

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

_____)	
In the Matter of)	
JEFFREY L. OLSON,)	
individually and as an institution-affiliated)	
party of)	ORDER OF
)	PROHIBITION FROM
HASTINGS STATE BANK)	FURTHER PARTICIPATION
HASTINGS, NEBRASKA)	
)	
(Insured State Nonmember Bank))	FDIC-11-191e
_____)	

Jeffrey L. Olson (“Respondent”) has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“NOTICE”) issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), detailing the violations of law and regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, or breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“ORDER”) may issue, and has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the charges under section 8(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (“Act”), 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e), and the FDIC Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“CONSENT AGREEMENT”), whereby solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any violations of law or regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, or breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance of an ORDER by the FDIC.

Upon due consideration, the FDIC determined it had reason to believe that:

(a) Respondent has engaged or participated in violations of law and regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty, as an institution-affiliated party of Hastings State Bank, Hastings, Nebraska (“Bank”).

(b) By reason of such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty, the Bank has suffered or probably will suffer financial loss or other damages.

(c) Such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty involve personal dishonesty on the part of the Respondent and demonstrate the Respondent’s willful and/or continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such violations, practices and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrate the Respondent’s unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs, or as an institution-affiliated party of the Bank, of any other insured depository institution, or of any other agency or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

The FDIC, therefore, accepted the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issued the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

1. Unless he receives the prior written approval of the FDIC and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, as that term is defined in section 8(e)(7)(D) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), Respondent is hereby prohibited from:

(a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; or

(d) voting for a director, or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

2. This ORDER will become effective immediately upon its issuance. The provisions of this ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this ORDER shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of September, 2011.

/s/

Serena L. Owens
Associate Director
Division of Risk Management Supervision