

No. Loan modifications, extensions, or similar arrangements, such as those that may be provided in connection with mortgage forbearances required under Sections 4022 and 4023 of the CARES Act, do not require appraisals under the agencies’ appraisal regulations if the transaction is wholly or partially insured or guaranteed by a federal agency or government-sponsored enterprise (GSE), such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. Such transactions would instead be subject to the valuation standards required by the agency or GSE. Relatedly, the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies’ appraisal regulations generally would not require an appraisal for this type of transaction, as their appraisal regulations do not require an appraisal for a transaction involving an existing extension of credit at the lending institution (i.e., subsequent transactions) if there is not a new advance of funds, other than funds necessary to cover reasonable closing costs.

Rather, an evaluation is permitted in lieu of an appraisal under those circumstances. The federal financial institutions regulatory agencies’ appraisal regulations also permit an evaluation for extensions of credit at the lending institution if there has been no obvious and material change in market conditions or physical aspects of the property that threatens the adequacy of the real estate collateral protection after the transaction, even with advancement of new funds. Note additionally that a loan modification that only entails a decrease in the interest rate or a single extension of a limited or short-term nature would not be viewed as a subsequent transaction, and as such, not require an evaluation.\footnote{Interagency Guidelines on Appraisals and Evaluations. See 75 FR 77450, at 77464-77465 (December 10, 2010), available at https://occ.gov/news-issuances/federal-register/2010/75fr77450.pdf}.

15. **[4/14/2020] Alternative Signature Processes.** With regard to Part 363 Annual Reports and Notifications of Late Filings, can financial institutions submit documents with email authorization instead of the traditional wet signatures?

Yes, it is acceptable for financial institutions to use alternative methods to sign Part 363 Annual Reports and Notifications of Late Filing, such as email authorization and typing the name of the individual or individuals signing the reports. However, financial institutions should retain copies of Parts 363 Annual Reports and Notifications of Late Filing with original signatures.
For more information regarding electronic submissions, refer to FIL-71-2016, Electronic Filing of Part 363 Annual Reports and Other Reports and Notices, which addresses filing Part 363 Annual Reports with the FDIC using FDIC Connect – Supervisory Business Center (FCX-SBC).

16. [4/14/2020] Real Estate Loans in Excess of Loan-to-Value (LTV) percentages. How will the FDIC view refinanced real estate loans with an advancement of new funds to borrowers affected by COVID-19 whose LTV exceeds supervisory LTV limits?

The FDIC recognizes the COVID-19 pandemic may have a negative impact on the market value of real estate collateral for loan renewal and refinancing requests from existing, creditworthy borrowers seeking to obtain additional funds from the transaction. Such transactions may result in exceptions to the supervisory LTV limits listed in the Interagency Guidelines for Real Estate Lending Policies, unless they meet certain criteria, including that the transaction was part of a prudent loan workout plan.

An institution’s board of directors is responsible for establishing standards for the review and approval of LTV exception loans, including those due to COVID-19. Such standards include reporting all such LTV exceptions to the board at least quarterly. Within these reports, financial institutions may find it useful to separately identify transactions that exceed the supervisory LTV limits due to COVID-19, such as for creditworthy borrowers’ liquidity needs.

The FDIC recognizes that the LTV ratio is only one of several pertinent credit factors to be considered when prudently underwriting a real estate loan. The FDIC will not criticize financial institutions that exceed the supervisory LTV limits for real estate secured loans, if extended in a safe and sound manner to creditworthy borrowers affected by COVID-19.

17. [4/14/2020] Risk weighting multifamily loans. Can multifamily loans continue to receive a 50 percent risk weight after they are restructured or modified consistent with the Interagency Statement on Loan Modifications and Reporting for Financial Institutions Working with Customers Affected by the Coronavirus (Loan Modification Statement)?

Yes. The Loan Modification Statement states that financial institutions’ efforts to work with borrowers with prudently underwritten one-to-four family mortgages whose loans are not past due or carried in nonaccrual status will not be considered restructured or modified for the purposes of the agencies’ respective risk-based capital rules. This approach applies to multifamily loans of $1 million or less that qualify as residential mortgage exposures. For other multifamily loans, the criteria to “not be restructured or modified” is not included within the requirements for a statutory multifamily mortgage to receive a 50 percent risk weight under the risk-based capital rules.

---

14 Residential mortgage exposure is defined in part 324.2 of the FDIC Rules and Regulations.
15 Statutory multifamily mortgage means a loan secured by a multifamily residential property that meets the requirements under section 618(b)(1) of the Resolution Trust Corporation Refinancing, Restructuring, and Improvement Act of 1991, and that meets the criteria for Statutory Multifamily Mortgage in part 324.2 of the FDIC Rules and Regulations.
However, a statutory multifamily loan will receive a 150 percent risk weight if it is 90 days past due or on nonaccrual status. Institutions should refer to the Interagency Statement for additional information on when a loan is considered past due or on nonaccrual status.

18. [4/14/2020] Debt Service Coverage (DSC) Ratio for Statutory Multifamily Mortgages. How should financial institutions calculate the DSC ratio to determine whether a loan to a borrower affected by COVID-19 continues to meet the definition of a statutory multifamily mortgage after it has been modified?

The definition of a statutory multifamily mortgage requires a DSC of at least 120 percent for a fixed-rate loan, or 115 percent for an adjustable rate loan. The DSC ratio is based on the property’s annual net operating income (NOI) for the most recent fiscal year and the loan’s annual debt service. Because there typically is a lag before a financial institution receives a property’s financial statements, the DSC ratio usually is based on the prior year’s operating results. Therefore, any accommodation provided to a statutory multifamily mortgage borrower affected by COVID-19 in 2020 will generally not affect eligibility as a statutory multifamily mortgage until 2021. For determining whether the DSC ratio meets the eligibility criteria in 2021, financial institutions can use the property’s NOI from 2020, taking into account any accommodations that modify, extend, suspend, or defer the payments to borrowers affected by COVID-19.

19. [4/14/2020] Risk-Weight for Balloon Payments. If a financial institution adds the option of including a deferred payment as a balloon payment at maturity, does that jeopardize it receiving a 50 percent risk weight?

The option to include the deferred payment as a balloon payment will not jeopardize the 50 percent risk weight if it is prior to the 30-year maturity (so that the loan would otherwise amortize to $0 by the end of 30 years.)

20. [04/17/2020] Reserve Account Administration/Regulation D. Are there changes to the six convenient transfer limit on savings deposits associated with the Federal Reserve Board’s elimination of reserve requirements?

The Federal Reserve has posted this information and some other FAQs regarding reserve requirements at FRBservices.org: https://www.frbservices.org/resources/central-bank/faq/reserve-account-admin-app.html. At present, Question 7 under the FAQ section titled “Elimination of Reserve Requirements – Announced March 15, 2020” provides the Federal Reserve’s response to this question. Bankers may want to periodically check back with this website to obtain the latest information from the Federal Reserve.

As background, transaction accounts such as checking accounts are subject to Regulation D’s reserve requirements because depositors can more easily transfer funds from those accounts and the reserve requirements ensure that banks will have enough cash on hand to meet depositors’ cash withdrawal requests.

16 The criteria are within the definition of statutory multifamily mortgage in part 324.2. Loans that meet the definition of statutory multifamily mortgage are eligible for 50 percent risk weight per section 32(g).
There are no reserve requirements for saving deposits because restricting them to no more than six “convenient” transfers or withdrawals per month makes such requirements unnecessary. “Convenient” transfers and withdrawals include preauthorized, automatic transfers and transfers and withdrawals initiated by telephone, facsimile, or computer, and transfers made by check, debit card, or other similar order made by the depositor and payable to third parties. Other, less-convenient types of transfers, such as withdrawals or transfers made in person at the bank, by mail, or by using an ATM, do not count toward the six-per-month limit.
Community Reinvestment Act (CRA)

1. [4/10/2020] Community Reinvestment Act Credit. Will all COVID-19 activity count toward CRA, or just those activities directed at LMI individuals and census tracts?

The agencies issued the Joint Statement on CRA Consideration for Activities in response to the COVID-19 (FIL-19-2020) (CRA Statement) on March 19, 2020. The CRA Statement discusses categories and examples of activities related to COVID-19 that will be given consideration under the CRA. Those include retail banking services and retail lending activities in a financial institution's assessment areas that are responsive to the needs of low- to moderate-income (LMI) individuals, small businesses, and small farms affected by COVID-19 consistent with safe and sound banking practices. In addition, qualifying community development (CD) activities will receive consideration. These would include CD activities that help to revitalize or stabilize LMI and distressed or underserved non-metropolitan middle income census tracts as well as those that support community services targeted to LMI individuals.


The CRA Statement discusses categories and examples of activities related to COVID-19 that will be given consideration under the CRA. One of the examples provided in FIL-19-2020 for consideration as a community development activity is investment or service activities that support provision of food supplies and services for low- and moderate-income individuals or communities. The donation of food to support LMI individuals, such as through a food bank, would fall within the qualified investment category. Volunteer hours to help at a food bank that are not financial-related are not considered community development services.

3. [4/10/2020] CRA Credit for Assisting LMI Employees. Can a financial institution receive positive CRA consideration for assisting its LMI employees?

The CRA Statement discusses categories and examples of activities related to COVID-19 that will be given consideration under the CRA. Provided the financial institution’s employees are LMI and live within the financial institution’s assessment area or broader statewide or regional areas (for community development activities), the financial institution can receive CRA consideration for activities beyond the scope of the normal employment relationship that benefit the employees during this pandemic, given that those activities match the permissible retail or community development activities under the regulations and the interagency questions and answers related to CRA. Nothing in the guidance differentiates between LMI individuals who are non-employees of the bank and those who are employed at the financial institution. Consideration would be dependent on the activity on a case-by-case basis.

4. [04/17/2020] CRA Credit for Assistance Outside Assessment Area (AA). Will a bank receive CRA credit for assisting COVID-19 impacted businesses if those businesses are located outside of a financial institution's AA?
The agencies will provide favorable CRA consideration for retail banking services, retail lending activities, and community development activities in a financial institution’s assessment areas that are responsive to the needs of low- and moderate-income individuals, small businesses, and small farms affected by the COVID-19 emergency and that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices. See March 19, 2020 Interagency Statement (Interagency Statement).

The agencies will also give favorable consideration to community development activities located in a broader statewide or regional area that includes a bank’s CRA assessment area and that help to stabilize communities affected by the COVID-19 emergency, provided that the bank is responsive to the community development needs and opportunities that exist in its own assessment area(s). See Interagency Statement.

Under the existing CRA regulations, a bank may receive community development credit for loans that have a primary purpose of community development (as defined in §__.12(g) of the CRA regulations) and that have not been reported for consideration in the bank’s assessment area as a home mortgage, small business, small farm, or consumer loan.
Bank Secrecy Act (BSA)

1. **[4/14/2020] Meeting BSA Filing Requirements. Do financial institutions with reduced staff have to meet the timeframes for processing reports related to BSA?**

   On March 16, 2020, the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) issued a press release encouraging financial institutions affected by COVID-19 to contact FinCEN and their functional regulators as soon as practicable if there were concerns about any potential delays in their ability to file required BSA reports. FinCEN’s Regulatory Support Section will continue to be available to support financial institutions for the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic. Financial institutions supervised by the FDIC should contact their Regional Office to discuss any concerns with filing BSA reports.

   On April 3, 2020, the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) issued a notice (FinCEN’s April 3rd Notice) that addressed BSA issues related to COVID-19. FinCEN’s April 3rd Notice addressed the timing of BSA filings given COVID-19 circumstances, as follows:

   - FinCEN has heard from certain financial institutions and trade associations for financial institutions about difficulties in meeting certain BSA obligations, including the timing requirements for certain BSA report filings. In response to concerns regarding certain timing requirements of BSA filings, FinCEN recognizes that certain regulatory timing requirements with regard to BSA filings may be challenging during the COVID-19 pandemic and that there may be some reasonable delays in compliance.

   - FinCEN will continue to assess reasonable risk-based approaches to BSA obligations and will issue further information, as appropriate.

   - In addition, FinCEN suspended the implementation of the February 6, 2020 ruling (FIN-2020-R001) on currency transaction report (CTR) filing obligations when reporting transactions involving sole proprietorships and entities operating under a “doing business as” (DBA) name (the “2020 Ruling”) until further notice. FinCEN’s April 3rd Notice stated that FinCEN will issue further information on these types of CTR filings at an appropriate time with reasonable implementation periods. Until such issuance, financial institutions should continue to report transactions involving sole proprietorships and DBAs under prior practice.

2. **[4/14/2020] BSA Questions. How can financial institutions raise BSA compliance concerns or challenges with FinCEN?**

   FinCEN’s April 3rd Notice stated that FinCEN had created an online contact mechanism for financial institutions to communicate to FinCEN concerns related to COVID-19. Financial institutions wishing to communicate concerns related to COVID-19 to FinCEN can:

---

• Go to www.FinCEN.gov.
• Click on “Need Assistance,” and
• Select “COVID19” in the subject drop-down list, which will facilitate COVID-19 communication with FinCEN.

FinCEN also encouraged financial institutions to contact their functional regulator(s) or other BSA examining authority as soon as practicable if a financial institution has BSA compliance concerns because of the COVID-19 pandemic. Financial institutions are encouraged to keep FinCEN and their functional regulator(s) or other BSA examining authority informed as their circumstances change.

3. [4/14/2020] Are the Small Business Administration’s Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loans for existing customers considered new accounts for Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) Customer Due Diligence (CDD) Rule purposes? Are lenders required to collect, certify, or verify beneficial ownership information in accordance with the rule requirements for existing customers?

Department of the Treasury PPP FAQ Response

Treasury’s PPP FAQs include a specific response to beneficial ownership and CDD requirements at account opening (see FAQ 18 at https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Paycheck-Protection-Program-Frequently-Asked-Questions.pdf). If the PPP loan is being made to an existing customer and the necessary information was previously verified, you do not need to re-verify the information. Furthermore, if federally insured depository institutions and federally insured credit unions eligible to participate in the PPP have not yet collected beneficial ownership information on existing customers, such institutions do not need to collect and verify beneficial ownership information for those customers applying for new PPP loans, unless otherwise indicated by the lender’s risk-based approach to BSA compliance.

FinCEN Response


• For eligible federally insured depository institutions and federally insured credit unions, PPP loans for existing customers will not require re-verification under applicable BSA requirements, unless otherwise indicated by the institution’s risk-based approach to BSA compliance.
• For non-PPP loans, FinCEN reminds financial institutions of FinCEN’s September 7, 2018 ruling (FIN-2018-R004) offering certain exceptive relief to beneficial ownership requirements. To the extent that renewal, modification, restructuring, or extension for existing legal entity customers falls outside of the scope of that ruling, FinCEN recognizes that a risk-based approach taken by financial institutions may result in reasonable delays in compliance.
4. **[4/14/2020] BSA Issues for New Customers.** What are a financial institution’s BSA requirements when a financial institution is approached by a non-customer (potential new customer relationship) regarding the Small Business Administration’s Paycheck Protection Program (PPP)?

Treasury’s PPP FAQs (#25)\(^{18}\) and FinCEN’s PPP FAQs (#2)\(^{19}\) address BSA requirements, relative to the PPP, for lenders with new customers. For new customers, the lender’s collection of the following information from all natural persons with a 20% or greater ownership stake in the applicant business will be deemed to satisfy applicable BSA requirements and FinCEN regulations governing the collection of beneficial ownership information: owner name, title, ownership %, TIN, address, and date of birth. If any ownership interest of 20% or greater in the applicant business belongs to a business or other legal entity, lenders will need to collect appropriate beneficial ownership information for that entity.

If you have additional questions about requirements related to beneficial ownership, refer to [https://www.fincen.gov/resources/statutes-and-regulations/cdd-final-rule](https://www.fincen.gov/resources/statutes-and-regulations/cdd-final-rule). Decisions regarding further verification of beneficial ownership information collected from new customers should be made pursuant to the lender’s risk-based approach to BSA compliance.

---


\(^{19}\) See at [https://www.fincen.gov/sites/default/files/2020-04/Paycheck_Protection_Program_FAQs.pdf](https://www.fincen.gov/sites/default/files/2020-04/Paycheck_Protection_Program_FAQs.pdf).
Available Resources