In Focus This Quarter

◆ Economic Conditions and Emerging Risks in Banking—This article provides an overview of economic conditions and banking industry trends, with a primary focus on potential risks to insured depository institutions.
   ● Indicators of Industry Performance—The reported financial condition of insured banks and thrifts is strong. However, despite projected growth in earnings, bank and thrift stocks underperformed the broader market through October 1999. See page 3.
   ● Economic Conditions—The economy remains generally strong, and the outlook calls for continued growth. Growth is likely to slow, however, in order to correct financial imbalances that have developed as a result of a rapid creation of household and commercial credit and borrowing from abroad. There is a threat that the adjustment process could be a volatile one. See page 4.
   ● Emerging Risks in Banking—Rising indebtedness on the part of businesses and households raises concerns about future loan performance. Industry responses to intense competition have created greater credit, market, and operational risks. See page 8.
      Consumer Lending—Banks and thrifts are becoming increasingly involved in subprime consumer lending, which has raised some supervisory concerns. See page 8.
      Commercial and Industrial Lending—Signs of deterioration in corporate credit quality can be found in rising loss rates, slower profit growth, and rising corporate bond defaults. At the same time, banks are expanding their lending to heavily indebted companies in the syndicated loan market. See page 11.
      Commercial Real Estate and Construction Lending—Loans for real estate construction and development are growing rapidly. Despite an uptick in commercial vacancy rates, loan losses remain low. See page 12.
      Agricultural Lending—Low commodity prices are hurting farm operating incomes, but widespread effects on farm banks have yet to materialize. See page 13.
      Funding and Interest Rate Risk—Lagging deposit growth has led to a greater reliance on more volatile, market-based funding, and some institutions are taking on greater interest rate risk to maintain loan growth. See page 14.

By the Analysis Branch Staff

Regional Perspectives

◆ Economic and Banking Conditions—The creation of new jobs and a low rate of unemployment continued through the summer in the Region. Banks reported strong financial conditions through midyear, but continuing low agricultural commodity prices could adversely affect future performance. Entry by existing unitary thrifts and large financial services conglomerates, formed as a result of pending financial reform legislation, could increase the level of competition experienced by the Region’s small rural banks. See page 18.
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Economic Conditions and Emerging Risks in Banking

The Division of Insurance periodically assesses conditions in the economy and the banking industry to identify and evaluate trends that could adversely affect the performance of insured depository institutions. Overall, conditions in the economy and banking industry are favorable at this time. However, signs point to vulnerability in the economy and in the banking industry that may make the years ahead much more challenging. Three broad themes emerge from this assessment:

- **Households' and businesses' debt levels are on the rise.** Spending by households and businesses is growing faster than cash income, resulting in rapidly increasing indebtedness. Consumer spending has been driven, in part, by large increases in the net worth associated with stock holdings and home equity. Businesses are restructuring and investing in new technologies to raise productivity and cut costs. Both consumer and business spending has been assisted by ready access to financing. Rising interest rates or slower economic growth could make debt service more difficult for borrowers.

- **Intense competition in banking is driving business strategies.** Competitive pressures have affected nearly every facet of the banking business. These pressures are evident in net interest margins, which have suffered from tighter loan pricing and higher funding costs. To maintain profits, some institutions are lending to less creditworthy borrowers, expanding into new or higher-yielding activities, creating more complex balance sheet structures, or cutting costs. These strategies may lead to greater credit, market, and operational risks.

- **The currently benign economic environment is vulnerable to rapid deterioration in the event of financial market instability.** During the 1990s, we have witnessed recurring, and perhaps more frequent, episodes of financial market turbulence. Recent episodes have arisen mainly overseas and have had little adverse effect on U.S. economic activity. However, the current economic expansion is closely tied to the ready availability of market-based financing for households and businesses and to wealth generated with the help of rising stock prices and falling interest rates. For this reason, the currently strong economic outlook may be subject to sudden deterioration in the event of market shocks that sharply raise interest rates or lower stock prices.

The analysis that follows explores these themes in more detail in the following sections: 1) indicators of industry performance, 2) economic conditions, and 3) emerging risks in banking.

Indicators of Industry Performance

**Industry Financial Performance Is Strong**

According to reported financial information, the banking and thrift industries are performing well. As summarized in the *FDIC Quarterly Banking Profile*, second quarter 1999, both the commercial banking and thrift industries report near-record earnings, strong capital levels, and manageable volumes of problem assets and loan losses. Return on assets (ROA) for all insured institutions in the second quarter was 1.21 percent and return on equity (ROE) was 14.07 percent. ROA and ROE were down slightly from the first quarter despite improvement in the industry net interest margin (NIM) and a decline in provision expense. However, the majority of the decline in net earnings resulted from a $1.5 billion loss posted by one large bank.

The low overall level of net loan losses has been a key contributor to strong industry performance. Chart 1 (next page) shows that the average net loan loss ratio for the industry has been low and stable in recent years. Similarly, the range between the worst and best 5 percent of net loan loss ratios has narrowed considerably since the early 1990s. More than 95 percent of insured institutions reported a net loan loss ratio of less than 1 percent in 1998, continuing a five-year trend.
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Bank Stocks Underperform Despite Projected Earnings Growth

Analysts expect continued earnings growth for banks and thrifts in 1999 and 2000. Median growth in earnings per share is projected to be 16.9 percent for publicly traded banks and 19.4 percent for publicly traded thrifts for 1999.1 Ratings agencies also view the industry positively. The ratio of upgrades to downgrades for ratings issued by Moody’s Investors Service improved in the second quarter, with nine companies receiving upgrades versus four receiving downgrades.

Nonetheless, bank and thrift stocks have underperformed the broader market in the first three quarters of 1999. The SNL Securities Bank Stock Index, which tracks more than 450 publicly traded commercial banks, declined 6.7 percent between January 1 and September 30, 1999. The SNL Securities Thrift Stock Index, which tracks the performance of about 350 publicly traded thrifts, fell 13.7 percent during the same period. By contrast, the Standard & Poor’s (S&P) 500 index gained 4.6 percent. Analysts cite rising interest rates, concerns about problems with corporate credit quality, and a decline in bank merger activity as reasons for the recent performance of bank and thrift stocks.

Economic Conditions

Overview

The U.S. economy has remained generally strong during 1999, the ninth year of the current economic expansion. If growth continues through February 2000—as most analysts expect—this expansion will become the longest in U.S. history. What is also remarkable about this business cycle expansion is the fact that the highest rates of growth have occurred during the past two years, 1997 and 1998. Even as growth has accelerated with unemployment declining to 4.2 percent, wage and price inflation has remained unusually subdued. While low inflation has helped prolong the expansion, it has imposed intense price competition on a wide range of industries. The currently positive economic outlook is subject to possible sudden deterioration in the event of financial market shocks that could raise financing costs, reduce the availability of financing, or destroy investor wealth.

Commodity Industries Have Faced Pricing Pressures

One disadvantage of low inflation during this expansion has been that firms in certain commodity industries have suffered from falling prices. Profit margins have declined in agriculture, mining, and some manufacturing sectors because of weak or negative revenue growth during 1997 and 1998.2 Firms operating in these industries have aggressively cut costs to preserve profit margins. Nonetheless, profit growth has been flat or negative for a large proportion of S&P 500 firms in the mining, textiles, chemicals, iron and steel, and oil and gas sectors since 1997. In response, some firms in these industries have chosen to consolidate through mergers. According to Mergerstat, the dollar volume of merger and acquisition transactions involving U.S. firms was a record $1.2 trillion in 1998, more than 80 percent above 1997 levels.

Business Investment Is Outpacing Cash Flow

Analysts recently have become concerned about increasing levels of debt on corporate balance sheets.

---

1 Based on estimates as of November 4, 1999, for 98 commercial banks and 33 thrifts that have at least five analyst estimates.
Chart 2 tracks the steady growth of fixed investment by U.S. corporations during the current expansion. It also shows, however, that growth in cash flow available to finance investment has slowed in recent years. This “financing gap” has grown steadily, reaching a record $86 billion in 1998.

As a result, corporations must finance an increasing portion of investment spending by issuing either net new equity or net new debt. In recent years, firms have overwhelmingly chosen debt financing. Net issuance of corporate debt was $219 billion in 1998, while corporations repurchased equity shares on net for the sixth straight year. Corporate borrowing has also continued at a brisk pace; domestic commercial and industrial (C&I) lending rose by 12.5 percent in the year ending June 1999.

A widening financing gap and increasing debt levels could pose future problems if there are adverse changes in the financial environment. For example, a sharp rise in interest rates would increase the debt burden of businesses, hurt their profitability, and impair their creditworthiness. Under such a scenario, firms might decide to curtail their capital expenditures, which would tend to reduce the rate of growth in the rest of the economy.

Consumer Spending Continues to Grow

Strong growth in consumer spending continues to propel the economic expansion. Spending has accelerated in recent quarters, in contrast to previous expansions when the strongest growth in consumer spending occurred early in the recovery. One factor supporting the robust pace of spending is housing activity. Single-family housing starts rose to an annualized rate of more than 1.3 million units in fourth quarter 1998 and have remained near that level through third quarter 1999. Existing home sales also have maintained a record pace of 5.3 million units on an annualized basis during the second and third quarters. Low mortgage interest rates and real income gains have combined to push housing affordability to its highest level in many years. The housing affordability index published by the National Association of Realtors equals 100 when the median family income qualifies for an 80 percent mortgage on a median-priced existing single-family home. The value of the index as of the third quarter of 1999 was 127.1.

Rapid growth in consumer spending also warrants attention. Despite the highest rates of real income growth in nine years, consumer spending has grown more quickly than disposable personal income. The divergence in growth has resulted in a falling personal savings rate, which reached a record low in 1999. The recent decline in the personal savings rate continues a trend that has been under way for more than a decade (see Chart 3, next page).

Analysts cannot fully explain the reasons for the falling savings rate, although the “wealth effect” associated with the accumulation of capital gains by households is believed to be a significant factor. Since 1995, the total value of equities, mutual funds, and pension funds owned by households has risen by $6.8 trillion, while the value of owner-occupied housing net of mortgage debt has increased by $812 billion. This accumulation of wealth apparently has emboldened consumers to spend, as evidenced by data that show aggregate spend-

\[ \text{Personal savings} = \text{disposable personal income (DPI, or total income net of taxes) and consumption expenditures. The personal savings rate is equal to personal savings divided by DPI. It should be noted that capital gains, even when realized, are not included as income in this calculation, although taxes paid on capital gains are deducted from DPI. Consequently, large-scale realization of capital gains by households will tend to push down the personal savings rate.} \]

\[ \text{The Bureau of Economic Analysis, which tabulates the personal savings rate, has recently revised its methodology, leading to a large revision in the savings rate data. Earlier estimates reported the personal savings rate to be around negative 1 percent, suggesting that households were spending more than their disposable (after-tax) income. Revised estimates show that the savings rate for the third quarter of 1999 was 2.1 percent. Although higher than previously reported, the revised personal savings rate data continue to show a downward trend similar to earlier savings rate estimates.} \]
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**Chart 3**

Strong Consumer Spending Has Been Accompanied by a Falling Personal Savings Rate

![Chart showing personal consumption spending and personal savings rate from 1Q92 to 1Q99.](image)

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis (Haver Analytics)

...ing growth exceeding income growth. For the most part, when consumers have chosen to convert capital gains to spendable cash, they have done so by borrowing—often against the equity in their homes or their 401(k) accounts.

The increasing indebtedness of consumers could substantially raise the costs of debt service relative to income, especially if interest rates rise or income growth slows. Moreover, analysts express concerns about a reversal of the wealth effect if there is a significant and sustained decline in equity prices. Any resulting decline in consumer confidence could substantially slow the pace of consumer spending, leading to a reduced pace of economic growth.

**Chart 4**

Net Borrowing by Businesses and Households Represents a Growing “Private Deficit”

![Chart showing net borrowing by sectors from 1980 to 1998.](image)

Note: “Nonfinancial business” includes farm and nonfarm, corporate and noncorporate businesses. Source: Federal Reserve Board (Haver Analytics)

The private deficit was financed from three sources in 1998. One source was the $73 billion surplus in the government sector, the first surplus in 28 years. The largest portion of the 1998 private deficit was financed by the creation of credit by the domestic financial sector and by an inflow of foreign capital. The rapid creation of credit raises concerns about credit quality, an issue that is explored in more detail under *Emerging Risks in Banking*, below. Dependence on foreign capital raises questions about what might happen if the foreign sector becomes less willing to export capital to the United States.

**Recovery Abroad Is Changing the Terms of Trade**

During the past three years, the U.S. economy has experienced consistently strong growth with low inflation, while the economies of some of its major trading partners have grown more slowly or not at all. Japan was mired in its worst recession in decades, while a number of countries in Asia, Latin America, and Eastern Europe...
have experienced the harsh fallout resulting from financial market and exchange rate crises. The euro-zone economies, Germany and France in particular, have grown slowly following the imposition of tight fiscal and monetary policies in advance of the introduction of the euro on January 1, 1999.

The net effect of this disparity in growth rates has been a growing U.S. trade deficit. The deficit rose by 57 percent in 1998 to $164.3 billion, reflecting a small decline in exports and a 5 percent increase in imports. The adverse effects of the trade deficit on the U.S. economy have been felt primarily by the commodity industries—farming, mining, and basic manufacturing. In addition, the large trade deficit has resulted in the transfer of billions of dollars to foreign investors. During 1997 and 1998, many foreign investors used their excess dollars to purchase dollar-denominated stocks and bonds. This inflow of capital helped keep U.S. equity and bond prices high, while pushing up the value of the dollar.

A global economic recovery during the first three quarters of 1999 has led to higher demand for investment capital outside the United States. The International Monetary Fund estimates that growth in the global economy will increase from 2.5 percent in 1998 to 3.0 percent in 1999 and 3.5 percent in 2000. Foreign investors, in anticipation of stronger growth and greater investment opportunities abroad, have started to convert excess dollar holdings to other currencies, including the yen and euro. This change in investment strategy has put downward pressure on the value of the dollar. Between July and September 1999, the dollar lost approximately 10 percent of its value against the yen.

A falling dollar will likely contribute to a recovery of U.S. exports in coming months. The index of export orders compiled by the National Association of Purchasing Managers points to future growth in shipments abroad. The index has signaled growing export orders for nine months through October 1999. As Chart 5 shows, increasing export orders tend to lead the actual rise in exports by several months.

A lower dollar could also place upward pressure on U.S. inflation and interest rates. A steady decline in the dollar would make foreign goods more expensive, while higher export demand would raise manufacturing output at a time when U.S. labor markets are very tight. The prices of several important industrial commodities have risen in dollar terms during 1999, led by a doubling in the price of oil during the first nine months of 1999. Domestically, the producer price index has risen by approximately 4 percent since the beginning of the year following a two-year decline, reflecting an increase in oil and intermediate goods prices.

Interest rates have risen in step with renewed concerns about inflation. The constant maturity yield on 10-year Treasury bonds increased by approximately 140 basis points in the year ending October 1999, while the Federal Reserve instituted two 25-basis point increases in short-term rates during the summer of 1999.

The Economic Outlook Calls for Continued Growth

One scenario for the year ahead is that the U.S. economy will continue to grow at much the same rate as it has during the past few years. As discussed above, however, continued rapid growth would lead to even greater imbalances in the domestic economy and in the foreign sector. For this reason, most economists do not believe that rapid growth can continue indefinitely. Instead, analysts suggest two possible scenarios for the economy.

The Blue Chip Economic Indicators consensus outlook for the U.S. economy calls for a “soft landing.” Gross domestic product is projected to grow at a rate of 3.8 percent in 1999 with somewhat slower growth of 2.8 percent in 2000. Rising wage pressures, reflecting tight

---


labor markets across the nation, and economic recovery abroad are expected to increase the risks of higher U.S. inflation. Improving growth prospects in the global economy may also lead to a stabilization of commodity prices, reversing a trend of falling prices that has until recently contributed to lower U.S. inflation. In response to expectations of higher inflation, medium-term interest rates are also expected to rise modestly. Slower U.S. growth and faster expansion abroad would result in a rebalancing of global growth that should narrow the U.S. trade deficit and reduce downward pressure on the dollar.

Although the consensus forecast calls for continued expansion, an alternative scenario suggests the possibility of a steep decline in economic growth leading to a “hard landing.” Sharply higher interest rates, in response to a weak dollar and an unexpected acceleration of U.S. inflation, could lead to declining capital investment and reduced consumer spending. Rising interest rates would increase the debt burden for households and businesses even as measures of indebtedness are rising. A significant and sustained decline in equity prices may occur if investors become pessimistic as the economy slows. The response of the world economy to a U.S. recession is difficult to assess. As the past several months have shown, growth in the U.S. economy has been an important factor in supporting growth abroad. If the U.S. economy were to enter a recession, overall global growth could also slow, depending on the extent to which recoveries in Europe, Asia, and Latin America offset any shortfall in U.S. growth.

Emerging Risks in Banking

Overview

Favorable economic conditions continue to support strong loan growth and healthy loan performance among insured institutions. Net loss rates remain low relative to the early 1990s for almost every major loan category except consumer loans. Loss rates in domestic commercial loans, previously at low levels, rose modestly during the first half of 1999. Agricultural loan loss rates appear likely to rise in the future due to the effects of weak commodity prices on farm incomes. Strong loan growth and low loan losses have helped banks achieve record and near-record high quarterly profits. However, rising indebtedness on the part of businesses and households raises concerns about future loan performance, particularly if economic conditions were to deteriorate or if interest rates were to rise.

Strategic responses to competitive pressures point to greater credit, market, and operational risks for the industry. Intense competition has pressured NIMs and has encouraged many lenders to seek higher returns by lending to less creditworthy borrowers. In order to maintain and grow profits, some insured institutions are expanding into activities such as subprime consumer lending, high loan-to-value mortgage lending, and lending with minimal or no documentation requirements. Rapid growth in syndicated lending to leveraged companies also indicates that large commercial lenders have increased their tolerance for risk. Competition has made funding with deposits more difficult. As a result, some institutions are relying increasingly on securitizations and more expensive, market-based sources of funds, which can alter an institution’s liquidity position, interest rate risk profile, and operational needs. Institutions have also responded to competitive pressures by cutting costs or merging in an attempt to achieve greater efficiencies. In some cases, deep reductions in operating costs support profits at the expense of less effective operational controls.

Consumer Lending

Household Borrowing Is on the Rise

Household borrowing is growing rapidly, consistent with high reported levels of consumer confidence and strong consumer spending. Mortgage debt, which grew by 10.4 percent in the second quarter from year-ago levels, is the fastest-growing segment of household debt (see Chart 6). Mortgage loan growth has been particularly strong, in part because of rising homeownership, the availability of more low-down-payment loans, and the use of mortgage loans to consolidate revolving debt balances. Nonrevolving debt grew by 7.3 percent in the year ending June 1999, largely because of strong sales of new cars. In contrast, credit card and other revolving debt increased by only 5.7 percent during the same period—a much slower rate of growth than during the mid-1990s.
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CHART 6

Household Borrowing Is on the Rise, Led by Increases in Mortgage Debt

Percent Change from Year Ago
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Source: Federal Reserve Board (Haver Analytics)

A Mortgage Refinancing Boom Has Helped Consumers Consolidate Debt

A key component of the recent shift by consumers from credit card debt to mortgage debt has been a surge in mortgage refinancing in 1998 and early 1999. The Mortgage Bankers Association’s Refinancing Index peaked at over 4,300 in October 1998, compared with an average monthly index value of 527 during 1997.

Many households have refinanced their mortgages to obtain cash to pay down credit card and other high-cost consumer debt, thereby lowering their monthly financial obligations. According to a Freddie Mac survey of 1998 refinancing transactions, more than 3 million homeowners, or 51 percent of all mortgage-refinance borrowers, generated net cash proceeds when they refinanced their loans. On average, these borrowers cashed out 11 percent of the equity in their homes. On the basis of this survey, Bank One Corporation estimated that cash out refinancing added about $60 billion in cash flow to consumer pocketbooks last year. This extra cash flow could help explain recent quarterly declines in personal bankruptcy filings, mortgage delinquencies, and consumer credit charge-offs. Rising interest rates appear to have ended this mortgage refinancing boom. The lower volume of mortgage refinancings raises questions about whether consumers again will increase their use of credit cards to finance purchases. If so, there may be negative consequences for future consumer debt service burdens and consumer credit quality.

Credit Card Lenders Face Declining Returns

After several years of rapid growth in the mid-1990s, the credit card industry has become characterized by overcapacity and declining margins. At the same time, the high level of mortgage refinancings and rising household incomes have reduced the dependence of consumers on credit card debt. Consequently, credit card lenders are struggling to maintain volume as consumers pay off their credit card balances more quickly.

Overcapacity and declining margins have led lenders to search aggressively for new ways to increase revenues. One method they have adopted is to charge new fees that are triggered by cardholder behavior. Lenders are now charging fees for inactive accounts, fees to close accounts, and even customer service fees. In addition, they are reducing grace periods, curtailing leniency periods, and imposing higher penalty interest rates. According to RAM Research, banks’ income from credit card fees has grown 79 percent over the past two years, while card interest income rose only 10 percent.

Shrinking margins have also prompted consolidation in the credit card industry. Today, the top five issuers control about 60 percent of the total managed assets in the credit card sector, up from just 35 percent in 1990. Amid this changing competitive landscape, credit quality has improved. Credit card charge-off levels at insured commercial banks hit an all-time high of 5.5 percent in the third quarter of 1997 but have declined steadily to a level of 4.1 percent in the second quarter of 1999. This decline has been attributed to tighter underwriting standards, more aggressive collection efforts, and extra household cash flow generated through mortgage refinancings.

---

*Index is seasonally adjusted where the week of March 16, 1990 = 100.
1 Survey cited in a study by the Joint Center for Housing Studies at Harvard University, “The State of the Nation’s Housing: 1999.”
In Focus This Quarter

Subprime Lenders Have Riskier Characteristics than the Industry

Subprime lending to consumers has grown dramatically in recent years. Subprime mortgage originations have grown from 5 percent of the total mortgage market in 1994 to 15 percent in 1997. The percentage of originations fell somewhat in 1998 to 10 percent—not because the volume of subprime mortgage originations fell but because the volume of prime mortgage originations was at a record high. In fact, in terms of dollars, subprime originations grew by 20 percent from 1997 to 1998, to $150 billion. That figure is up significantly from the $35 billion in subprime originations in 1994. Estimates of the size of the subprime automobile loan market vary somewhere between $50 billion and $75 billion, but one source estimates that subprime automobile originations jumped from about 8 percent of all automobile loan originations in 1990 to over 18 percent in 1998.

Analysts also have indicated that the subprime credit card market is the fastest-growing segment of credit card lending today. According to RAM Research, subprime receivables are growing 45 percent annually, compared with 16 percent or less for other segments of credit card lending.

Intense competitive pressure has contributed to the expansion of bank and thrift participation in subprime consumer lending. These loan programs offer higher margins than prime consumer lending products and have become an attractive alternative for banks and thrifts that have experienced shrinking margins in credit cards, mortgage lending, and other consumer product types. Moreover, the shakeout in the subprime specialty finance industry has provided new opportunities for insured depository institutions seeking to enter the subprime lending market. In 1999, several insured depository institutions acquired, or announced plans to acquire, a subprime specialty finance company. Bank and thrift involvement in subprime lending is expected to increase. In fact, some industry analysts predict that insured depository institutions with subprime affiliates will overtake finance companies as leaders in the subprime industry.

Subprime lending poses entirely new challenges in risk management for insured institutions. Not only are expected credit losses higher than for prime consumer lending, but a number of factors suggest that losses are also less predictable:

- **Subprime borrowers are more likely to default than prime borrowers and may be more vulnerable to economic shocks, such as a recession.** Borrowers’ previous credit problems suggest that they have limited financial resources to withstand economic difficulties.

- **Credit-scoring and pricing models used to underwrite subprime loans are untested in a recession.** Analysts have noted that credit-scoring models are less effective in predicting the likelihood of default for subprime borrowers than they are for prime borrowers.

- **Operational risks are greater in subprime lending.** Because defaults occur sooner and more often than in prime lending, subprime portfolios require a greater investment in servicing and collections resources. Subprime lenders run a greater risk that these resources could become severely strained if the level of defaults is not correctly anticipated.

- **Liquidity risks are greater in subprime lending.** Some large-volume subprime lenders heavily depend on the ability to securitize and sell loans to the secondary market. But investor demand for paper backed by subprime loans may be volatile, as was demonstrated during the financial market turmoil of late 1998. A number of nonbank subprime lenders experienced a liquidity crunch as a result of that market turmoil, and several opted for—or were forced into—bankruptcy.

- **Reputation, legal, and compliance risks also are important for subprime lenders.** Subprime lenders generally run a greater risk of violating, or being accused of violating, consumer protection laws or regulations. The public perception of subprime

---
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lenders could be tarnished if a recession were to result in substantially higher default rates.

The growing involvement by insured depository institutions in subprime lending has raised significant concerns for bank and thrift supervisors. To address those concerns, FDIC Chairman Donna Tanoue recently announced that the FDIC will propose to the other federal financial institution regulators that insured depository institutions with concentrations in subprime lending be held to higher minimum capital requirements than the current rules dictate. The FDIC proposal includes a common supervisory definition of subprime lending and ties capital adequacy to the types and levels of risks that individual subprime lenders have in their portfolios. This proposal will be shared with other federal regulators to refine a final approach.

Commercial and Industrial Lending

Commercial and Industrial Loan Losses Have Been on the Rise

Insured institutions continue to accommodate the credit needs of business borrowers. Domestic C&I loans grew almost 12.5 percent during the year ending in June 1999 and accounted for 40 percent of all net new loans booked during that period.

Although commercial loan losses are low, there are signs that credit quality in C&I portfolios is deteriorating. Net domestic C&I charge-offs during the first half of 1999 more than doubled from 1998 levels, while noncurrent domestic C&I loans rose by 26 percent. Examiners also have reported increasing problems in commercial portfolios. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency recently reported that the dollar volume of classified and special-mention Shared National Credits rose 70 percent during a recent annual review.

Slower profit growth and rising corporate bond defaults also point toward somewhat weaker business credit quality. While corporate profits grew by an average of 15 percent per year between 1993 and 1996, economists polled by Blue Chip Economic Indicators project growth of 6.7 percent for all of 1999, followed by growth of only 3.5 percent in 2000. Standard & Poor’s reported that 55 rated issuers defaulted on $20.5 billion in debt during the first six months of 1999. This pace of defaults is already nearly double levels experienced in the first half of 1998 and does not include more recent large defaults such as Iridium and Daewoo Group. Approximately 85 percent of the defaults that occurred during the first half of 1999 were among speculative-grade issuers. According to Moody’s, junk bond defaults rose to 5.8 percent of issues outstanding during the 12 months ending in September 1999, the highest level since 1991.

Rising Losses May Be Attributable to Loose Underwriting

Analysts attribute the recent deterioration in commercial credit quality to weak underwriting standards in the corporate debt markets during 1997 and early 1998. Bank underwriting was reported to be particularly accommodating at that time. The Federal Reserve Board reported in its May 1998 Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey on Bank Lending Practices that domestic banks were “generally eager to make loans to businesses” and that during early 1998 “a large percentage cut their spreads on such loans.” Subsequently, the November 1998 Survey reported a “broad tightening of business lending practices” associated with the financial market turmoil in progress at that time. However, regulators have continued to express concern about the assumptions underlying bank lending decisions. A Supervision and Regulation Letter sent by the Federal Reserve Board of Governors to its examiners in September 1999 noted the recent tightening of standards, but stated that “certain deeper issues remain,” which relate mainly to overoptimistic assumptions about the future repayment capacity of business borrowers.


18 “OCC Says Big Commercial Loans Suffering from Lax Underwriting,” American Banker, October 6, 1999, p. 1. The shared national credit program is a cooperative interagency program to review large credits held at several institutions. Loans subject to review include commitments in excess of $20 million that are shared among three or more participating lenders.


Leveraged Lending Has Been the Predominant Type of Syndicated Lending

Banks appear to be taking on more risk in the syndicated loan market by expanding their lending to heavily indebted companies. During the first half of 1999, leveraged lending was the fastest-growing segment of syndicated commercial lending. While overall syndicated loan volume was down slightly compared with the first half of 1998, syndicated lending to leveraged companies rose $7 billion, or 5 percent, on the strength of a record volume of "highly leveraged loans." As shown in Chart 7, loans to leveraged companies are making up a growing proportion of syndicated loan originations.

Factors driving growth in leveraged lending include a high volume of corporate mergers and acquisitions, increasing investor demand for higher-yielding loans, and a shift in preference for loans over bonds by high-yield issuers. While bank syndicators pass a large volume of these loans along to nonbank investors, a substantial portion of these credits remains on bank balance sheets. Loan Pricing Corporation has reported that as much as 64 percent of the value of "highly leveraged" loans originated in the first half of 1999 was retained by banks.

Commercial Real Estate and Construction Lending

Construction Loan Volume Continues to Rise

Loans for real estate construction and development (C&D) represent one of the fastest-growing segments of bank balance sheets, increasing 24 percent during the year ending June 1999. Compared with construction activity in the mid-1990s, spending on new commercial construction has shifted somewhat away from the industrial and retail markets and toward office and hotel construction. Residential construction growth was also strong during the first half of 1999, with single-family completions increasing 17 percent from a year ago. In the midst of this growth in loan volume, loss rates and past-due ratios for construction and development loans remain very low by historical standards, as indicated in Chart 8.

Office Vacancy Rates Are Rising in Many Top Markets

In previously published reports, Division of Insurance analysts identified nine metropolitan real estate markets where rapid development threatened to produce near-term oversupply conditions. These cities were identified based on the pace of current construction activity, commercial space demand indicators, and independent market analysts’ projections. Six of the metropolitan areas identified—Atlanta, Phoenix, Orlando, Portland, Dallas, and Nashville—subsequently experienced large increases in office vacancy rates during the first half of 1999. These areas have also experienced reduced employment growth and slowing net in-migration. Higher vacancy rates are often accompanied by slower

---

23 Syndicated loans are credits extended to large or medium-sized corporate borrowers that are originated by a group, or syndicate, of lenders. One type of syndicated lending is leveraged lending, in which the borrower’s debt-to-equity ratio is significantly higher than the industry average. Loan Pricing Corporation defines “leveraged loans” as those for which pricing exceeds 125 basis points over LIBOR.

24 Loan Pricing Corporation defines “highly leveraged loans” as those for which pricing exceeds 225 basis points over LIBOR.

25 According to Mergerstat, the value of mergers and acquisitions (M&A) was almost $400 billion during second-quarter 1999. According to Loan Pricing Corporation, syndicated loans originated in the second quarter to finance M&A activity totaled some $69 billion—a 43 percent increase over issuance in the first quarter.


rental-rate growth, which may lead to lower real estate values. For example, Atlanta’s vacancy rate rose 1.5 percentage points to 10.3 percent, while growth in rental rates slowed noticeably from the pace of the previous three years.\textsuperscript{28}

\textit{Surveys Suggest Tighter Standards in Commercial Real Estate Lending}

Evaluations of bank loan underwriting suggest a recent tightening of lending standards for commercial real estate loans. The August 1999 \textit{Federal Reserve Board Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey} reported a net tightening of commercial real estate underwriting standards, continuing a trend begun in late 1998. The \textit{FDIC’s March 1999 Report on Underwriting Practices} also found fewer instances of risky lending practices with respect to commercial real estate and construction lending than in prior reports. The FDIC’s September \textit{Report} showed no significant changes in lending standards.

The FDIC also recently published the findings of a targeted evaluation of the underwriting practices of banks operating in three of the fastest-growing metropolitan areas in the country—Atlanta, Dallas, and Las Vegas.\textsuperscript{29} Results indicated that competition was generally driving pricing margins down to very low levels, particularly compared with the 1980s. In some instances, lenders have responded to competitive pressures by making structural concessions on loan-to-value, cash equity, and recourse terms, particularly for large borrowers. However, underwriting standards generally have not been as aggressive as practices observed in the 1980s.

\textit{Agricultural Lending}

\textit{Low Commodity Prices Stress the Agriculture Industry}

Low prices for wheat, corn, hogs, cotton, and oilseeds are creating financial difficulties for farmers in the nation’s midsection. Several consecutive years of high worldwide production have resulted in large inventories of grains and oilseeds, which have depressed prices. Prices not only have fallen from mid-1990s levels, but are also low by historical standards. The \textit{United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)} forecasts for 2000 show little likelihood of improvement in prices.\textsuperscript{30}

The financial outlook for significant portions of the farm sector has deteriorated. The USDA projects that farm income from operations will decline by around 15 percent in 1999 from year-ago levels. However, total net farm income is projected to decline less than 1 percent. A projected $16.6 billion in government payments is expected to make up most of the difference between operating income and total net income.\textsuperscript{31} Legislation passed in October 1998 provides for $8.7 billion in emergency aid to affected farmers.

\textit{Farm Banks Continue to Perform Well Overall}

Despite the difficulties created by low farm prices, the overall financial condition of the 2,250 FDIC-insured farm banks continues to be strong.\textsuperscript{32} Farm banks reported an annualized ROA of 1.21 percent and an equity capital-to-assets ratio of 10.5 percent at mid-year.

\textsuperscript{28} Vacancy rates and rental growth rates were obtained from \textit{REIS Reports}.
\textsuperscript{31} “Potential Impacts of an Agricultural Aid Package,” \textit{Agricultural Outlook}, USDA, September 1999.
\textsuperscript{32} Farm banks are defined by the FDIC as those with over 25 percent of their loans in agricultural production or secured by agricultural real estate.
Loan loss reserves, which stood at 1.58 percent of total loans in June, remain high compared to historical levels. Loan performance at farm banks also appears to be strong at this time. Total past-due loans made up just 2.66 percent of total loans at farm banks in June, a level that is only 9 basis points higher than a year ago. Moreover, this increase in past-due loans is attributable entirely to nonagricultural loans; the level of past-due farm loans has not risen over the past 12 months. At the same time, higher-than-average nonperforming loan levels have been reported by farm banks in the upper Midwest and the South.

There are reasons to believe, however, that it will take time for financial distress among farm producers to significantly affect loan performance at farm banks. One such reason is the increasing use of carryover debt to restructure and extend operating loans that cannot be fully retired by borrowers during the current crop year. The most recent Survey of Agricultural Credit Conditions conducted by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City indicated an increase in the use of agricultural carryover debt by Tenth District banks. An increase in carryover debt was also noted in the FDIC’s March 1999 Report on Underwriting Practices, which indicated that almost one-third of FDIC-supervised farm banks experienced at least a “moderate” increase in agricultural carryover debt during the preceding six-month period. Although the use of carryover debt is not an uncommon practice in agricultural lending, it can be a leading indicator of declining loan performance. Chart 9 shows that increases in carryover debt by Tenth District farm banks in 1995 preceded increased loan losses during 1996.

Funding and Interest Rate Risk

Lagging Deposit Growth Has Led to Greater Reliance on Market-Based Funding

For most of the 1990s, banking industry asset growth has outstripped growth in deposits, creating greater reliance on more expensive and less stable market-based sources of funding. The trend in the loan-to-deposit ratio for commercial banks, which reached a record high of almost 90 percent at June 30, 1999, reflects this shift. Deposit growth has not kept pace with asset growth, in part because of a low rate of personal savings by households and competition for depositor funds from higher-yielding investment alternatives and nonbanks. Lagging deposit growth is particularly important for community banks because these institutions traditionally rely more heavily on deposits to fund assets than do larger banks. Greater dependence on market-based funding can alter the liquidity and interest rate risk positions of institutions and may require heightened attention to, and expertise regarding, asset-liability policies and procedures.

Growth in Securitization Affects Underwriting and the Structure of Bank Balance Sheets

Banks, and nonbanks in particular, continue to employ the securitization market to fund lending activities.

---

23 Twenty-three percent of insured farm banks have adopted a Subchapter S designation since 1997, when banks were first allowed to take advantage of the favorable tax treatment available under this section of the Internal Revenue Service code. Because of the effects of this tax treatment on reported profitability, farm bank ROA levels may not be comparable with ratios from prior periods.

24 Survey of Agricultural Credit Conditions, Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, June 29, 1999 (http://www.kc.frb.org/PUBLICAT/RED/PDF/2q99AgCrPress.pdf). The Tenth District comprises significant agricultural areas in Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, Wyoming, northern New Mexico, and western Missouri.

Issuance of asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) totaled $223 billion through the first six months of 1999, and is on pace for another record year. Including participation through credit card companies and CMBS conduit programs, bank-related issuance amounted to about 25 percent of total issuance in 1998, a decline from 1997 levels. Although insured institutions are not dominant players, growth in the securitization market can influence loan underwriting practices and the structure of bank balance sheets.

The securitization market competes to originate loans that could be made by insured institutions. This competition may tend to erode underwriting standards if securitizers ease terms to maintain sufficient volume to support lending pipelines. Recent trends indicate that this competition has intensified. For example, market observers note that the subordination levels in the CMBS market have been declining, which allows securitizers to increase lending volume for a given level of capital.\(^{37}\)

When banks do securitize, it is not always clear how much risk is transferred. The issue of credit risk transfer by commonly used securitization structures continues to receive attention from the markets and rating agencies. For example, many analysts agree that revolving structures, such as those used to securitize credit cards, eliminate only the most catastrophic credit risks for issuers.\(^{38}\) In addition, assets created by gain-on-sale accounting rules when loans are securitized can be volatile and can lead to unstable earnings and capital if not properly controlled and administered.

### Banks and Thrifts Appear Increasingly Vulnerable to Rising Interest Rates

Potentially volatile liabilities and long-term assets have been growing as a percentage of banking assets. Consistent with reduced deposit funding by insured institutions, more market-based and potentially volatile liabilities have been supporting an increasing proportion of banking assets in recent years (see Chart 10).\(^{39}\) At the same time, the lengthening maturity of insured institution mortgage portfolios has increased the percentage of total bank assets with maturities or repricing frequencies of greater than five years. This trend in mortgage portfolios is primarily responsible for the thrift industry’s increasing interest rate sensitivity. According to the Office of Thrift Supervision’s Quarterly Review of Interest Rate Risk, interest rate sensitivity for the median thrift rose in the second quarter of 1999 for the third consecutive quarter.

\(^{37}\) Securitizations are often structured in tranches such that a subordinated security bears the credit risk for a senior piece. The relative size of the subordinated piece affects not only funding costs for the issuer, but also the amount of effective leverage achievable through securitization.

\(^{38}\) A common feature of a revolving securitization structure is the provision for an “early amortization.” When a triggering event occurs, such as a negative three-month average excess spread, all available cash flows are used to pay off bondholder principal. This event causes receivables related to the deteriorating accounts to remain on the balance sheet of the issuer. Unless the deterioration in account credit quality is very rapid and severe, the bondholders will be repaid completely, and the credit risk will be borne by the issuer.

\(^{39}\) Volatile liabilities include borrowings, federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, jumbo certificates of deposit, foreign deposits, and trading liabilities.
Operational Risks

Insured banks and thrifts face numerous business- and process-oriented operational risks on a daily basis. At the same time, recent industry developments and bank failures have highlighted the importance of maintaining strong operations. The Basle Committee on Banking Supervision reported in late 1998 that “awareness of operational risk among bank boards and senior management is increasing.”

The competitive environment and shareholder expectations have led many insured institutions to search for greater efficiency by cutting costs. In some cases, deep cuts in overhead expenses may weaken the effectiveness of operating and monitoring systems as well as internal controls. Anecdotal evidence from banking regulators suggests that internal control and recordkeeping weaknesses are on the rise. Moreover, industry consolidation and new business activities are creating bigger, more complex, and more decentralized operating environments, especially for the largest institutions. These issues are important since operational weaknesses may leave institutions more vulnerable to adverse economic conditions, insider abuse, or fraud.

Implications

This article has summarized the generally favorable current condition of the U.S. economy and banking industry. The economy is in the ninth year of a remarkable economic expansion that has been conducive to a high level of financial performance on the part of the banking industry. There are, nonetheless, areas of vulnerability that could contribute to a less favorable economic environment and less robust financial performance for insured institutions in the future.

One issue raised by this report is rising indebtedness on the part of households and businesses, which represents a growing private deficit. Rising interest rates could increase the debt service burden for consumers and businesses, making them more vulnerable to a slowing economy. An increasing private deficit is problematic also because the two major sources of financing—foreign capital inflows and domestic credit creation—have the potential to create problems for the economy and for lenders. Dependence on foreign capital makes U.S. inflation and interest rates highly subject to changes in the decisions of foreign investors and the value of the dollar. The rapid pace of credit creation by the financial sector threatens to impair credit quality. The intuition that loose underwriting standards can lead to credit quality problems is supported by recent signs of rising credit losses in a strong economy.

The second issue that cuts across this report is the effect that competition is having on banking strategies and exposures to credit, market, and operational risks. There has been an increase in lending to less creditworthy borrowers, including subprime consumer borrowers and leveraged corporate borrowers. There is also evidence that institutions are pursuing asset-liability structures with higher levels of interest rate risk to maintain loan growth and meet funding needs. Finally, some of the innovations banks have used to counter competitive pressures may introduce new risks associated with complex accounting valuations, weakening internal controls, and the need for more intensive loan servicing.

The third issue is the increasing potential for financial market instability, which leaves the economy and the banking system vulnerable to sudden shocks. Events from fall 1998 showed some of the more damaging aspects of these crises, as market-based financing went from abundance to scarcity virtually overnight. The financial imbalances associated with the rapid creation of credit and borrowing from abroad not only create the need for the economy to slow down eventually, but also threaten to make that adjustment process a volatile one. Financial market shocks could quickly alter the confidence of consumers and businesses and their access to financing. Such instability could end the current expansion and expose underlying weaknesses in bank risk-management practices.
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The Region’s economy continues to perform well overall; however, low agricultural commodity prices and tight labor markets are causes for concern.

Community banks in the Region are performing well despite increasing nonbank competition.

Existing unitary thrifts and other new nonbank entrants with a significant presence in the Region could intensify the level of competition faced by community banks, particularly in rural areas.

**Economic and Banking Conditions**

The Region’s economy remained strong through the summer months, with average nonfarm employment through July increasing 1.7 percent from a year ago. This performance compares with a 2.1 percent increase at the national level. Employment in the Region’s manufacturing sector increased for the first time since March, while the nation’s employment rate in this sector has declined each month in 1999. The Region’s unemployment rate remained at 3.0 percent in July, compared with the national rate of 4.3 percent. Employers throughout the Region, especially in the construction and service sectors, continue to report difficulty recruiting workers.

The monthly survey of purchasing managers’ expectations in the Kansas City Region showed a modest decline for the second consecutive month, moving from 57.4 in July 1999 to 53.6 in August 1999 (see Chart 1). The most notable trend identified in the survey was the eighth monthly increase in the prices paid for manufacturing inputs. Low unemployment rates continue to exert upward pressure on wages throughout the Region. In addition to pressure on wages, a drop in manufacturing volume and export orders in August contributed to a modest decline in expectations. Despite the conflicting signals reported in August, the purchasing managers have expected continuing expansion during every month in 1999.

The Region’s agricultural sector experienced stress throughout the summer of 1999, as illustrated by continuing pessimistic forecasts for commodity prices shown in Table 1. Consecutive years of high production worldwide have led to significant accumulations of inventories of grains and oilseeds. Current *U.S. Department of Agriculture* (USDA) forecasts call for large harvests of corn and soybeans in the United States in 1999, with little chance of drawing down the stocks that have kept prices depressed. U.S. wheat production will

### Table 1: Prices Are Expected to Remain Depressed through 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogs</td>
<td>53.39</td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>34.72</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>35.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>65.06</td>
<td>66.32</td>
<td>61.48</td>
<td>64.50</td>
<td>69.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Grain prices are for marketing year of each crop. Crop quantities are per bushel; livestock are per hundredweight. Source: USDA*
be down slightly but not enough to have much influence on the price of this most export-dependent grain. The increased importance of large entities with significant fixed costs has prevented the hog market from adjusting supplies in response to the low prices experienced in 1998. The cattle market is one bright spot in the 2000 forecasts, although the USDA has revised its price forecast downward significantly since this summer.

Actual income from farming has declined substantially in 1998 and 1999, but government payments have helped mitigate the effects of the decline. In early October the House passed a bill providing an additional $8.7 billion for 1999, and the Senate is expected to pass similar legislation. This appropriation would result in a near record $52 billion of net farm income, of which a record $25 billion would be government payments. Such appropriations may slow the ongoing exodus of small farmers from the industry but will not reverse the trend of consolidation in agriculture and the subsequent rural-to-urban migration occurring through much of the Region.

New Banking Entrees Could Soon Alter the Region’s Competitive Landscape

Although community banks\(^1\) in the Region’s rural counties have faced increasing competition from nonbank entities such as Farm Credit System institutions and mutual fund companies, competition from large banks remains minimal in many areas. Low population bases, past restrictions on interstate branching, and the need to maintain personal relationships with rural customers may have made entering some rural markets unattractive to large banks. As a result, competition for community banks has come largely from other community banks, which typically do not have the pricing power or product offerings of larger institutions.

This article begins with a discussion of how increasing nonbank competition has affected community bank performance in recent years. The next section assesses banking competition, showing specifically how community banks in rural areas face less direct competition from large banks than their metropolitan counterparts. The final section describes how the entry of new, large banking organizations, through ownership of existing unitary thrift charters or affiliation with commercial banks, could accelerate competition in the Region’s rural banking markets.

Community Banks Are Performing Well despite Increasing Nonbank Competition

Community banks have performed well in the 1990s. Reported capital levels are strong, delinquent loan levels have remained moderate, and loan loss reserve levels appear adequate. Pretax earnings,\(^2\) although down slightly in 1999, remain high by historical standards.

Community banks’ performance has been strong in spite of steadily growing competition from nonbanks on both sides of the balance sheet in recent years. For example, on the asset side, the Farm Credit System

\(^1\) Community banks are defined in this article as FDIC-insured commercial or savings institutions with assets of less than $250 million. The term “banks” includes both commercial and savings institutions.

\(^2\) Earnings are shown pretax because many community banks have elected Subchapter S status for federal income tax purposes. Because these banks pay no federal income taxes, their financial statements are comparable to those of other institutions only on a pretax basis.
Banking Scorecard—Farm Banks

In aggregate, as of June 30, 1999, the Region’s farm banks\(^1\) continued to report strong earnings with a 1.22 percent aggregate return-on-assets ratio, contributing to adequate reported capital levels.

![Graph: The Region’s Farm Banks Continue to Post Strong Earnings](image1)

![Graph: Capital Levels Remain High Compared to Historical Levels](image2)

Declines in corn, soybean, wheat, and hog prices that began in 1998 are beginning to affect farm banks’ delinquent loan levels in 1999. In aggregate, problem loans are increasing but still remain manageable, at 2.57 percent of total loans as of June 30, 1999, up 8 basis points from June 30, 1998. Farm banks reported 2.80 percent of farm operating loans and 2.29 percent of farm real estate loans as past-due at June 30, 1999, a 41-basis-point increase in each loan category from a year earlier. Significant increases in past-due loan ratios generally lag falling prices by at least two harvests because farm banks typically “carry over” unpaid loans from poor years into subsequent years,\(^2\) which masks the reported loan delinquency in down years. As such, the Region’s aggregate past-due ratios largely reflect farmers’ strong earnings in 1996 and 1997. However, continued low commodity prices forecast by the U.S. Department of Agriculture through 2000 signal much higher levels of problem loans in the near future.

![Graph: Total Past Due Loans Are Creeping Up Slowly; Reserves Remain Strong](image3)

![Graph: Both Operating and Real Estate Farm Loans Are Showing Increased Delinquency Levels](image4)

**Source:** Bank Call Reports

\(^1\) Farm banks are defined as FDIC-insured financial institutions whose agricultural operating loans and agricultural real estate loans make up at least 25 percent of their total loans. There were 1,340 farm banks in the Kansas City Region as of June 30, 1999.

\(^2\) For a detailed discussion regarding how carryover debt masks farm loan delinquency levels, refer to “Agricultural Sector under Stress: The 1980s and Today” in the Kansas City Regional Outlook, third quarter 1999.
again is an active originator of farm loans in competition with the Region’s farm banks, placing downward pressure on loan pricing strategies. For more information on the resurgence of the Farm Credit System and implications for the Region’s farm banks, refer to “Commercial Banks Faced with Formidable Competition from Farm Credit System Institutions” in the Kansas City Regional Outlook, first quarter 1998.

On the funding side, increases in household investment in mutual funds and other nonbank investments, as well as greater rate competition from Internet banking alternatives, are reducing community banks’ ability to attract and retain deposits. For a detailed discussion on community bank funding trends, refer to “Shifting Funding Trends Pose Challenges for Community Banks” in the FDIC’s Regional Outlook, third quarter 1999. In addition, credit unions, despite a relatively small market share in the Region, have successfully added depositors while FDIC-insured institutions have struggled in this area. Refer to “Credit Unions Have Advantages over Community Banks, but Currently Have a Small Market Share in the Region” in the Kansas City Regional Outlook, fourth quarter 1998. These developments suggest increased upward pressure on community banks’ cost of funds.

One would expect increased competitive pressures to have had an adverse effect on community banks’ net interest margins (NIMs). However, community banks’ NIMs showed no compression until the past 12 months, when the aggregate NIM declined by 14 basis points. The stability of NIMs is attributed largely to community banks shifting assets from lower-yielding securities to higher-yielding assets during this economic expansion. For example, the Region’s community banks’ aggregate loans-to-assets ratio increased from 52.8 percent at June 30, 1992, to 63.5 percent at June 30, 1998, while the aggregate securities-to-assets ratio declined from 36.0 percent to 26.2 percent during that same time. This shift increased interest income and effectively masked the impact of increased competition on aggregate NIMs. Results as of June 30, 1999, compared with a year earlier, show the first indication that this trend may be reversing; the aggregate loans-to-assets ratio declined by 33 basis points and the securities-to-assets ratio increased by 103 basis points.

Rural Community Banks Face Less Large Bank Competition than Their Metropolitan Counterparts

In addition to increased competition from nonbank entities, some community banks, particularly those in metropolitan areas, face considerable competition from other banks. Community banks headquartered in rural counties generally face less direct banking competition than those headquartered in metropolitan counties. Because of smaller population bases, rural counties typically headquarter a small number of institutions, which tend to be community banks. By contrast, metropolitan areas typically headquarter a large number of institutions, many of which are bigger than community banks.

However, the number of headquarters locations does not tell the whole story of competition, because many banks establish branches outside their home counties. The only branch-specific data available are deposit balances by branch that banks report at midyear. As of June 30, 1998 (midyear 1999 data are not yet available), 8,021 bank branches were located in the Region. Community banks operated 4,790 branches, or 60 percent of all branches. Large banks—those with more than $10 billion in assets—operated 1,005 branches (termed large bank branches, or LLBs, in this article), or 13 percent of all branches. Institutions with assets between $250 million and $10 billion operated the remaining 2,226 branches.

It is important to understand where large banks compete because such institutions, through enhanced market power, can offer a greater array of product offerings and have pricing advantages driven by economies of scale that make them formidable competitors for smaller banks. As shown in Table 2 (next page), LLBs tend to be located in heavily populated areas. For example, LLBs make up 20.9 percent of all bank branches in the Region’s metropolitan counties, but only 6.5 percent of branches in rural counties. Furthermore, 80.6 percent of metropolitan counties have at least one LBB, while most rural counties have none. In the most rural counties,1 11 LBBs comprise just 1.1 percent of branches, and 95.9 percent of such counties have no LBBs.

1 Refers to rural counties that are not adjacent to metropolitan counties and have an urban population of less than 2,500. Such counties make up 217 of the Region’s 618 counties, and they headquartered 412 community banks as of June 30, 1999.
These statistics illustrate that many of the Region’s rural community banks have less direct competition from large institutions than from metropolitan community banks. This phenomenon is largely a result of four factors: rural areas’ smaller population bases, which may not be as desirable to large banks as more populous metropolitan areas; the vast geography of the Region, which could make large rural branch structures unworkable; past restrictions on interstate banking and branching, which may have prevented large banks from entering rural markets; and rural banks’ strong personal relationships with their clientele, which large banks may perceive as difficult to penetrate.

The small number of LBBs in rural counties benefits rural community banks in important ways. Foremost, less competition for loans and deposits helps permit more discretion for setting loan and deposit interest rates, benefiting rural community banks’ NIMs. Despite the lower level of competition, rural community banks tend to have lower NIMs than their metropolitan counterparts because of their different loan compositions and greater dependence on high-cost large time deposits for funding. For more information on the operating differences between rural and metropolitan community banks, refer to “Rural and Metropolitan Banking Are Different” in the Kansas City Regional Outlook, second quarter 1998.

In addition, rural community banks generate noninterest income (fees, product sales, etc.) at a much lower rate than their metropolitan counterparts do. As of June 30, 1999, community banks headquartered in metropolitan counties reported a median noninterest-income-to-average-assets ratio of 0.65 percent, compared with a median ratio for rural community banks of 0.49 percent. However, many metropolitan community banks generate much higher levels of noninterest income than the median indicates. Even excluding four metropolitan community banks with extremely high ratios, the aggregate noninterest-income-to-average-assets ratio was 1.16 percent, compared with 0.67 percent for rural community banks. Lower levels of competition may offer the potential to grow noninterest income levels in the future.

Existing Unitary Thrifts and Pending Legislation Could Change the Competitive Landscape

Although competition is increasing throughout the Region, large nonbanking firms entering the banking business could intensify that level of competition. A number of nonbanking firms with significant operations in the Region have received charters to create or purchase unitary thrifts. In addition, Congress is on the verge of passing financial modernization legislation that would pave the way for affiliations among commercial banks, insurance companies, and brokerage firms. The presence of existing unitary thrifts and the
Potential for entry of newly formed financial services conglomerates have implications for the Region’s community banks, particularly in rural areas.

Existing Unitary Thrifts

A unitary thrift is a structure whereby a parent company owns a single savings association. To qualify as a thrift, and therefore not a bank, the subsidiary must pass a Qualified Thrift Lender (QTL) test, which requires the thrift to invest at least 65 percent of its portfolio assets in qualified investments, generally consisting of residential and consumer loans. Unitary thrift holding companies whose subsidiaries pass the QTL test are exempt from unrelated business activity restrictions that apply to bank holding companies and multiple-thrift holding companies. This nonbank bank exemption allows commercial companies not engaged in thrift-related activities to own a single savings association with interstate branching privileges. In the past there have been no restrictions on the ownership of unitary thrifts, and, as a result, the unitary thrift charter has been an attractive means for commercial companies to enter the financial services arena.

Many nonbanking companies have applied to purchase or establish new thrift institutions in recent years. Since January 1, 1997, 81 nonbanking companies have filed applications with the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) to establish a new thrift charter or purchase an existing thrift. As of August 31, 1999, the OTS had approved 26 of those applications. Companies whose applications for a new thrift charter have been approved include State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, A.G. Edwards, Inc., Allstate Insurance Company, Shelter Mutual Insurance Company, and Merrill Lynch and Company. Companies awaiting approval from the OTS for a charter include American Express Company, Ford Motor Company, General Motors Acceptance Corporation, and Walton Enterprises, LP (Wal-Mart).

Existing unitary thrifts could compete with community banks on both sides of the balance sheet, vying directly for residential and consumer loans and, to a lesser extent, because of statutory restrictions, for business loans. On the liability side of the balance sheet, unitary thrifts would compete for various types of deposit accounts.

Proposed Financial Modernization Legislation

The number of unitary thrift charters has been on the rise over the past several years. However, as this article is being prepared for publication, Congress is finalizing negotiations on legislation that would close the exemption in federal law that allows commercial companies to own or acquire unitary thrifts. Existing unitary thrifts and companies that applied for new charters before May 4, 1999, would be grandfathered under the proposed legislation. The financial reform bill would eliminate Depression-era restrictions on affiliations among banks, insurance companies, and securities firms, potentially creating new financial services conglomerates. The legislation also would expand bank access to Federal Home Loan Bank System funds for insured depository institutions with assets less than $500 million, allow securities underwriting in direct bank subsidiaries, and provide some measure of regulatory relief from Community Reinvestment Act requirements. At this time, it appears likely that some form of financial modernization legislation will be enacted soon.

Implications for Community Banks

The trend toward larger and more diversified financial companies is likely to continue, with pending financial modernization legislation adding momentum. The implications for community banks could be profound, as these large companies may enjoy a number of operating advantages, including

- large customer bases to which they can sell banking products;
- the ability to cross-sell financial products and services;
- significantly lower operating costs than traditional banks. With no cost to build brick-and-mortar bank branches, diversified financial services companies could enjoy significant economies of scale in offering banking products at competitive prices;
- national brand-name recognition developed over decades. Through national and local advertising, these companies may be better positioned to reach existing and new customers; and
- professional salespersons to market insurance or securities products. Community bank employees typically do not receive the same level of formal sales training.

For purposes of this article, the term “savings association” is synonymous with thrift, savings and loan association, federal savings bank, insured building and loan association, or insured state savings and loan or homestead association.
First, competition from new banking entrants is particularly important in the Kansas City Region because these companies could introduce significant large bank competition in areas where little currently exists. Nonbanking companies entering the banking business through existing unitary thrifts or the formation of financial services conglomerates as a result of the enactment of financial services reform could eliminate barriers that have kept large banks from establishing large rural branch networks. For example, unlike most large banking institutions, a number of insurance and securities firms already have successfully established relationships in rural communities through sales of insurance and securities products.

If nonbanking institutions successfully build banking operations to include a significant rural presence in the Region, rural community banks may face increased competition on both sides of their balance sheets. This competition could potentially affect NIMs and funding strategies. Increased competition for loans, in addition to pressure from the Farm Credit System, implement dealers, and others, could result in community banks accepting lower loan yields, negatively affecting NIMs. Increased competition for deposits from large thrifts could add to competition from mutual funds and credit unions and potentially cause community banks to raise interest rates paid on deposits, also affecting NIMs. Institutions that are unwilling or unable to compete with large entities on deposit pricing alone could face significant funding pressures.

Second, increased competition could result in rural community banks increasing their level of credit risk. In the face of increased competition, rural community banks may decide to accept higher levels of credit risk to maintain loan yields. While this strategy could help maintain NIMs, it could also result in loan quality problems. Large nonbanking entities that have centralized operations supported by advanced credit-scoring tools may be able to target loan products to highly creditworthy borrowers and underprice community banks for such customers. Ultimately, this practice may shrink community banks’ pools of high-quality loan customers.

Third, increased competition from new banking entrants could reduce opportunities for rural community banks to generate noninterest income. Rural community banks, which lag their metropolitan counterparts in noninterest income generation, may find it difficult to compete with large financial services conglomerates in this area.

Fourth, increased competition could diminish loan portfolio diversification among the Region’s community banks. Most rural community banks’ loan portfolios are heavily concentrated in farm production or farm real estate loans, with portfolio diversification coming primarily from residential and consumer loans. By their nature, thrifts specialize in making residential and consumer loans and would likely pressure community banks most for this line of business. If existing unitary thrifts become strong competitors for such loans, community banks may replace these loans with additional farm or commercial loans or investment securities, thereby reducing the level of loan portfolio diversification.

It is not certain that existing unitary thrifts or large financial services conglomerates will compete successfully in the Region’s rural communities. However, the potential exists for new industry entrants to increase the level of competition for the Region’s rural community banks. Already faced with stiff challenges from the Farm Credit System, mutual funds, and credit unions, the Region’s community bankers may soon face significantly increased levels of competition from new banking entrants as well.
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