

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

_____)	
)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
JEREMY TAYLOR, individually, and as an)	ORDER OF PROHIBITION
institution-affiliated party of)	FROM FURTHER
)	PARTICIPATION
TRI COUNTIES BANK)	
CHICO, CALIFORNIA)	FDIC-11-309e
)	
(INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANK))	
)	
_____)	

Jeremy Taylor (“Respondent”) has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) detailing the unsafe or unsound banking practices and/or breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“ORDER”) may issue, and has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the alleged charges under section 8(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (“Act”), 12 U.S.C. §§ 1818(e), and the FDIC’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“CONSENT AGREEMENT”) with a representative of the Legal Division of the FDIC, whereby solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any unsafe or unsound banking practices or breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance of the ORDER by the FDIC.

The FDIC considered the matter and determined it had reason to believe that:

(a) Respondent has engaged or participated in unsafe or unsound banking practices and/or breaches of fiduciary duty as an institution-affiliated party of Tri Counties Bank, Chico, California (“Tri Counties” or the “Bank”);

(b) By reason of such practices and/or breaches of fiduciary duty, the Bank has suffered or will probably suffer financial loss or other damage, the interests of the Bank’s depositors have been or could be prejudiced and/or Respondent received financial gain or other benefit; and

(c) Such practices and breaches of fiduciary duty involve personal dishonesty on the part of Respondent or demonstrate Respondent’s willful and/or continuing disregard for the safety and soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such practices and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrated Respondent’s unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs or as an institution-affiliated party of any insured depository institution, or any other agency or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

Based on the above, the FDIC accepts the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issues the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

1. Respondent is hereby prohibited, without the prior written approval of the FDIC and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, as that term is defined in section 8(e)(7)(D) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), from:

