

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

---

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
DAVID KENNELLY, individually and as an	)	
institution-affiliated party of	)	ORDER OF PROHIBITION
	)	FROM FURTHER
BANK OF CLARK COUNTY (IN RECEIVERSHIP)	)	PARTICIPATION
VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON	)	
	)	FDIC-10-416e
	)	
(INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANK)	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

---

David Kennelly (“Respondent”) has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) detailing the unsafe or unsound banking practices and/or breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“ORDER”) may issue, and has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the alleged charges under section 8(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (“Act”), 12 U.S.C. §§ 1818(e), and the FDIC’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“CONSENT AGREEMENT”) with a representative of the Legal Division of the FDIC, whereby solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any unsafe or unsound banking practices or breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance

of the ORDER by the FDIC.

The FDIC considered the matter and determined it had reason to believe that:

(a) Respondent has engaged or participated in unsafe or unsound banking practices and/or breaches of fiduciary duty as an institution-affiliated party of Bank of Clark County, Vancouver, Washington (“BoCC” or the “Bank”);

(b) By reason of such practices and breaches of fiduciary duty, the Bank has suffered financial loss or other damage and/or the interests of the Bank’s depositors have been prejudiced; and

(c) Such practices and breaches of fiduciary duty involved personal dishonesty on the part of Respondent or demonstrated Respondent’s willful and/or continuing disregard for the safety and soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such practices and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrated Respondent’s unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs or as an institution-affiliated party of any insured depository institution, or any other agency or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

Based on the above, the FDIC accepts the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issues the following:

**ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION**

1. Respondent is hereby prohibited, without the prior written approval of the FDIC and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, as that term is defined in section 8(e)(7)(D) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), from:

(a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. §

1818(e)(7)(A);

(b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; or

(d) voting for a director, or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

This ORDER shall become effective upon its issuance by the FDIC. The provisions of the ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of the ORDER shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
Serena L. Owens  
Associate Director  
Division of Risk Management Supervision