In Focus This Quarter

◆ The Asian Economic Crisis: Implications for the U.S. Economy—The economic crisis in Asia is now more than one year old, yet its consequences are still reverberating throughout the global economy. There are growing indications that some sectors of the U.S. economy are beginning to experience slower growth directly attributable to problems in Asia. Consequently, lenders should be cognizant of their customers’ exposure to global markets. Lending and strategic decisions predicated on an assumption of continued robust economic growth should be carefully scrutinized. See page 3.

  By Paul C. Bishop

◆ CLOs Lure Another Major Bank Asset off the Balance Sheet—Securitization of corporate loans and bonds is in full swing, with 1997 issuance exceeding that of securities backed by credit card loans. Collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) and collateralized bond obligations, securities with deal- and issuer-specific risks, are potential bank investments that may grow in popularity if a current proposal to lower the risk weights for AAA-rated securities is enacted. Banks with an ample supply of low-margin commercial loans are expected to issue more CLOs to an increasingly demanding secondary commercial loan market. An institution’s CLO strategy may have implications that should be considered when evaluating its capital adequacy trends. See page 8.

  By Kathy Kalser and Allen Puwalski

◆ The Payment System: Emerging Issues—The payment system is the heart of the U.S. economic infrastructure, moving value at the rate of 90 times the U.S. gross domestic product each year. The banking industry, although historically central to this movement, now faces a tangle of new technologies, new exposures, and new competitors that challenges its hold on the payments business. Its regulators face a different dilemma—that of how much intervention, if any, these changes warrant and how best to prevent the systemic exposures that increasingly large and rapid flows of money can create. Together, the issues they face frame a payment system that is fast becoming a technical and political contest. See page 14.
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◆ Regional Economy—The Region’s economy continues to prosper amid a national economic boom...fallout from the Asian crisis may harm selected industries, but so far effects in the Region appear limited...demographic trends may hinder demand for consumer loan products, housing, and retailing in the future. See page 20.

  By Norman Gertner

◆ Regional Banking—The Region’s financial institutions remain healthy despite narrowing net interest margins and weakness in credit cards...the Asian crisis creates uncertainty for the Region’s financial institutions, both domestic and foreign...demographic trends in the Region raise long-term issues about growth strategies for its financial institutions. See page 24.
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The Asian Economic Crisis: Implications for the U.S. Economy

- The impact of the Asian economic crisis on the U.S. economy has been increasingly evident, with some sectors experiencing slower growth as conditions in Asia continue to deteriorate.

- U.S. exports to Asia have decreased in recent months owing to falling demand for commodities, manufactured goods, and agricultural products.

- Slower U.S. growth resulting from reduced export sales and lower corporate profits could affect institutions throughout the nation.

The economic crisis in Asia is now more than one year old, yet the consequences of the unprecedented slide in currency values are still reverberating throughout the global economy. There are growing indications that some sectors of the U.S. economy are beginning to experience slower growth directly attributable to problems in the Asian economies. It is difficult to assess how significant and long-lasting the effects of the crisis will be, but it is clear that earlier views that the crisis would pass quickly and be followed by renewed growth were too optimistic. The consensus among economists and analysts now is that the recovery will be measured in years, not months.

Causes of the Crisis

Most economists agree that the Asian economies are in the midst of a steep and severe recession. For example, Indonesia’s gross domestic product fell by more than 12 percent in the first half of 1998, a decline second only to the drop in economic activity in the Soviet Union following its collapse in the early 1990s. While Indonesia may be the most startling example of economic deterioration in Asia, the other Asian nations also have experienced weakened stock markets, falling real estate values, rising corporate bankruptcies, and growing problem loan portfolios among financial institutions. It is generally agreed (with the benefit of hindsight) that the conditions that precipitated these events included the following:

1. Reduced Export Competitiveness: Most of the Asian economies had effectively pegged their currencies to the U.S. dollar. Between mid-1995 and early 1997, the U.S. dollar increased in value by more than 42 percent against the Japanese yen and by 23 percent against the German mark. This increase significantly worsened the international competitiveness of many Asian firms relative to Japanese or European competitors in export markets, since the value of their currencies and the price of their exports rose along with the U.S. dollar. By late 1995, export growth among the Southeast Asia economies was slowing, and by mid-1996 it was near zero.

2. Excess Production Capacity: Although Asian savings rates were among the highest in the world, domestic saving was not sufficient to fund the desired levels of investment in factories, roads, housing, and telecommunications. The resulting inflow of foreign capital funded rapid capacity expansion in key sectors such as autos, chemicals, and microchips. For example, capital inflows to Thailand totaled $1.9 billion in 1980 but rose to $15.2 billion by 1996. The increase in production capacity put downward pressure on prices and reduced earnings growth in key export sectors.

3. Rapid Asset Price Appreciation: Real estate, land, and share prices on the region’s stock markets soared during the 1980s and early 1990s. In Indonesia, for example, the Jakarta Composite stock index

3 A comprehensive survey of recent events and links to other information sources is available at the Asia Crisis Home Page, www.stern.nyu.edu/~nroubini/asia/AsiaHomepage.html.

3 A case in point is the growth of the auto industry. During the past several years, Korea invested heavily in new auto plants to satisfy both domestic and export demand. By 1999, Korean capacity is expected to reach 4.66 million light vehicles annually—2 million more than domestic demand. In Japan, excess capacity of 2.8 million vehicles is expected through 2002. Worldwide excess capacity in light vehicles is expected to reach more than 20 million units by 2002—more than the total 1997 production of General Motors, Ford, and Chrysler combined (Wall Street Journal, March 2, 1998). The result has been downward pressure on prices of domestically produced autos—down by 1.9 percent on the basis of the first-quarter 1998 producer price index—and imports, which have experienced price increases of less than 1 percent since mid-1996.
increased by nearly 53 percent in the two-year period ending in the first quarter of 1997.

- **Deteriorating Credit Quality:** Slower export growth and eroding competitiveness hampered Asian firms’ ability to repay debt incurred to finance the growing levels of investment. Some Korean conglomerates were burdened with a debt load equal to 300 to 400 percent of equity. As much as two-thirds of this debt was short-term, with a maturity of less than 12 months. Additionally, the debt denominated in foreign currencies, such as the U.S. dollar, ballooned as local currency values dropped. With some firms struggling to repay mounting debt, banks began to experience a further deterioration in credit quality.

Some of the uncertainty about the strength and speed of the recovery in Asia is attributable to concerns about the faltering Japanese economy. As the second largest economy in the world and the engine of growth in the region, Japan must have a healthy economy if sustainable growth is to occur in the rest of Asia. With Japan currently in a deep recession and the outlook for its economy clouded by the halting pace of financial reform efforts, there is considerable uncertainty about how quickly economic and financial weaknesses throughout the rest of Asia can be repaired.

**Impact on the U.S Economy**

The Asian financial crisis could affect the U.S. economy through several avenues. Some firms and industries may be directly exposed, especially if they have operations in Asia. Banks may be exposed through changes in the financial condition of Asian borrowers. Other firms may be less directly exposed to economic conditions but will be affected by changes in relative prices and trade flows between the United States and Asia. The drop in Asian purchases of U.S. exports has hit agricultural products, commodities, and manufactured goods. As some recent corporate earnings announcements have shown, the crisis has been associated with profit growth that has failed to meet the market’s expectations.

**Banking**

The U.S. banking industry has a smaller direct lending exposure to the Asian economies than either European or Japanese banks. As shown in Table 1, U.S. banks had outstanding loans of $22 billion at the end of 1997, which accounted for 8.5 percent of all international lending to Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, South Korea, and Thailand. To the extent that exposures exist, however, large banks and not smaller regional or community banks account for most of the lending. While the overall direct lending exposure of the U.S. banking industry may be relatively small, the indirect exposure resulting from changing economic conditions in the United States as a result of the crisis could potentially affect small and large institutions in all areas of the country.

**Agriculture**

Key to understanding the impact on agriculture is the fact that in world markets, agricultural commodities are priced and traded in terms of U.S. dollars. The steep decline in value of Asia’s currencies means that the price of imported agricultural commodities has rapidly risen. Over a longer period, higher import prices tend to stimulate production in the importing countries that can displace demand for imports. Thailand, for example, is positioned to increase production of poultry and sugar. Other world producers, such as Australia, whose currency also has fallen in value, are now more competitive suppliers of some agricultural products to the Asian market than the United States.

On the basis of analysis performed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s (USDA’s) Economic Research Services, U.S. exports of red meat and poultry are expected to drop by 5 to 6 percent in fiscal 1998 and 1999 as a result of the Asian crisis. Exports of grains are projected to fall by at least 2 percent in fiscal 1999 as other world producers increase production in response to changing relative prices among major grain exporters. Overall, USDA expects agricultural exports to fall by 3 to 6 percent in fiscal 1998 and 1999, compared with the level of exports had the Asian crisis not occurred.

**Commodities**

Asian countries have become increasingly important commodity consumers in recent years. As a result, com-

---

Commodity markets have been affected by falling demand for basic materials and fuels in Asia. The abrupt halt of construction activity in the region has reduced Asian imports of metals and metal products. Consequently, world copper and nickel prices fell more than 36 percent during the year ending June 1998. Asian developing countries also had stepped up their demand for petroleum products, accounting for two-thirds of the increase in world petroleum consumption between 1992 and 1996. As economic activity in Asia slowed, oil demand softened and world inventories expanded, causing prices to tumble from $20 per barrel in July 1997 to less than $14 per barrel in June 1998. To the benefit of U.S. consumers, the drop in oil prices has reduced the prices of gasoline and other refined petroleum products, but it has cut into profits of oil producers. While there are few indications of widespread financial problems in the industry, smaller and less geographically diversified producers may be exposed to adverse price and inventory changes.

Manufacturing

Asia accounts for a large and growing share of U.S. trade in manufactured goods. Between 1990 and 1996, U.S. exports of manufactured goods to Asia increased from $75 billion to more than $140 billion, accounting for nearly one-third of the increase in total U.S. exports of manufactured goods. For the U.S. economy as a whole, machinery, food products, and chemicals are the most exposed to a drop in Asia’s demand for U.S. exports. Together, these industries account for nearly 70 percent of U.S. exports to Asia.

Between 1990 and 1996, U.S. imports of manufactured goods from Asia rose from $176 billion to more than $285 billion. Increased imports from China accounted for about one-third of the gain. U.S. imports from Asia are dominated by machinery and manufactured goods, including electronics and semiconductors, which together account for 93 percent of imports.

Asia’s demand for U.S. exports will continue to weaken following the dramatic increase in import prices resulting from the drop in currency values. The latest trade data show that the dollar volume of U.S. goods exports to Asia (including both manufactured goods and other commodities) fell by 22.5 percent in May 1998 compared with one year earlier (Chart 1).

Changes in the volume of exports at the national level do not adequately describe the variation in the export exposure of different regions of the country. Chart 2 (next page) shows the percentage of state-level exports

| TABLE 1 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total International Claims (million U.S. $)</th>
<th>U.S. Claims</th>
<th>Japan Claims</th>
<th>Europe* Claims</th>
<th>Other Claims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>58,388</td>
<td>4,898</td>
<td>22,018</td>
<td>15,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>27,528</td>
<td>1,786</td>
<td>8,551</td>
<td>12,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>19,732</td>
<td>3,224</td>
<td>2,624</td>
<td>9,317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>94,180</td>
<td>9,533</td>
<td>20,278</td>
<td>29,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>58,835</td>
<td>2,533</td>
<td>33,180</td>
<td>14,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>258,663</td>
<td>21,974</td>
<td>86,651</td>
<td>81,754</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes France, Germany, Netherlands, and United Kingdom

Source: Bank for International Settlements

Chart 1

U.S. Exports to Asia Drop While Imports Continue Modest Growth

Source: Bureau of the Census
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**Chart 2**

**Western and Midwestern State Exports Are Vulnerable to Changes in Asian Demand**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Total State Exports Destined for Asia (1997)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 30%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21% to 30%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% to 20%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bureau of the Census, International Trade Administration

that are destined for Asia. Clearly, Western states are most exposed to changes in the demand for U.S. exports, especially electronics, transportation equipment, and industrial machinery. A significant share of exports from the Midwest also is destined for Asia, including chemicals and machinery such as construction equipment.

In the initial stages of the crisis, the consensus view suggested that the United States would be overwhelmed by cheap imports from Asia, as Asian countries exported their way to economic recovery. Although there has been an increase in U.S. imports from Asia, the growth has been well below expectations. In May 1998, goods imports were up by just 4.8 percent over the previous year. The reason that U.S. imports of Asian goods have not been greater is due in part to the severity of the economic downturn and the weakness of Asia’s financial institutions. Many Asian manufacturers are dependent on components imported from neighboring countries or purchased on world markets. With the drop in currency values, all imported goods, including finished goods and intermediate goods that are used in the manufacturing sector, have become more costly. At the same time, Asia’s weak financial systems have come under increasing pressure as the economic slump deepens. Many banks cannot, or will not, lend. Consequently, Asian firms cannot secure the capital to acquire imported inputs or to finance the sale of exports abroad. As the “credit crunch” abates, imports from Asia should rebound, placing greater pressure on U.S. manufacturers.

**Corporate Profits**

Profits of U.S. producers also will be affected by falling prices for import-competing goods and plummeting Asian demand for some U.S. exports. Although U.S. producers of import-competing goods will be under increasing competitive pressure, firms that use imported components from Asia will benefit from an effective reduction in costs. U.S. exporters may see disappointing Asian market profits offset by continuing strong sales in the U.S. and European markets. For these reasons, the impact of the crisis on corporate profits must be viewed in the context of gains and losses caused by changing relative prices of a firm’s products and inputs.

A number of recent earnings announcements have failed to meet analysts’ expectations. According to IBES International, the crisis has contributed to a reduction of profit growth, although most of the slowdown is attributable to both falling prices and weak demand for semiconductors and oil. Operating profits of all companies tracked in the Standard & Poor’s 500 stock index increased by 4.4 percent in the first quarter of 1998, the smallest increase since 1991. Excluding the energy and technology sectors, profits of the S&P 500 firms increased by 8.6 percent in the first quarter. On the basis of these results, the impact of the crisis on corporate profits appears to be highly concentrated among firms in a few industries.

**Summary and Implications**

The consequences of the Asian economic crisis continue to unfold. The slowdown in growth in most Asian economies has already reduced U.S. export shipments and put downward pressure on prices of commodities and agricultural products. How long this trend will con-
tinue is uncertain, but most analysts have dismissed the chances of a speedy recovery in Asia. Although most economists are not anticipating a recession in the United States in the foreseeable future, the indirect impact of the Asian crisis will be felt to some extent across most regions of the country.

Lenders should be cognizant of their customers’ exposure to a continued drop in demand for exports or to further deterioration in the pricing environment. More generally, slower U.S. growth could affect even those borrowers that have little or no direct exposure to export markets. What is clear for insured institutions is that at this stage of the economic expansion and with a number of uncertainties about the global economic outlook, lending and strategic decisions predicated on an assumption of continued robust economic growth should be carefully scrutinized.
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Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry Sector</th>
<th>Volume ($ Millions)</th>
<th>Export Growth 1993-97</th>
<th>Percent of Exports to Asia by Industry*</th>
<th>Export Exposure to Asia**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Exports to Asia</strong></td>
<td>26,108.9</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Top Five Export Industries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Products</td>
<td>4,837.7</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Machinery &amp; Computers</td>
<td>4,314.2</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric &amp; Electronic Equipment</td>
<td>3,626.2</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific &amp; Measuring Instruments</td>
<td>2,022.9</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Equipment</td>
<td>1,881.8</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of Top Five Export Industries</strong></td>
<td>16,682.7</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Percent of region’s total exports to Asia from each of the top five export industries.
** Percent of region’s total world exports for each industry destined for Asia.

Source: International Trade Administration
**CLOs Lure Another Major Bank Asset off the Balance Sheet**

- Securitization of corporate loans and bonds is in full swing, with 1997 issuance exceeding that of securities backed by credit card loans.

- Collateralized loan obligation (CLO) and collateralized bond obligation (CBO) issuance has grown dramatically since 1996. Both CLOs and CBOs are potential bank investments that may grow in popularity if a current proposal to lower the risk weights for AAA-rated securities is enacted.

- These bonds may offer a higher yield than other AAA-rated securities, but they also may carry both deal- and issuer-specific risks that warrant closer scrutiny.

- Banks with an ample supply of low-margin commercial loans are expected to issue more CLOs to an increasingly demanding secondary commercial loan market.

- Securitizing investment-grade commercial loans has implications for capital adequacy.

CBOs and CLOs are fixed-income securities that share many similarities with other asset-backed securities. In a CLO or CBO, commercial loans or bonds are pooled and securitized, and participation certificates in the underlying assets are sold to investors. The first CLO and CBO transactions occurred in the late 1980s, but issuance was slow until last year. During 1997, the estimated volume of corporate bonds and commercial loans securitized was $54 billion, more than double the amount securitized in 1996. In fact, the combined issuance of CBOs and CLOs in 1997 was more than the amount of credit card loans securitized during the year. The amount of securitized commercial loans and corporate bonds is expected to continue to grow this year, with an increasing number of deals backed by commercial loans (see Chart 1).

**CBOs and CLOs: A Natural Development in the Asset-Backed Securities (ABS) Market**

The growth of the CLO market can be explained by several supply and demand factors. On the demand side, strong investor appetite for ABS has produced tremendous growth in the securitization of consumer loan segments such as credit card, auto, and home equity loans. The increasing comfort level of the capital markets with these asset classes and the various structures used to securitize them has facilitated the ABS market’s expansion into nonconsumer loans, including corporate debt obligations and bank commercial loans. CBO and CLO structures represent a natural progression from the securitization of a pool of consumer loans to the securitization of a diversified package of corporate bonds or bank loans.

Increased standardization of terms among commercial lenders and more information flow on returns, defaults, and recoveries also have made commercial loans and corporate debt more desirable to institutional investors and an asset class viable for securitization. In addition, CLOs provide a way for investors, including banks, to own a credit-enhanced interest in a diversified pool of loans without directly owning the individual loans. Investors are increasingly considering collateralized bond and loan products as higher yielding alternatives to other ABS.

**Chart 1**

*CBO and CLO Issuance Is Growing*

---

Foreign and, to a lesser extent, domestic banks have been large purchasers of CLOs and CBOs. Bank investment in CLOs and CBOs primarily has been in the most senior, highest investment-rated tranches. Together, foreign and domestic banks are estimated to have purchased almost one-half of the highest rated classes of CLO and CBO securities issued in 1997. Insurance companies dominated the purchase of the middle or mezzanine class of CLOs and CBOs.

Last year the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council proposed lowering the risk weighting for AAA-rated ABS from 100 percent to 20 percent. Bank investment in AAA-rated ABS products, including CLOs and CBOs, could increase substantially if the proposal is approved.

Lower Capital Requirements, Higher Return Ratios Attract Banks to CLO Market

On the supply side, issuers of CLOs backed by investment-grade loans are motivated by regulatory capital treatment, return on capital, and relationship management. While the CLOs originated in the late 1980s were designed to purge the lender’s balance sheet of lower quality commercial loans, the recent bank-issued CLOs have been secured by higher credit quality, lower margin commercial and industrial loans.

A bank that is capital constrained may view the CLO structure as an alternative to issuing additional equity. But more often, banks are motivated to securitize investment-grade commercial loans because by doing so they effectively subject themselves to the market’s capital requirements for such loans instead of their regulator’s. Tight competition has compressed the margin that banks earn on investment-grade loans to the point that more institutions are considering investment-grade lending to be an inefficient use of capital. As margins have declined, the CLO market has helped relationship managers rationalize lower pricing from the perspective of return on capital. Since investment-grade and non-investment-grade-performing commercial loans have the same risk weightings for regulatory capital purposes, removing the higher quality, lower yielding assets from the balance sheet tends to leave existing bank capital supporting higher return activities. In this way, a bank can improve certain profitability measures, but possibly with a higher risk profile.

Table 1 (next page) illustrates the effects of a CLO on a bank’s capital and return ratios. In order to compare the on- and off-balance sheet transactions, the costs of the CLO and the associated reserve requirement are analogized to the on-balance sheet funding costs and capital requirement if the assets remained on the balance sheet. The assumptions reflect the spreads and reserve requirement of a typical transaction. While the execution of the CLO costs more than the on-balance sheet financing of the loans, the risk-adjusted return on capital (RAROC) is greater with the CLO. The reserve requirement is minimized by the tiering of tranches in the securitization, which provides credit enhancement to the senior classes. The reserve fund, if retained by the issuing bank, represents recourse to the bank from the sold assets and requires capital at 100 percent under “low-level” recourse.

CLOs also may be used to facilitate corporate borrowing relationships. For example, banks that want to maintain relationships with corporate borrowers but are restrained by concentration limitations, either by borrower or by industry, may use CLOs to alleviate concentrations without disrupting borrower relationships.

Large commercial banks with significant holdings of investment-quality commercial loans are likely candidates to issue CLOs. CLO issuance by investment banks could grow as these institutions secure a stronger foothold in the commercial loan market. In 1997, foreign banks were the primary issuers of CLOs, but more U.S. banks are expected to issue CLOs in the future. Japanese and Asian banks may increase their CLO activity as they come under pressure to improve capital ratios and remove distressed loans from their balance sheets.

---


3 Pursuant to the Basle Accord, commercial loans generally receive a 100 percent risk weighting regardless of the credit rating of the loan. Proponents of CLOs have argued that banks can improve their risk-adjusted return on capital by removing the higher quality, lower earning commercial loans from the balance sheet.
Table 1
CLOs Can Facilitate a Higher RAROC on Investment-Grade Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of Loans in CLO:</td>
<td>$1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Portfolio Yield:</td>
<td>LIBOR + 50 bpts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Funding Costs:</td>
<td>LIBOR - 10 bpts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO Funding Costs:</td>
<td>LIBOR + 24 bpts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Retains 1% Reserve Fund:</td>
<td>$10 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before CLO

| Yield Less Funding Cost                         | (L+50) less (L-10) = 60 basis points |
| Net Spread Earned                               | .006 × $1 billion = $6 million |
| Risk-Based Capital Requirement                  | (8% on $1 billion) = $80 million |
| RAROC                                           | $6 million/$80 million = 7.5% |

After CLO

| Yield Less Funding Cost                         | (L+50) less (L+24) = 26 basis points |
| Net Spread Earned                               | .0026 × $1 billion = $2.6 million |
| Risk-Based Capital Requirement                  | (100% of Reserve Fund) = $10 million |
| RAROC                                           | $2.6 million/$10 million = 26% |

Source: Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc.

Arbitrage Opportunities Motivate Most Securitization of Subinvestment-Grade Debt

Issuance of CLOs backed by subinvestment-grade loans and most CBOs, which commonly are backed by a mixture of bonds with a subinvestment-grade weighted average, typically is motivated by the potential to capitalize on wide spreads between investment and subinvestment-grade debt. The securities backed by subinvestment-grade collateral, often referred to as “arbitrage” CLOs and CBOs, contain higher yielding, riskier securities such as high-yield debt, distressed bonds, highly leveraged loans, and emerging market debt. By assembling a diversified pool of higher yielding investments, asset managers can limit aggregate event risk and create a security with a lower required yield than the underlying collateral. Securitizations can include a combination of loans and bonds and are sometimes referred to as collateralized debt obligations or CDOs.

A Closer Look at CLO Structures

While the structures of CLOs and CBOs are similar, banks’ involvement as issuers of CLOs, and the forces driving this issuance, elevate the importance of considering CLO structures. Chart 2 presents the basic structure of a CLO. Although specifics may vary, most CLOs use a stand-alone special purpose vehicle (SPV) or trust to purchase a diversified pool of assets from a bank originator or issuer. The purchase of the assets by the SPV is funded through the sale of debt securities to investors. The structure of the SPV may include one or more tranches of debt that are secured by the pool of assets owned by the SPV. The classes of debt are distinguished by their priority of claims on the cash flow from the collateral, with the most subordinated pieces functioning as an equity investment in the pool.

The senior tranche is usually the largest, has the greatest amount of credit protection, and earns the highest credit ratings in the CLO structure. The rating of the senior class typically is higher than the average rating of the underlying pool of assets due to the tiering of claims among the debt classes and credit enhancement in the CLO. The junior tranches of debt may be below investment grade or not rated. The reserve or “equity” portion may be retained by the issuing entity as a form of credit enhancement or sold to third-party investors who want a potentially higher return investment.

CLO collateral has included both funded and unfunded loan commitments, loan participations, and different types of credit default swaps. Loan assignments also may be transferred through a CLO but are less commonly included because of bank issuers’ desire to main-
CLOs typically rely on an asset manager or servicer to “manage” or protect the investors’ interest in the collateral. The investment style or role of the asset manager may change depending on the purpose of the CLO. Securitizations that use an asset manager to actively manage the performance and market value of the collateral are referred to as “market arbitrage” or “market value” transactions. In these deals, the asset manager can trade assets into and out of the securitized pool in order to maximize the market value of the securitized portfolio. In contrast, most bank-issued CLOs are designed as “cash flow” transactions, in which the asset manager’s role is more as a servicer than as a portfolio trader. These structures rely primarily on the ability of the collateral to make stable cash flow payments over a predetermined period and emphasize the credit quality of the collateral and the predictability of interest and principal payments rather than liquidity and market performance, as in market value transactions.
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An Introduction to Delinked and Linked CLO Structures

The variables in structuring a CLO are many. The relative size of the senior and subordinated tranches, the form of credit enhancement, the ability of the asset manager or servicer to adjust the asset pool, and the method and degree to which ownership of the underlying loans is conveyed to investors vary among CLOs. Despite the variations, two basic structures have emerged: “delinked” structures and “linked” structures. The primary difference between these two is the extent to which the SPV “owns” the securitized assets. An issuer may consider many factors when determining the type of structure to use, including the ability or desire of the issuer to transfer the loans without notifying the borrower, the credit quality of the loans, the investment rating of the bank issuer, and the desired capital treatment of the securitized loan.

In a delinked structure, the collateral is transferred from the issuer to the SPV. Delinked structures are generally treated as “true sales” for accounting purposes, and the loans in the CLO are removed from the issuer’s balance sheet. Delinked CLOs are structured to insulate the investor from the credit quality problems or insolvency of the issuer. Ratings on delinked CLOs are predicated on the projected performance of the collateral and the credit enhancement structure rather than the credit quality of the issuer. Some delinked CLOs are similar to structures used in credit card securitizations that capitalize on the flexibility of a revolving master trust. The master trust structure is advantageous because it allows for the securitization of different types of assets, such as fixed or floating rate or revolving or term loans.

In linked transactions, also known as credit linked notes, the issuer retains ownership of the underlying collateral, and the cash flow generated by the collateral pool is conveyed or sold to the SPV. All or part of the credit risk from the underlying assets is transferred to the CLO investor using credit derivatives. As in delinked CLO structures, credit protection is provided through the layering or tranching of the debt sold and other credit enhancements.

Investors in linked CLOs are not completely insulated from the credit risk of the issuer. Because the issuer retains ownership of the underlying loans, a default or bankruptcy by the issuer could affect the transmission of cash flow to the CLO investors. As a result, investors in linked CLOs bear both the credit risk of the securitized loan pool and, to some degree, the risk that the issuer may become insolvent. Because of this dual exposure, ratings on linked structures are typically capped by the credit rating of the issuer.

The accounting and regulatory capital treatments of delinked and linked CLOs also differ. Linked structures generally do not qualify for sale treatment under generally accepted accounting principles because the assets remain under the control of the issuer. Issuers of linked CLOs may be granted some regulatory capital relief under the Basle Accord if the cash received from the securitization is assigned as collateral for the underlying loans. The Basle Accord, which governs capital adequacy requirements for Bank for International Settlements member countries, reduces the risk weighting on commercial loans that are secured by cash or certain types of risk-free marketable securities such as Treasury bills. While linked CLOs may provide some form of capital incentive for foreign banks under the Basle Accord, linked structures offer little relief to U.S. banks because U.S. banks must maintain minimum leverage capital ratios in addition to risk-based capital ratios. Since the securitized loans count as assets of the bank issuer in a linked structure, the leverage ratio (roughly, book equity to book assets) is not reduced. Consequently, the linked CLO structure has been more popular among foreign banks.

The Role of Investment Rating Agencies

Although the approach may vary among rating agencies, the criteria used to determine the investment rating for CLOs are similar. Rating agencies evaluate the ability of the securitization vehicle to make interest and principal payments to holders of the debt. This analysis requires an evaluation of the credit quality of the underlying collateral pool, including the projected cash flow

---

*Under the Basle Accord and the U.S. risked-based capital guidelines, assets collateralized by cash or Treasury securities generally receive a preferential risk-weighting that may range from 0 to 20 percent. For background information regarding the risk weightings for collateralized transactions applicable to federally regulated institutions, see Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Financial Institution Letter number 64–96 dated August 22, 1996.*
generated by the pool, the credit enhancement, and any additional protection provided to the investors based on the structure of the securitization. The rating agencies set limits on the amount of industry and borrower concentration in a pool and statistically evaluate the effect of diversification among loans when estimating potential defaults and losses from the securitized assets over the life of the transaction. If the underlying collateral is not already rated—most commercial loans are not—the rating agency will grade the underlying loans and assign a rating to the security on the basis of the credit quality of the loans and the underwriting criteria used by the lender. Estimates of default probabilities, timing of default, and recoveries in the event of default are assigned to the loans and vary by collateral type and credit grade. These estimates are generally based on historical default studies authored by the various rating agencies.

**Implications for Insured Institutions**

The advent of CLOs poses new opportunities and risks to banks. The ability to transfer all or part of a commercial loan’s credit risk to investors may have several consequences. When issuers of CLOs securitize their highest grade assets, they are effectively lowering the weighted average credit quality of their retained assets. An institution’s loan loss reserving policies and capital adequacy should take into account the implications of its CLO strategy.

While the issuance of CLOs may be confined to larger banks that have considerable commercial loan portfolios, smaller banks or other types of institutions that desire a greater exposure to this type of lending may consider investing in CLOs. These instruments offer banks the opportunity to invest in a diversified pool of commercial loans. Because of credit enhancement features and diversification advantages, the most senior debt issued by the CLOs can earn a higher investment rating than the average rating on individual loans in the pool. Despite the investment rating, banks that invest in CLOs should be aware that CLO structures are less standardized than other ABS investments, and therefore, performance and underlying risk will be both issuer and deal specific.

*Kathy Kalser, Chief, Financial Sector Analysis Section
Allen Puwalski, Senior Financial Analyst*
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The Payment System: Emerging Issues

• Essential to the transfer of value in the U.S. economy, the once-arcane and bank-centered payment system is undergoing considerable change as new technologies bring new opportunities, new exposures, and new competitors into the payments business.

• For most banks, the major issues lie in small-value payments, where they struggle for advantage in adapting new technologies into new products and services while protecting their traditional payments business from technologically adept nonbank competitors.

• For regulators and a handful of the largest banks, large-value payments present the most serious challenges, as technology has enabled increasing payment velocity and volume but also has created the potential for systemic failures.

The payment system is the heart of the U.S. economic infrastructure, moving an estimated $670 trillion annually among consumers, businesses, financial institutions, and governments. Despite this volume—an amount equal to roughly 90 times the U.S. gross domestic product—the payment system remains transparent to most users because of its dependability in moving value safely. Historically, banks have been essential to this movement, reaping, according to the Bank Administration Institute, an estimated $117 billion each year in revenues both as payment agents and as the holders of the funds from which those payments are made.

Broadly speaking, the payment system encompasses the numerous payment products, players, and the infrastructure that together transmit value throughout the economy. More specifically, it can be defined as a collection of individual systems constructed around specific payment products. Credit cards, for example, represent a payment system. So do debit cards, checks, foreign exchange, and even cash. This product-based definition is a relevant one for many bankers, since it centers on the products and services that generate revenue rather than on the less glamorous “back office” functions that are measured instead by their cost. A second definition segments the payment system by payment size. Using this definition, the payments world is divided into systems that carry small-value or retail payments and those that carry large-value or interbank payments. This latter classification is oriented more toward infrastructure than product but is convenient from a regulatory perspective because the seriousness of the risk posed varies considerably by payment size.

However defined, the payment system today is a source of new opportunities and exposures—a result of a host of new technologies that the “information revolution” has spawned. These technologies create different issues for banks and regulators. For banks, the issues involve adapting the technologies into new products and services while protecting their payments business from nontraditional competitors that specialize in its creation and use. For regulators, the issues involve managing the risks—principally systemic risk—that accompany the large increases in payment volume and velocity enabled by technology. Taken together, these issues frame a payment system that can be both a political and a technological battleground, with significant incentives for participants to shape payment products and channels in a way that favors their own objectives.

Small-Value Payments: A Technological Brawl

Nowhere has the battle to shape the payment system been more contentious than in the small-value segment, where emerging information technology can best be leveraged into new fee-based retail products. There are two battles here. The first involves maintaining the monopoly over the payments infrastructure that connects each bank with the Federal Reserve and, by extension, with every other depository institution in the United States. While this infrastructure is interbank—that is, it is dedicated to settling accounts between institutions and does not directly extend to their customers—the ability to aggregate and settle individual retail payments through it has enabled the banking industry to maintain its centrality to the nation’s monetary flows.


Depository institutions were granted exclusive access to this infrastructure upon its creation by the Federal Reserve Act of 1913.
The second battle involves exploiting new technologies either to attract new customers or to serve existing ones more profitably. This battle is both highly visible and highly technical and underscores the potential of the passing of information to eclipse the passing of value as the most critical profit opportunity in payments. The best example of this potential is bill presentment, the process of posting vendor invoices—such as credit card or utility statements—on the Internet to facilitate electronic payment. The crucial question concerns where the customer transaction data will lie. If they lie on vendors’ sites or on the sites of nonbanks that concentrate such data, those entities will effectively “own” the customer by owning the information needed to cross-sell or otherwise add value during the billing process. Owners of customer-specific data also can tailor new services—a process that can develop loyalty as well as related sales. Losing this battle would be doubly costly for banks because, regardless of where the data reside, electronic payments will eliminate most of the float in the payment process, to the benefit of vendors and largely at the expense of banks.

Another battle is building between banks and nonbanks with respect to digital cash and stored value applications. These applications are directed at the micropayment sector—that is, payments that are normally considered too small for credit cards. Whether they reside on a computer or a smart card, these applications substitute electronic data for actual cash, with the amount stored on each card covered dollar for dollar by balances on account with an issuer. The struggle is for the right to issue this value, and the American Bankers Association has contended that regulated depository institutions alone should be permitted to do so. The battle here is for more than just fees, for the interest on the balances that back electronic value could provide issuers with substantial new sources of income.

With some new payment technologies, the distinction between opportunity and risk can blur. As the Internet enables the distance between shopper and shopkeeper to increase, the need to authenticate unseen customers, merchants, and banks increases as well. At the same time, the open nature of the Internet requires that the privacy and integrity of transaction information be protected. The building blocks to accomplish this are neither simple nor easily interwoven—successfully combining cryptographic protocols, specialized security hardware, and existing information systems is a dif-

---
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**Emerging Issues in Small-Value Payments**

**Maintaining the payment system monopoly.** Access to Federal Reserve payment services has historically been limited to depository institutions. Maintaining that monopoly—and thus maintaining its centrality to current and future payment products and services—is an important issue to the banking industry.

**Electronic bill presentment** is the process of presenting bills and receiving payments electronically. Internet bill presentment may be one of the most hotly contested services, because the owner of the site where invoices are posted could cross-sell to customers as well.

**Digital cash and stored value** are applications in which electronic data substitute for cash. Such applications can run on either smart cards or personal computers. An important issue is who holds the balances that back electronic value, because, unlike with paper cash, issuers may be able to earn interest on the digital balances held by consumers.

**Securing online transactions.** Ensuring the integrity, privacy, and authenticity of electronic transactions is widely desired by those engaged in electronic commerce. With larger payments, desirability will become necessity. Current implementations use combinations of encryption algorithms and specialized hardware.

**Banks as certificate authorities (CAs).** Authenticating Internet payers and payees may require a complex public key infrastructure in which trusted organizations supply decryption keys to authenticate the counterparties to a transaction. Some banks are already acting as CAs. Others are weighing the benefits and largely uncertain exposures of providing such a service.

**Electronic Funds Transfer ’99 (EFT 99).** On January 2, 1999, the U.S. government will be required to make benefit and vendor payments electronically. This mandate raises issues of how to provide service to the “unbanked,” how to provide service internationally, and for vendors, how to integrate remittance data with the payment itself.

**Development of financial electronic data interchange (EDI) standards.** For bank commercial customers to benefit from electronic payments, banks must be able to handle remittance information—information that accompanies payments and identifies sender and transaction detail. Standardizing such data is an important step in enabling banks to receive them and pass them on to their customers.

**Point of sale check truncation.** Checks are costly to handle and time-consuming to collect. Check truncation reduces cost and eliminates float by converting the check into an electronic transaction at the point of sale. Although banks will have fewer checks to handle under check truncation, they will lose float and the return on investment in check-handling equipment.
ficult matter in itself if the whole is not to be weaker than the individual parts.

The VISA and MasterCard Secure Electronic Transaction (SET) protocols, designed to protect Internet credit card transactions, illustrate the complexity that banks and their customers will need to navigate in securing online transactions. Under SET, all banks and merchants will use digital certificates to authenticate themselves to consumers and each other for each Internet transaction. These certificates are electronic messages that contain a decryption key for the sender that is itself authenticated by a trusted third party. The infrastructure for storing, distributing, and vouching for these keys, known as a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI), will contain several tiers of certificate authorities (CAs) and will be difficult and costly to implement. Banks not only will use these certificates, but many are considering becoming—or have already become—CAs themselves. While banks acting as certificate authorities may represent a logical progression in banking services, there is little evidence of a homogeneous legal infrastructure or legal precedent sufficient to guide digital signature disputes. These voids leave unanswered the question of whether the expected gains from providing such services will compensate for the potentially long-tailed liability from doing so.

A major stimulus for electronic payments could come on January 2, 1999, when the U.S. government is required by law to convert its vendor and benefit payments from paper checks to electronic transfers—the so-called Electronic Funds Transfer ‘99 (EFT 99) program. Three separate challenges arise from this mandate. The first is that the “unbanked”—those segments of the population that are socially, economically, or geographically distanced from a financially bank-centric world—must eventually be provided with a cost-effective means to receive, store, and spend their electronic value. The second challenge is that the EFT mandate applies internationally as well as domestically. Given the need for each international payment to settle in two currencies and countries, the ability to provide efficient cross-border EFT will vary considerably from country to country.

Perhaps more challenging to many financial institutions is that electronic payments to vendors, unlike those to individuals, will require electronic remittance data to accompany the payment itself. This information goes beyond simple routing instructions and includes the information—such as purchase order or invoice numbers—necessary for the vendor to apply the payment correctly. According to a study by Booz-Allen & Hamilton, only slightly more than 5 percent of financial institutions were able to receive and forward such remittance information as of early 1997. Developing this capacity will therefore be an industrywide challenge. Once again, there is an opportunity disguised as a cost. The development and implementation of financial electronic data interchange (financial EDI) standards will enable financial institutions to retain control of—and add value to—business-to-business transactions when commercial payments migrate to the Internet.

The U.S. government is not alone in seeking an end to costly paper-based payments. Vendors too are pressing for the elimination of the slow check presentment process wherein checks must physically be moved from vendor to vendor bank to issuer bank before funds can be transferred. Point of sale check truncation shortens this process by converting the check into an electronic payment at the point of sale, leaving the customer with an executed check and the vendor with a transaction that will settle like a debit card—and in doing so eliminates much of the potential for check fraud. While this process is beginning to displace physical presentment, the outlook for banks is mixed. As the volume of checks that must be physically handled decreases, so too will the income from float and the returns from past investments in check-handling capacity.

Large-Value Payments: Making the World a ‘Good and Final’ Place

Unlike small-value payments, the issues surrounding large-value payments are not strategic ones for banks, and less technological wizardry pervades them. Instead, the common factor is the systemic risk posed by payment failures. For this reason, regulators—particularly the Federal Reserve and the world’s other central banks—take very seriously the payments “plumbing” that is otherwise obscure even to many bankers. In an

\[\text{Remittance Data Study, Booz-Allen & Hamilton; www.fms.treas.gov/eft/remit.html.}\]
electronic and intangible world where a bank’s accumulated exposures can routinely exceed its equity, the overriding objective for payment system designers, users, and regulators is “good and final” payment—a term referring to funds that are both irreversible and fully collected.

Recognition is building concerning the payment system’s vulnerability and just how critical it is to the U.S. economy. An October 1997 report issued by the President’s Commission on Critical Infrastructure Protection (PCCIP) warned that “the nation’s core payment systems...seem to present a serious physical vulnerability within the financial system.” The source of that vulnerability, in the eyes of the commission, stemmed not so much from a lack of security as from the critical importance of those systems to settling financial transactions throughout the economy and the lack of available alternatives if they failed. As such, it was feared that the payment infrastructure provides an enticing target for cyber-terrorists and information warriors and that such threats will only grow in the future.

Concentration refers to the fact that while banks are central to payments and all enjoy equal access to Federal Reserve payment services, some banks are clearly more central than others. According to March 1998 Call Report data, a mere 25 banks hold nearly two-thirds of the U.S. banking industry’s transaction accounts. Should one of these large banks suddenly fail, its inability to fund settlements could result in a loss of payment system liquidity and disruption of domestic and foreign financial systems alike. While this concentration is not new, what is new is the considerable increase in concentration that the new megamergers promise. How and whether to inoculate the payment system from the weight of these super-institutions will become an issue for the regulatory community.

The criticality of a nation’s payment system is not confined within its own borders. Because of globalization and the increasing velocity of payments, threats to one country’s system become threats to those of other countries as well. There are a number of these emerging cross-border concerns. The most immediate and visible is the Year 2000 or Y2K problem. Because banks and the payment networks that join them are heavily computerized, the latent points of vulnerability to software and hardware failures have grown factorially with the number of interconnected internal and external systems. In this context, the concern is that any banks that have failed to correct their Y2K exposures will transmit that failure via the payment system to other institutions throughout the world, delaying or even arresting settlements in the process. This concern is heightened because, in both Asia and Europe, bank resources needed to fix Y2K are being consumed instead by more immediate problems. In Asia, it is surviving the decay in currencies and credits. In Europe, it is the Euro, which rates as an issue in itself—demanding the modification
of bank and interbank payment systems throughout the world in anticipation of that currency’s January 1, 1999, launch.

Although less well known to the general public, **foreign exchange settlement risk** remains of considerable concern to the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) and its member central banks. This exposure arises because cross-border payments, unlike domestic payments, have no single central bank to guarantee settlement, leaving U.S. banks exposed to their foreign counterparties and correspondents—sometimes for several days—for more than $244 billion in daily trades.¹¹ Potential solutions to this problem include netting—offsetting risks so that only the differences are due—and simultaneous settlement. An ongoing effort by several of the world’s largest banks to provide simultaneous cross-border settlement, a project known as the Continuous Linked Settlement Bank, will require considerable international cooperation since it will effectively span the central banks in each country whose currency it settles.

Efforts by individual countries to solidify their payments infrastructure are ongoing as well. **Achieving finality** in payments—a term meaning that a completed payment is irrevocable—is the most prevalent, and recognizes that payments must be irreversible to establish the liquidity for those that follow. One way of speeding up finality is with real time gross settlement (RTGS) systems. “Real time” means that there is no delay in settlement. “Gross settlement” means that transactions are settled in the full amount for which the original payment instructions were entered. FedWire, the U.S. Federal Reserve’s large-value payment system, is an RTGS system. Many other countries also have them, and still more are developing or planning them. Complementary to RTGS systems are net or provisional settlement systems, which total up the accumulated debits and credits for each participant over the course of some period—usually one day, offset them against each other, and settle at the end of the period. The New York Clearing House’s Clearing House Interbank Payment System is one such system. Although their use leads to smaller, or **netted**, settlement amounts for each participant and substantially lower liquidity demands on the payment system as a whole, payments in such systems are not final until the last creditor pays. Thus, there is a daily threat of recalculation and a potentially fatal change in mem-
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Banks’ liquidity positions if a major creditor bank fails. For such systems, the BIS is encouraging member collateralization levels sufficient to cover at least one, and preferably two, of each system’s largest net creditor banks at any one time. While these are not new issues in developed nations, the increasing extent to which financially underdeveloped and underregulated countries are involved in global payments confers new importance on the development of finality and collateralization in payment systems worldwide.

Differing Perceptions, Common Threat

Banks are united neither in their perceptions of these issues nor in their desire for regulation to address them. With respect to small-value payments, large and small banks have disagreed over whether the Federal Reserve should withdraw from providing retail payment services—a debate that ended in favor of the small bank faction earlier this year when the Fed announced that it would remain an active and, according to some large banks at least, a subsidized competitor in clearing and settlement. There also has been disagreement, again along lines of size, over whether the issuance of new products such as stored value cards should be limited to regulated depository institutions. In large-value payments, the differences are due more to relevancy than competition. Few small banks will feel compelled to address foreign exchange exposures or the vulnerabilities of the national and international payments infrastructure.

Whatever their individual perceptions of the issues surrounding the payment system, all banks are susceptible to its interruption. Likewise, they are strategically vulnerable—individually and as an industry—if they fail to preserve their role as a trusted gateway for the settlement of their customers’ obligations. This is perhaps the most critical of all payments issues facing banks, for while their daily operations may depend on their continued success in maintaining the payment system’s dependability, nothing short of their payments franchise may rest on their ability to market this success to their customers as a feature essential to the entire range of current—and future—payment services.

Gary Ternullo, Senior Financial Analyst
gternullo@fdic.gov

New York Region: Asian Crisis and Poor Demographics Pose Short- and Long-Term Exposure

- The New York Region’s economy continues to prosper while the national economy booms.
- Fallout from the Asian crisis may harm selected industries, but so far the effects appear muted in the Region.
- Unfavorable demographic trends may hinder long-term economic growth in housing and retailing.

Region’s Economy Continues to Thrive

The New York Region’s economy continues to prosper during one of the longest national economic expansions on record. The nation’s real gross domestic product rose a strong 3.8 percent in 1997 and another 5.4 percent on an annual basis in the first quarter of 1998. The Region added about 315,000 jobs in the first quarter, a 1.5 percent increase over the prior year. All states in the Region are experiencing employment growth (see Chart 1).

In contrast to other Regions, where economic and job growth has been faster but perhaps more volatile, a slow and steady pace has characterized growth in the New York Region. Reflecting the job gains, unemployment rates throughout the Region continue to fall. Except for Puerto Rico, which is experiencing a job slowdown, most states have been reporting their lowest unemployment rates in almost a decade. However, most state unemployment rates are still above the national average. The exception is Delaware, with a 3.4 percent unemployment rate in the first quarter of 1998, one of the lowest rates in the nation.

Despite the positive trends, two important factors threaten the Region’s economic growth and expose financial institutions to risk. The short-term factor is the Asian crisis, which in the next two years could dampen export growth and hurt Regional industries whose products compete directly with Asian goods. The second factor, which has longer term implications, is the lack of population growth in general, but especially in the age cohort between 25 and 34 years old. Most household formation begins during these ages, starting the demand for homes and family-related retail products and ultimately for banking services. The Region has been experiencing less demand for housing and retail products than other parts of the nation as this cohort has continued to decline.

Local Effects of the Crisis from the Asian 10 Countries

The financial and economic crisis in Asia resulted from a number of factors, including weak financial oversight, inability to maintain domestic currencies pegged to the dollar, and growth in speculative investment (see The Asian Economic Crisis: Implications for the U.S. Economy). It is still too early to assess the specific financial effects of the Asian crisis on industries in the Region. Nevertheless, the crisis has potential adverse consequences for industries that export goods to Asia if demand for their products is reduced.

When measured in terms of gross state product, merchandise exports to the Asian 10 countries represent only a small component of each state’s overall economy (see Chart 2). However, 1997 statistics from the Federal
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1 The Asian 10 countries are China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand.
Asian Exports Are a Limited Part of Gross State Product…
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Office of Trade and Analysis show that the Region exported $26 billion in merchandise to the Asian 10 countries, representing nearly one-quarter of the Region’s total exports. As a percentage of total goods export dollars, Delaware has the highest exposure to the Asian 10 countries, followed by New York and New Jersey (see Chart 3). Asian export exposure in Delaware is centered on chemical products. In New Jersey and Pennsylvania, export exposure is primarily focused in the manufacture of drugs and allied chemical products and electronic equipment. In New York, export exposure lies in the industrial machinery, chemical, and agricultural industries. In addition, the apparel industry, a leading manufacturing sector and major employer of blue-collar workers in New York City, is very sensitive to the currency valuation pressures stemming from the Asian crisis. Because the apparel industry requires very quick turnaround and has relatively light plant and equipment requirements, manufacturers can shift production locations quickly.

Despite Limited Exposure to Asia, Risks Remain

Although the Region’s exposure to the Asian crisis appears somewhat limited, potential risks remain. Most analysts are concerned that the crisis is far from over. A number of the Region’s domestic manufacturers have already reported lower profits as a result of declining export sales and falling prices in the United States. These trends are expected to continue along with the crisis. Lower cost Asian imports are helping to lower inflationary pressure on commodities such as chemicals and manufactured goods such as apparel. However, falling prices without an equivalent boost in productivity are putting downward pressure on corporate earnings, thereby weakening the Region’s economy.

Another major concern is that the crisis will spread to other parts of the world, such as Latin America or even Europe. Analysts have already noted outflows of cash from Latin American mutual funds, while forecasts of 1998 Latin American corporate profits are being reduced. If the Latin American situation worsens, exposure by the Region’s banks would be far greater because exposure to Latin America is much higher than exposure to Asia. While the effects on Latin America are not yet clear, a recession there would pose an additional threat to banks.

The continuing volatility of the world’s stock markets and a closely linked global economy also mean that the U.S. stock market could suffer if investors perceive a sharper than expected decline in U.S. corporate earnings. Because of this Region’s dependency on Wall Street and the financial sector in general for jobs and income, a sharp and sustained sell-off in the stock market resulting from instability abroad would have serious consequences for its economy. A worsening of the Japanese economy, in particular, could have detrimental economic and political consequences for the United States and the Region. In the first quarter of 1998, the Japanese economy shrank 5.3 percent compared with the previous quarter, worse than even the most pessimistic forecasts. The Japanese economy is in its worst recession in more than two decades.
Demographic Trends May Pose Longer Term Risk

Despite the expansion in both the nation and the Region, demographic trends are less favorable for longer term economic growth. In general, the Region’s population is growing more slowly than the national average. Slower population growth has been associated with a sluggish economy, and often these two factors seem to work together. Young people tend to leave areas where they perceive less economic opportunity. This trend may worsen economic conditions further, as the area is deprived of a vital resource. The result is a downward economic cycle that is difficult to reverse. In the Region, areas of declining population growth are noted in upstate New York and western and northern Pennsylvania (see Chart 4). These areas generally exhibit weak economic conditions and slow population growth. Many of the Region’s major cities also are losing residents and have sluggish economies (see Regional Outlook, first quarter 1998).

More important, the age cohort between 25 and 34 is declining faster in this Region than elsewhere. This cohort is essential to long-term economic growth because it forms the basis of future demand for items such as housing, automobiles, and purchases related to child-rearing. Most households make an initial move into homeownership between the ages of 25 and 34. The number of individuals entering the housing market for the first time drives demand and allows existing homeowners to trade up. Partly because of the decline in this age cohort, permits for the construction of new single-family homes in the New York Region have lagged behind the nation (see Chart 5). Although other factors such as interest rates, employment, and availability of space are important determinants of housing demand, this basic demographic limitation will continue to lessen demand for new homes and may eventually curtail construction, home equity, and residential real estate loans (see Current Regional Banking Conditions).

In addition, the unfavorable demographic trends combined with fewer new homes being built and purchased mean that fewer dollars will be spent on retail goods. When a household buys a home, it generally increases retail spending in order to furnish the home. When children are born, retail spending also increases to meet the needs of a growing family. As the population ages, some of this spending is reduced, going instead for services such as health care. Partly as a result of these demographic trends, retail sales in the Region have lagged behind the nation during the 1990s. Economists expect that this trend, too, will continue to limit banks’ local market lending opportunities (see Chart 6).

New retail space combined with slower absorption has pushed up vacancy rates throughout the Region (see Chart 7). Over 8 million square feet of new retail space was added to the New York Region market in 1996 and more than 9 million in 1997, increasing vacancy rates from 7.2 percent in 1995 to 7.8 percent in 1997. This trend mirrors trends in the nation. Moreover, according to the FDIC Real Estate Report, a large number of
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**Chart 4**

Parts of Western and Northern Pennsylvania as Well as Upstate New York Have Experienced Population Declines
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**Chart 5**

Permits for Single-Family Homes Have Not Kept Pace With the Nation
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retail real estate projects are in the planning stage throughout the Region. For example, in the Philadelphia area, efforts are in the final planning stage to add over 1.5 million square feet of new retail space, while in the Washington, D.C., area, efforts also in the final planning stage would add over 1.3 million square feet of new retail space. While the suburban economies around these cities have been growing, the central-city areas have experienced declining populations and weak economies over the past decade.

In view of the unfavorable demographic trends, competition among banks for a slow-growing pool of consumer and business loan applicants may intensify. Competition may lead to looser underwriting standards to sustain growth. With potentially less demand for residential real estate, consumer, retail business, and retail real estate loans, banks may have to rely more on other forms of revenue enhancements, such as increased fees or additional services, to maintain profitability. Retail real estate projects should be scrutinized to ensure feasibility, particularly in relation to other planned projects and the ability of the local markets to sustain economic growth.

Norman Gertner, Regional Economist
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Current Regional Banking Conditions

- Financial institutions in the New York Region report strong profits and solid financial conditions.
- The Asian crisis poses risk to insured institutions in the New York Region.
- The New York Region’s weak demographic profile suggests banks may eventually struggle for growth in their most traditional consumer business lines.

Financial Condition Remains Strong

The Region’s banks and thrifts reported healthy financial conditions in the first quarter of 1998 (see Table 1). Insured institutions in the New York Region posted strong income figures. However, the high return-on-assets ratio was boosted by nonrecurring extraordinary gains that totaled 11 percent of aggregate net income. The ratio of net operating income to average assets, 0.96 percent, is at its lowest level in two years. Although financial institutions have been able to bolster earnings by increasing efficiency and emphasizing fee income as a revenue source, the continuing trend of lower net interest margins is affecting the bottom line. Capital ratios remain strong but continue the slightly downward trend seen over the past several quarters. Past-due ratios are declining as well, reflecting improvement in commercial and residential real estate loan portfolios. The primary area of weakness continues to be in the credit card loan portfolio. Nonperforming credit card loans as a percentage of total credit card loans are higher than in early 1997 despite continuing high charge-off levels. Further, a larger portion of nonperforming credit card loans are in the more severe “90 days and over past due” category than in previous periods, suggesting a prolonged weakness that will not be quickly corrected.

The Asian Crisis Brings Uncertainty to Financial Institutions

The currency crises in Asia have brought turmoil to that area and uncertainty to the rest of the world. As Asia struggles to recover, the U.S. economy is being affected in a variety of ways (see The Asian Economic Crisis: Implications for the U.S. Economy and New York Region: Asian Crisis and Poor Demographics Pose Short- and Long-Term Exposure).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New York Region Institutions Continue to Show Strength</th>
<th>3/31/98</th>
<th>3/31/97</th>
<th>3/31/96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Return on Assets</strong></td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Interest Margin</strong></td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Return on Equity</strong></td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 1 Leverage</strong></td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noncurrent Assets/Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past Due Loans (%)</strong></td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonperforming Credit Card Loans (%)</strong></td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credit Card Charge-Offs (%)</strong></td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bank and Thrift Call Reports
lysts, these credits equal about one-fifth the exposure
generally does not bode well for the health of the industry. The New York Region's institutions may face
pressure on several fronts. Corporate borrowers, especially those with ties to Asia, could experience weakened demand for products, which would cut into their profits and negatively affect capacity to repay debt. Some manufacturers in the Region already are reporting a decline in exports and have given indications of lower future earnings.

The Asian crisis also has created a volatile U.S. stock market. The New York Region's economy, with its heavy dependence on the financial services industry, particularly Wall Street, would be significantly affected by any instability caused by a sustained stock market downturn (see New York Region: Asian Crisis and Poor Demographics Pose Short- and Long-Term Exposure).

Another area of uncertainty lies with Japan. Analysts opine that Asia can recover only with the assistance of a strong Japan. Yet Japan's economy, which has been in the doldrums for most of the 1990s, has slipped into recession. Many market analysts and economists expect the Asian economies to contract sharply this year and take five or more years to recover. Forecasts indicate that such a prolonged downturn in Asia will reverberate through other economies around the globe.

Another aspect of Japan's problems lies with its financial institutions. Japanese banks, which are incurring huge loan losses in an effort to slash the burden of bad debt already on their books from years of domestic troubles, have the largest credit exposure to their Asian neighbors. Japanese banks boosted their lending activities in Asia over the past several years in an attempt to compensate for problems at home. Now, the economic frailty at home and the financial crisis in the other Asian countries pose a double threat to their earnings. Though Asian loans held by Japanese banks represent only 3 to 4 percent of total loans, in some cases that exposure is greater than the banks' core capital. Reserves against these loans are eating away at an already weak capital base. One side effect is that Japanese banks have had to curtail lending, which is contributing to credit tightness both in Japan and elsewhere in Asia. Another result of this turmoil is that Japanese banks have been downgraded by ratings services such as Fitch IBCA and Moody's, which will increase borrowing costs and further hamper earnings.

Asian Banks Reevaluating Their Role in the United States

Another effect of the Asian crisis is that many Asian financial institutions are altering their strategy in the United States. This effect is particularly important to the New York Region, which holds the majority of foreign banking assets in the country (see Regional Outlook, fourth quarter 1997).

The crisis has prompted Asian banks to retreat from the United States. Twenty-one foreign branches, agencies, and representative offices in New York City closed in 1997. Many were offices of Asian banks. Japan, which has the most U.S. bank assets of any foreign country, experienced a 5 percent decline in total assets in the United States in 1997 versus 1996. South Korean institutions, which steadily increased their U.S. presence in 1996 and the beginning of 1997, have since retrenched significantly. Their assets in the United States were down more than 30 percent, from $18 billion at the end of 1996 to $12.6 billion at year-end 1997. The results of these changes are already being felt. Branches and agencies of foreign banks are lending less to U.S. businesses and tightening underwriting standards. In addition, there are reports that they are increasing the cost of credit lines and widening spreads over base rates.

The syndicated lending market, in which the New York Region has a significant number of large players, has been particularly affected by Japan's recent retrenchment. This is not necessarily a negative, however. As competition has lessened, domestic banks have been able to widen spreads and raise commitment fees, reversing a trend toward razor-thin margins and minimal fees that have been squeezing banks in the syndicated lending market for some time. Deals that are not priced to reflect investors' heightened demands are finding fewer participants and sometimes require restructuring to stimulate demand. Yet, the general retreat of Japanese banks from the syndicated lending market has not hampered loan volume. According to Securities Data Co., the syndicated lending market, with $227 billion of volume in the first quarter of 1998, is posed to surpass 1997's record $1 trillion.
Demographics Indicate a Struggle for Growth in Consumer Lending

Slow population growth has hampered this Region’s economy, a trend that is likely to continue in the long term. The Region faces a declining population of 25- to 34-year-olds, the prime demographic group for major spending on housing and consumer items (see New York Region: Asian Crisis and Poor Demographics Pose Short- and Long-Term Exposure). In the long term, this trend could create a more competitive environment for the Region’s financial institutions.

Many factors affect loan demand at any given time. Currently, low interest rates, low unemployment, and a strong economy are spurring the residential housing market. The Region traditionally has significantly less of its total loan portfolio invested in mortgage loans than the rest of the nation (see Chart 1). Mortgage concentration levels are affected by the composition of the Region’s banks, especially the number of large national and multinational institutions, which tend to focus on commercial lending and other products instead of residential mortgages. Nonetheless, despite the strong housing markets seen throughout the Region in the past year, the Region’s mortgage loan portfolios grew only about half as much as the national average. Growth is not spurred only by a strong economy. Demographics will undoubtedly play a larger part in future growth, especially if the economy falters.

Regional demographics will also affect home equity and consumer loans. Younger adults are primary purchasers of such items as cars, home improvements, and other products associated with household formation and raising families. These purchases generally lead to debt. Although other factors undoubtedly affect these loan categories, demographic trends play a significant role in stimulating demand. The New York Region has consistently posted sluggish growth in home equity and consumer loans (excluding credit card loans) over the past five years (see Charts 2 and 3).

The Region’s changing demographic profile poses a challenge for financial institutions, especially community banks. Larger regional or national banks with vast interstate operations can shift focus to other, faster growing markets with more favorable demographic trends. But community banks with limited branching beyond their own local markets must find new ways to expand and retain an aging customer base. An aging customer base implies weakened demand for mortgages and installment loans. Less demand for such traditional consumer banking products may force banks to weaken underwriting standards or pursue growth through other business lines to maintain or increase market share, leading to a potential increase in bank risk profiles. As baby boomers age and save for retirement, demand for savings and investment products will increase. The competition for these assets is and will continue to be intense. Financial institutions of all sizes must develop clear marketing strategies in order to compete effectively on this front.

Banks will have to assess their long-term goals and strategies with the Region’s demographics in mind. Risk management will be especially critical as banks attempt to differentiate their products and services. Underwriting standards and other criteria will have to be balanced against the desire for growth. Also, educating con-
Consumers about new savings products will be important, as banks expand their business lines to sell mutual funds and other nondeposit investment products. Sound planning and clear policies and strategies will be the most effective way to deal with the Region’s long-term demographic changes.

Karen A. Wigder, Financial Analyst

For More Information


Subscription Form

To obtain a subscription to the FDIC **Regional Outlook**, please print or type the following information:

Institution Name ______________________________________________________________
Contact Person ______________________________________________________________
Telephone ______________________________________________________________
Street Address ______________________________________________________________
City, State, Zip Code __________________________________________________________

Please fax or mail this order form to: FDIC Public Information Center
801 17th Street, N.W., Room 100
Washington, D.C. 20434
Fax Number (202) 416-2076

Please indicate below each Region’s issue you wish to receive:

Atlanta _____________ Boston _____________ Chicago _____________
Dallas _____________ Kansas City _____________ Memphis _____________
New York _____________ San Francisco _____________ All _____________

FDIC
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
Washington, DC 20429-9990

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, $300

BULK RATE
MAIL
Postage &
Fees Paid
FDIC
Permit No. G-36