Regional Outlook

In Focus This Quarter

◆ *High Loan-to-Value Lending: A New Frontier in Home Equity Lending*—High loan-to-value home equity loans have grown in popularity as consumers have sought ways to consolidate credit card debt and lenders have sought ways to deal with declining profit margins on traditional home equity loans. High loan-to-value loans pose unique risks for lenders because of their hybrid nature: they combine characteristics of both a secured home equity loan and an unsecured consumer loan. Losses on such loans are increasing rapidly, and the current rate of loss raises concern about how these loans might perform in an economic recession. See page 4.  

By Diane Ellis

◆ *Commercial Development Still Hot in Many Major Markets, but Slower Growth May Be Ahead*—Following the experience of the 1980s, the threat of an oversupply of commercial real estate is watched with keen interest by market participants and observers alike. This article highlights nine metropolitan areas that may be vulnerable to overbuilding based on the rapid pace of development occurring within those markets, various indicators of current and prospective demand, and projections by credible industry analysts. These concerns could be mitigated to the extent that reduced credit availability within the capital markets leads to a slowing in construction activity. See page 11.  

By Steven Burton

◆ *Recent Trends in Syndicated Lending*—A strong U.S. economy, intensifying lender competition, and increasing marketability of bank loans have driven record volumes of syndicated lending in the 1990s. These factors led to several years of liberalized underwriting in the syndicated market. While evidence suggests that some banks have tightened standards and terms for loans to large commercial borrowers, market developments and underwriting trends over the past several years have implications for credit quality, earnings, and liquidity at institutions that hold or originate syndicated loans. See page 19.  

By Steven E. Cunningham, Ronald L. Spieker

Regional Perspectives

◆ *Region’s Economic and Banking Conditions*—The Region’s economy showed evidence of slowing growth in the second half of 1998. The Region’s farm banks so far show no negative effects of poor commodity prices. See page 25.  

◆ *Sustained Low Prices Could Stress Many Farmers*—Abundant domestic and world supplies and weakness in export demand will likely depress prices for each of the major field crops grown in the Kansas City Region. Prices for hogs are expected to remain low with only cattle likely to show improved price performance. See page 29.

◆ *Can the Rapid Growth of the Retail Sector in Kansas City Be Sustained?*—A rapid buildup of commercial real estate and a slowing absorption rate may lead to higher vacancy rates in the retail sector. Other structural changes in retailing may also increase risks for lenders. See page 30.  

By John M. Anderlik, Troy D. Osborne, Christopher J. Sesler, Jeffrey W. Walser
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From the Editor

The National Edition of the Regional Outlook is now available

To the Reader:

I am pleased to announce the first publication of the Regional Outlook National Edition. Since its inception, the Regional Outlook has been published quarterly in eight separate volumes that were tailored specifically for each FDIC Region. This format was ideal for the reader who wanted detailed analysis of a specific Region.

Beginning this quarter, the FDIC will publish in a single volume the highlights of our Regional analysis of trends affecting FDIC-insured institutions across the United States. The eight unabridged Regional editions will continue to be published for readers who want more detailed information about trends in their Regions. All editions will continue to offer the In Focus series of articles on trends affecting the risk exposures of FDIC-insured institutions.

For example, this quarter the In Focus series highlights important trends affecting commercial lenders and consumer lenders. The Regional Perspectives articles explore in more detail how these and other trends may affect FDIC-insured institutions around the United States.

Both the Regional and National editions are available by subscription or on the FDIC’s website at www.fdic.gov. As always, we welcome your comments on the content or format of this publication. Please refer to the back cover and inside the front cover for information about how to subscribe or comment.

Sincerely,

George French
Executive Editor
High Loan-to-Value Lending: A New Frontier in Home Equity Lending

- High loan-to-value (HLTV) loans are typically junior liens on owner-occupied single-family residences, but there is limited collateral protection because the combined loan amounts often exceed the value of the home.

- Nonbank, specialty lenders have dominated this line of business, and their growth has been fueled by strong demand for asset-backed securities collateralized by HLTV loans.

- Insured depository institution involvement in HLTV lending has been increasing, and opportunities for further involvement opened up when market turmoil resulted in a contraction of HLTV specialists.

- HLTV lending involves unique risks because it combines characteristics of both secured home equity lending and unsecured consumer lending.

Just a few years ago, it would have been difficult to imagine a mainstream lender writing a home equity loan in excess of the equity that the consumer had in his or her home. However, intense competition and declining profit margins in traditional home equity lending have lenders looking to boost volume and profits by relaxing underwriting standards. At the same time, consumers are signaling their desire to transfer their credit card balances to lower-costing home equity loans. These trends have given lenders, including insured depository institutions, the impetus to enter the HLTV home equity market. Furthermore, new opportunities have opened up for insured depository institutions to get involved in HLTV lending as a result of turmoil in the equity and asset-backed securities market, which resulted in a severe liquidity crisis that effectively sidelined many HLTV specialists.

It could be said that the home equity industry owes its resurgence to the boom in credit card lending that preceded it, because today’s home equity borrowers primarily use these loans to consolidate their outstanding debt. A survey by the Consumer Bankers Association found that, whereas in 1991 home improvement was the primary reason for taking out a home equity loan, debt consolidation is now the primary reason, with 40 percent of borrowers using a home equity loan for this purpose in 1997. This shift also is evidenced by another recent survey by Britain Associates, Inc., which estimated that during a 24-month period ending June 1998, 4.2 million households converted $26 billion in credit card debt to home equity mortgage debt. Given the high levels of credit card debt on households’ balance sheets, it should be no surprise that consumers with other borrowing options are looking for ways to consolidate their debt and reduce their borrowing costs.

Chart 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Credit Card</th>
<th>Home Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 mos. 98</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Inside MBS & ABS

HLTV Lending Taps Consumers’ Desire to Shift Credit Card Debt into Home Equity Loans

For the better part of the 1990s, credit card lending was dubbed the Wild West of consumer credit. This title was earned, in part, by lenders’ aggressive marketing and solicitation of their cards and consumers’ willing-
**HLTV Loans Are Hybrid Loans**

HLTV loans are considered hybrid loans and can be thought of as a marriage between secured lending and unsecured credit card loans. HLTV loans are typically junior liens on owner-occupied single-family residences where the combined loan amounts exceed the value of the home—sometimes by as much as 125 to 150 percent. Some lenders also make HLTV first mortgages, which enable consumers to finance their down payment and closing costs and consolidate other debts.

In return for pledging their home as collateral, borrowers are charged lower rates of interest than on unsecured consumer loans. Even at 125 to 150 percent loan-to-value, the rates on HLTV loans generally are lower than credit card loans. In 1997, the average rate on an HLTV was 13 to 14 percent, whereas the average rate on a credit card loan was 16 percent. Because HLTV loans carry lower interest rates and are long-term loans (15 to 30 years), the monthly payment on one is often considerably less than the total monthly payments on the loans that were paid off in the consolidation.

HLTV loans also appeal to consumers because they can benefit from the tax deductibility on a portion of their interest payments. Current IRS rules allow interest to be deducted on that portion of the loan that is equal to or less than the value of the home at the time the loan is closed.

The primary disadvantage of converting unsecured credit card and other consumer debt to an HLTV loan is that in the event of default, the borrower could lose his or her home. However, many consumers burdened by the high cost of unsecured consumer debt apparently have viewed the chance to lower their monthly payments as worth the risk. HLTV loans have been particularly popular in California, where property value declines in the early 1990s left homeowners with little or no equity in their homes. The inset box shows the typical characteristics of an HLTV loan and an HLTV borrower.

**Underwriting of HLTV Loans Emphasizes the Borrower’s Credit Quality**

Because of their limited or nonexistent collateral protection, HLTV loans typically are considered unsecured loans and the emphasis in underwriting is on the borrower’s credit quality rather than on collateral value. Large HLTV lenders use credit scoring to underwrite their loans, and a major component of their scoring is a credit bureau or Fair, Isaac Company (FICO) score. Other important factors are the borrower’s debt-to-income ratio, mortgage credit history, consumer credit history, bankruptcies, foreclosures, notice of defaults, deeds in lieu of foreclosure, and repossessions.

HLTV loans are not necessarily subprime loans; the term “subprime” refers to the credit quality of the borrower rather than the margin of collateral protection. Instead, many lenders assert that HLTV loans are made to “prime” borrowers and can point to the fact that FICO scores on HLTV loans have been rising, averaging approximately 689 in 1998. Scores above 680 are generally associated with “A” credit quality; however, the average ignores the fact that major HLTV lenders allow FICO scores to go much lower, typically as low as 620.¹


---

**Typical HLTV Borrower Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Income</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Tenure</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Late thirties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FICO Score</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding Nonmortgage Debt</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Mortgage Amount</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Value</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Typical HLTV Loan Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Interest Rate</td>
<td>13 to 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan to Value</td>
<td>110%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: HLTV = high loan-to-value; FICO = Fair, Isaac Company
Source: General Accounting Office; based on interviews with public and private officials
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TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualifying Parameters</th>
<th>A+</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FICO Score</td>
<td>700+</td>
<td>680-699</td>
<td>660-679</td>
<td>640-659</td>
<td>629-639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage History (Past 12 Months)</td>
<td>1x30*</td>
<td>1x30</td>
<td>1x30</td>
<td>1x30</td>
<td>1x30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankruptcy (Years since Discharge)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Debt to Income (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Loan to Value</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Cashout</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Loan Amount</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number of times delinquent multiplied by days delinquent.
Note: HLTV = High loan-to-value; FICO = Fair, Isaac Company.
Source: The 10K filing of a major HLTV lender.

The agencies that rate asset-backed securities collateralized by HLTV loans offer another perspective on the credit quality of HLTV borrowers. Moody’s has described HLTV borrowers as in the “A–” to “B” grades, and Standard & Poor’s has characterized the loans as “A–” to “B+” in terms of credit quality. Any grade below A can be considered subprime. Indeed, according to Mortgage Information Corp., the bulk of subprime mortgage activity occurs in the A– category. However, some analysts have preferred to characterize HLTV borrowers as squarely in between the subprime and prime designations.

Whatever the label given to the quality of borrowers, they typically have a large amount of high-cost revolving debt, and converting this debt into a second lien on their home is an attractive alternative. They also might have some degree of poor credit performance. Table 1 shows the underwriting criteria used by one of the largest HLTV lenders. Because underwriting classification systems are not uniformly applied among HLTV lenders, this table should be viewed only as a guide.

Fueled by Easy Access to Capital, HLTV Loans Have Grown Rapidly

On the basis of the volume of loans securitized, the HLTV loan market has expanded rapidly over the past several years (see Chart 2). Originations have grown from $1 billion in 1995 to $8 billion in 1997 and are expected to be around $12 billion in 1998.

Nonbank, specialty finance companies presently dominate the HLTV market, and their easy access to capital has been an important factor behind their growth. These specialists depend on their ability to securitize the loans and sell them in the asset-backed securities market. Strong investor demand for all kinds of asset-backed securities has allowed HLTV lenders to raise a substantial amount of funding without a strong degree of corporate credit quality. However, their reliance on the asset-backed securities market to fund operations exposes them to liquidity risk if demand for these securities declines.

A healthy initial public offering market also has fueled the growth of these specialty lenders, and gain-on-sale accounting has allowed lenders to establish an earnings track record and attract debt and equity investors. Gain-on-sale accounting requires securitizers to calculate and record a gain on sale from securitizations; however, the use of gain-on-sale accounting exposes lenders to prepayment risk. If the securitized loans prepay at a faster
In addition to the easy access to capital, favorable economic conditions also have encouraged HLTV lending. The long economic expansion has brought about the return of home price appreciation to nearly all parts of the country, which has encouraged HLTV lending because rising home values serve to reduce lender and investor exposure fairly quickly. The median sales price of existing single-family residences has increased an average of 4.39 percent per year since 1995, according to the National Association of Realtors.

Market Turmoil Hit HLTV Specialty Lenders Hard

The market volatility that began last summer illustrated the importance of liquidity risk and prepayment risk. HLTV specialists were faced with a liquidity crunch when they were hit hard in the equity downturn, in many cases significantly harder than the general market. Investors retreated from HLTV lenders and their asset-backed securities, in part as a result of a “flight to quality” as the Asian crisis spilled over into other global markets. A core of investors participating in the HLTV market also exited the market when prepayments occurred at faster rates than anticipated, largely a result of lower market interest rates, and forced lenders to take write-downs of interest-only residuals. Another factor in the investor retreat from this market was the growing skepticism concerning the performance of HLTV loans during an economic downturn.

When investor demand for asset-backed securities dried up, HLTV lenders were unable to securitize their loans profitably. This situation created a severe liquidity crisis for specialty lenders who relied heavily upon this source of funding. As a result, some lenders were forced to put themselves up for sale, and others were forced to undergo massive restructuring.

In Focus This Quarter

rate than the assumption used to calculate the gain, the company could be forced to take a write-down, which can affect earnings, liquidity, and capitalization. Institutions that invest in securities collateralized by HLTV loans also are exposed to prepayment risk. (For more information on gain-on-sale accounting, see “Gain-on-Sale Accounting Can Result in Unstable Capital Ratios and Volatile Earnings” in Regional Outlook, second quarter 1998.)

In addition to the easy access to capital, favorable economic conditions also have encouraged HLTV lending. The long economic expansion has brought about the return of home price appreciation to nearly all parts of the country, which has encouraged HLTV lending because rising home values serve to reduce lender and investor exposure fairly quickly. The median sales price of existing single-family residences has increased an average of 4.39 percent per year since 1995, according to the National Association of Realtors.

HLTV Lending Presents Unique Risks to Home Equity Lenders

In addition to the risks associated with the securitization of HLTV loans (liquidity and prepayment risk), HLTV lending poses some unique risks for lenders who hold these loans in their portfolio, service them, or guaranty the performance of asset-backed securities. Because HLTV loans are a relatively new product, their credit risk is untested and could be affected by the following factors:

- **Increased rate of default.** Data on the performance of HLTV loans are limited; however, the loss potential is starting to become visible when vintage analysis is performed. Chart 3, next page, shows that when recent vintages are adjusted for seasoning, charge-off rates on HLTV loans are increasing at a rapid rate. Both the severity and frequency of default are much higher than for traditional A-quality home equity loans and are even higher than subprime home equity loans. The fact that charge-offs are higher than subprime loans suggests that the credit quality of HLTV borrowers is not too different from subprime borrowers and that when default occurs, the loss is more severe because of the lack of collateral protection. Lenders who do not accurately forecast the magnitude and costs of default associated with HLTV loans, or who make underwriting mistakes, might find that this line of business is not as profitable as anticipated.

Lenders that rapidly increase HLTV exposures might consider the use of vintage analysis, also called “static pool” analysis, as a means of evaluating loan portfolio performance. This technique is effective when there is rapid growth, which can make it more difficult to accurately track delinquency and default trends when only an average delinquency or default ratio is calculated. Refer to “Subprime Lending: A Time for Caution” in Regional Outlook, third quarter 1997, for additional discussion on vintage analysis.

- **Untested performance in a recession.** HLTV lending has existed for only a few years and has yet to be tested by economic recession. The rapid rise in charge-offs on HLTV loans has occurred in a relatively robust economic environment, and the losses during an economic downturn could be considerably
higher than anticipated. Moody’s has asserted that losses will mimic those of credit cards, and losses on credit card loans are usually higher than other consumer mortgage-related products.

HLTV lenders’ heavy reliance on credit-scoring models raises further questions about how these loans might perform in a recession because these models are largely unproven in a recession as well. To improve the accuracy of credit-scoring models and the model’s ability to appropriately price the risk assumed, lenders can continually test and refine credit-scoring models to ensure that actual performance approximates projections.

Changes in the borrower’s financial condition present a greater risk to HLTV lenders than in other types of secured lending, which introduces additional credit risks. In the event of default, the lender is likely to suffer a complete loss because foreclosure is probably infeasible and the size of HLTV loans is much larger than other types of unsecured or partially secured loans. Therefore, adverse changes in the borrower’s financial condition are very important and can be affected by the following factors:

- **Debt reloading.** The primary reason consumers take out an HLTV loan is to consolidate credit card and other high-cost consumer debt. However, lenders cannot prevent HLTV borrowers from running up additional credit card debt after the loan is made. Consequently, these loans might serve to only postpone or amplify credit problems. A recent survey by Brittain Associates, Inc., indicates that a large percentage of borrowers who take out home equity loans proceed to run up credit card debt shortly thereafter. Their survey of over 6,000 borrowers who used home equity loans to consolidate their debts revealed that only 30 percent of those borrowers remained free of credit card debt one year later.

- **Long-term exposure.** Consumer loans typically have been made on a short-term basis; however, HLTV loans are made for terms up to 30 years. Therefore, the credit quality on an HLTV loan is more vulnerable to catastrophic events such as borrower job loss, illness, or divorce. Furthermore, the term of these loans far exceeds the predictive power of FICO scores, which have proven to be predictive for about a two-year period according to Moody’s.

Because of their fixed terms and limited collateral protection, there are some unique operational risks associated with servicing and collecting an HLTV loan. Servicing and collecting an HLTV loan differs somewhat from servicing and collecting both secured lending and credit card lending because of the following factors:

- **Limited default remedies.** The servicing and collecting of HLTV loans are complicated by the fact that the threat of foreclosure is not as severe as in traditional secured lending. According to Moody’s, HLTV lenders must adopt a collection strategy similar to credit card lenders that will require early intervention and the ability to “talk” the borrower into
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making a payment without resorting to foreclosure. However, unlike credit card lenders, HLTV lenders have less flexibility in collection because lines cannot be adjusted and interest rates cannot be raised. The different demands for servicing and collecting these loans, compared with traditional and subprime home equity loans, could strain institutions that do not have an adequate investment or expertise in collecting these loans.

According to Moody's, HLTV lenders generally write off their loans as a loss once they become 180 days delinquent. In contrast, subprime lenders go through a lengthy foreclosure procedure. The speedier resolution of HLTV loans is reflected in a lower level of delinquencies in HLTV portfolios compared with subprime portfolios (see Chart 4, previous page).

• Limited borrower flexibility and motivation. After a borrower has taken out an HLTV loan, opportunities to refinance are limited, and selling the home often is not feasible because of the large amount of cash needed at closing. As a result, counseling borrowers might prove to be harder than in credit card lending. Also, with negative equity in their homes, borrowers might have less incentive and ability to work with the lender to bring the loan current than to allow foreclosure.

Insured Depository Institution Involvement in HLTV Lending Is Increasing

Insured depository institution involvement in HLTV lending reportedly has been growing. Their precise involvement is difficult to quantify because these loans are not delineated in bank or thrift Call Reports. However, one indication of their growing involvement is cited in the Consumer Bankers Association 1998 home equity loan study. Twenty-five percent of respondents to its survey offered home equity loans with loan-to-values in excess of 100 percent, up from only 5.8 percent one year earlier.

Banks and thrifts can become involved in HLTV lending by using a variety of strategies. They can lend directly to HLTV borrowers or purchase HLTV loans from loan brokers and hold them in portfolio. Institutions also can originate the loans and securitize them or sell them to another company that will securitize them. A more indirect way for insured depository institutions to get involved in this market is to lend to HLTV specialty lenders in the form of warehouse lines. Institutions also can service HLTV loans or invest in asset-backed securities secured by HLTV loans.

In light of the contraction of HLTV specialists, the question arises as to whether banks will view this as an opportunity to further expand their presence in HLTV lending, given that consumer demand for these products is still strong. Recent press reports indicate that this is happening, as some insured depository institutions recently have piloted HLTV lending programs by buying loans and keeping them in portfolio. Another way that banks have recently become involved in HLTV lending is by investing in HLTV specialists. Many HLTV specialists have been looking for opportunities to affiliate with firms that have plentiful and stable sources of liquidity (“deep pockets”), and insured depository institutions have been viewed as ideal candidates. Several of the largest HLTV specialists have an insured depository institution as an affiliate.

Insured Depository Institutions Are Subject to Real Estate Lending Standards

Unlike many of the specialty finance companies, insured depository institutions are subject to regulations prescribed by the federal supervisory agencies. In 1992, the federal banking and thrift supervisory agencies finalized a uniform regulation and interagency guidelines for real estate. The regulation, in part, requires institutions to adopt and maintain written policies that establish appropriate limits and standards for all real estate loans, including HLTV loans. When a bank adopts a policy, the regulation requires consideration of the Interagency Guidelines for Real Estate Lending Policies. These guidelines state that institutions should establish their own internal loan-to-value limits for real estate loans; however, they also indicate that the internal limits should not exceed 90 percent on a home equity loan. The guidelines recognize that it might be appro-


4 The guidelines state, “A loan-to-value limit has not been established for permanent mortgage or home equity loans on owner-occupied, 1- to 4-family residential property. However, for any such loan with a loan-to-value ratio that equals or exceeds 90 percent at origination, an institution should require appropriate credit enhancement in the form of either mortgage insurance or readily marketable collateral.”
appropriate to deviate from these guidelines and state that loans made in exception to these guidelines should be identified in the institution’s records and reported to the board of directors at least quarterly. Furthermore, the guidelines state that the aggregate amount of all loans in excess of the supervisory loan-to-value limits should not exceed 100 percent of total capital.

**Competition from HLTV Loans Is Driving a Loosening in Underwriting on Other Home Equity Loans**

Even for insured depository institutions not directly involved in the HLTV market, the competition posed by this product already is evident in the underwriting of other types of home equity products. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency reported in its latest survey of the 77 largest national banks in the country that underwriting on home equity loans has been loosening for three years, a time period that corresponds with the life of the HLTV market. They reported that in 1998, the percentage of banks tightening standards on credit card loans is nearly matched by the percentage of banks loosening their underwriting standards on home equity loans. Competition was cited as the primary reason for loosening home equity standards, and an easing of collateral requirements was the primary method.

**Conclusion**

HLTV lending has provided a new option for consumers to work their way out from under burdensome credit card debt. It also has provided lenders with a new and potentially profitable line of business. Insured depository institution involvement in this line of business is growing and could continue to grow, especially if liquidity problems that have affected HLTV specialists continue. As with any line of business, success is dependent upon understanding the particular nature of the HLTV business and making the appropriate commitment of resources and expertise. With HLTV lending, there are unique risks involved because of the compound nature of these loans, which contain characteristics of both a secured home equity loan and an unsecured consumer loan. The risks involved in HLTV lending are further heightened by the fact that the performance of these loans is largely untested in an adverse economic environment.

_Diane Ellis, Senior Financial Analyst_
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Commercial Development Still Hot in Many Major Markets, but Slower Growth May Be Ahead¹

- Oversupply within commercial real estate markets typically arises from the difficulty developers face in accurately predicting future demand for a given project, particularly when projections are based on temporary or unsustainable increases in demand. Easy access to investment capital in the form of lower borrowing rates or relaxed underwriting standards can exacerbate the overproduction of space.

- This analysis identifies nine major metropolitan markets believed to be vulnerable to broad-based overbuilding.¹ This vulnerability stems from rapid ongoing development across multiple property types, which threatens to outpace absorption or demand levels over the next one to two years. Overbuilding concerns are heightened by cyclical and secular demographic and economic trends that portend lower demand for commercial space.

- Trends in the capital markets may have tempered the appetite for further development in some rapidly expanding metro areas. Should such trends continue, construction activity could moderate, thereby mitigating some of the overbuilding concerns expressed in this article.

Since the boom development years of the 1980s, and the bust that followed, the financial community has devoted considerable resources to analyzing commercial real estate trends. The primary purpose of these efforts is to detect, as early as possible, warning signs of potential imbalances between supply and demand. The markets highlighted in this article are considered vulnerable to possible overbuilding on the basis of various early warning signs. Each of these markets is experiencing rapid commercial real estate development across multiple property types. In addition, each market exhibits one or more of the following characteristics: high vacancy rates relative to the pace of development, declining employment growth trends, declining in-migration trends, projected increases in vacancy rates by credible industry experts, and significant dependence on industry sectors vulnerable to either weak Asian markets or a slowing domestic economy.

The term “vulnerable” is used here to signify a potential, as opposed to a certain, outcome. In previous cyclical downturns, falling commercial real estate values were preceded by economic events that resulted in lower demand: Declining energy prices preceded the mid-1980s decline in Southwestern real estate markets; weaknesses in the financial sector preceded the late 1980s decline in Northwestern real estate markets; and sharp defense cutbacks preceded the early 1990s decline in Southern California real estate markets. It remains to be seen whether weakening Asian markets or prospects for slower economic growth serve as catalysts for slower commercial real estate demand in the current cycle. Whatever the catalyst, markets most affected by a downturn in real estate values will be those in which optimistic expectations, the basis for current construction activity, fall farthest from the mark.

Why Do Markets Become Overbuilt?

Commercial property developers often face substantial lags between a project’s conception and its completion: The longer the construction period, the greater the uncertainty surrounding demand projections. These risks can be largely mitigated if the developer enters into presale contracts or preleasing agreements with financially sound parties prior to breaking ground on construction. However, it is not unusual in rapidly developing and highly competitive markets for developers to anticipate or “speculate” what demand levels will be, based on current trends. If the market in question is experiencing a period of temporary or unsustainable growth (a “boom” period, for example), then projections may lead to an overly optimistic outlook for future demand, particularly when forecasts are weighted heavily toward recent rental, sales, and demographic trends. Projection error also arises from

¹ In fall 1998, the FDIC’s Division of Insurance published a report ranking the risk of overbuilding within major metropolitan markets (see “Ranking the Risk of Overbuilding in Commercial Real Estate Markets,” Bank Trends, October 1998). This paper, which was based mainly on market information as of year-end 1997, highlighted six major metropolitan areas where the rapid pace of current construction activities raised concerns over the potential for broad-based overbuilding.

² “Broad-based overbuilding” signifies potential overbuilding in two or more of five property types: office, industrial, retail, apartment, and hotel.
the failure to consider competitors’ planned development activities.

If a developer’s demand projections fail to materialize, the result is an overhang of commercial property beyond what the market can absorb during a reasonable time frame. Easy access to investment capital can exacerbate overproduction of space by reducing or eliminating incentives to make reasoned and prudent investment decisions. Excessive leverage, where the developer has little personal capital at risk on a particular project, is a familiar example often associated with the excessive development of the 1980s. Loan pricing that fails to adequately account for the risks involved in a construction project is another example of how financing incentives could lead to imprudent development decisions.

Ranking the Risks of Overbuilding

The October 1998 Bank Trends (see footnote 1) study employed a three-step process to rank the vulnerability of markets to possible overbuilding. First, major metropolitan markets were ranked in terms of current construction activity relative to existing space for each of five property types: office, industrial, retail, apartment, and hotel. Second, relative construction activity was compared with current vacancy rates to assess the competitive pressures faced by newly developed projects. Third, market-related research was reviewed to determine which markets analysts considered candidates for possible supply/demand imbalances. Although the same approach was used in this updated analysis, additional factors were considered, including employment and population growth trends, the dependence of rapidly developing metropolitan areas on specific employers or industries, and the relationship between current economic trends and the potential demand for commercial real estate space.

Most Active Construction Markets

Charts 1 through 5 show the level of construction activity, for each property type, relative to the total stock of space as of June 30, 1998, for the top 15 major metropolitan markets. Although slowing somewhat, development in the Las Vegas market continues to lead all other markets in relative terms for office, industrial, and hotel construction. Las Vegas is also among the most active markets in apartment and retail construction. Other markets experiencing rapid development across multiple property types include Salt Lake City, Charlotte, Atlanta, Portland, Phoenix, Orlando, Dallas, Austin, Nashville, Jacksonville, and Seattle. As the charts show, office, industrial, apartment, and hotel construction activity rose from year-end 1997 levels among a majority of the fastest-developing markets. Retail development, however, appears to have slowed in a majority of the most active development markets during the first half of 1998.

Comparing Construction Activity with Vacancy Rates

Newly completed speculative projects must compete with existing vacant space. Accordingly, it is worthwhile to compare measures of relative construction activity with vacancy rates.

Chart 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top 15 Office Construction Markets*</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashville</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Lauderdale</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes last four quarters' completions and projects under construction for: □ June 1998 □ December 1997

Source: CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton

Footnote:

Construction activity generally refers to recent completions plus projects in process of being built. In the case of office and industrial properties, the source of data is CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton Research, and construction activity refers to completions for the last four quarters plus projects under construction. For all other property types, the source is F.W. Dodge and ERE Yarmouth, and construction activity refers to completions, projects in process of being built, starts, and pending projects.
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Chart 2
Top 15 Industrial Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Includes last four quarters’ completions and projects under construction for:
- June 1998
- December 1997

Source: CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton

Chart 3
Top 15 Retail Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Includes completions, starts, projects being built, and pending projects as of:
- June 1998
- December 1997

Source: F. W. Dodge, Lend Lease Investment Research

Chart 4
Top 15 Apartment Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashville</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Includes completions, starts, projects being built, and pending projects as of:
- June 1998
- December 1997

Source: F. W. Dodge, Lend Lease Investment Research

Chart 5
Top 15 Hotel Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Includes completions, starts, projects being built, and pending projects as of:
- June 1998
- December 1997

Source: F. W. Dodge, Lend Lease Investment Research
activity with current vacancy rates (as shown in Charts 6 and 7 for office and industrial space). The main idea behind these charts is that market segments with high existing vacancy rates raise the degree of competitive pressure for newly built space; markets with high vacancies may have less justification for continuing increases in new stock.

In the office sector, Las Vegas stands out as having the highest level of new development combined with high existing vacancy rates. Although the pace of development is markedly slower, office markets in both Atlanta and Dallas appear to be expanding rapidly despite high existing vacancy rates. In the industrial sector, Las Vegas, Atlanta, Riverside, Salt Lake City, and Phoenix all appear to be experiencing rapid development despite relatively high existing vacancy rates.

**Analyst Outlooks for Commercial Real Estate**

**Advocate Caution**

The first six months of 1998 saw continuing strong market fundamentals in most major markets and most property types: CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton Research (CBC) reported continuing nationwide declines in office and industrial vacancy rates accompanied by increasing rental growth rates,† Wheat First Union (Wheat) reported improvements in occupancy and rental rates across the 30 major apartment markets it follows,† and Smith Travel Research (Smith) reported continuing improvements in average daily rates despite a modest decline in occupancy rates for the lodging sector (through the first nine months of 1998).‡ The performance of the retail sector has been more mixed, as indicated by a significant decline in estimated rental growth rates from 1996 to 1997 (CBC) while retail vacancy rates have held steady over the past 12 months (F.W. Dodge).§

Despite these generally positive trends, market observers are becoming more cautious about the outlook for commercial real estate markets. Much of their concern stems from significant increases in projected supply in the face of moderating absorption rates. CBC, for example, projects that nationwide office vacancy rates will rise from 9.3 percent as of June 1998 to 12.1 percent by June 2000 as a result of a sharp increase in completions combined with moderating absorption. Markets with the highest and most significant increases in projected office vacancy rates are highlighted in a recent Lehman Brothers study, which identifies 17 office markets as “danger zones.” ¶

Analysts have also raised concerns over rapid development in other property types. F.W. Dodge, for instance, anticipates a sharp rise in the ratio of retail completions

---

† CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton Research, The Office Outlook, The Industrial Outlook, and The Retail Outlook, Fall 1998.
¶ These markets are Salt Lake City, Columbus, Austin, Nashville, Charlotte, Orlando, Las Vegas, Baltimore, Atlanta, Dallas, Phoenix, Philadelphia, Indianapolis, Chicago, Sacramento, Miami, and Houston (Lehman Brothers, Commercial REIT Research: Eye on Office Markets, October 1998).
to absorptions over the coming two years. In addition, the pace of hotel development has picked up substantially over the past two years to levels not seen since the late 1980s (see Chart 8). According to Smith, hotel completions continue to outpace demand and are expected to result in lower occupancy levels in 1999. For the apartment sector, Wheat cautions against a continuing escalation in apartment permits despite some expected slowing in employment growth in various markets over the coming 12 months.⁹

Economic Conditions May Temper Commercial Real Estate Demand

The nation’s economy has shown unprecedented resiliency, even as some indicators suggest that growth may moderate in the near term. For instance, weakened global markets have placed increasing pressures on exporters, who have seen a falloff in demand in the wake of weaker foreign currencies relative to the dollar. Domestic firms, too, face rising competition from cheaper imports. These factors have created negative near-term expectations for corporate profitability, which in turn have resulted in rising layoffs and slowing employment growth. Although most economists feel that prospects for a recession are remote in the near term, even a modest slowdown in economic growth could result in higher vacancy rates in markets experiencing rapid development.

Over the longer term, various economic and demographic trends imply a weaker outlook for commercial real estate demand relative to past real estate cycles. In a recent analysis of the commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) market, Moody’s identifies the following trends, each of which implies secular declines in demand for one or more property types:¹⁰

- more efficient office space utilization as measured by continuing declines in square feet per worker;
- more efficient inventory management as measured by a proportional increase in the ratio of inventory growth to growth in warehouse space;
- shifts in spending patterns by baby boomers, the largest age cohort, away from goods and toward services;
- declining scrappage rates of obsolescent buildings because of a decline in the average age of the current stock of space relative to the comparable stage of prior cycles; and
- expected declines in labor force growth as the proportion of older workers increases.

In addition to these factors, other analysts have pointed out that tight labor markets and overtaxed infrastructure (e.g., water, roads, sewer, and public transportation) constrain demand by limiting growth within a particular market. Suburban areas that have seen the bulk of new construction over the past few years may be particularly hard hit if there is a backlash against the congestion and infrastructure capacity issues that accompany rapid growth.¹¹

Markets Most Vulnerable to Overbuilding

Based on a review of supply and demand trends coupled with analyst opinions and projections, the following markets appear to be most vulnerable to broad-based overbuilding in the coming one to two years (see also Table 1, next page, for prevailing trends in these markets). These markets are discussed in more detail in the Regional Perspectives section.

---

⁹ Wheat specifically notes deteriorating supply/demand ratios in Dallas, Houston, Orlando, Charlotte, Nashville, and the San Francisco Bay area.


¹¹ See, for example, Price Waterhouse/Lend Lease Investment Research, Emerging Trends in Real Estate 1999.
Las Vegas
Las Vegas’s hotel, office, and industrial development far surpasses that of other major markets, with ratios of construction activity to current space of 37 percent, 19 percent, and 12 percent, respectively. Rapid development is occurring despite high and increasing office and industrial vacancy rates, which place additional competitive burdens on newly completed space. The area’s retail and apartment sectors are also developing rapidly, ranking third and fourth, respectively, among major markets. Although Las Vegas continues to enjoy one of the fastest employment growth rates in the country, the rate of job growth has slowed considerably from 1994 to 1996 levels. Its real estate markets are highly dependent on the gaming sector, which could be especially vulnerable to a nationwide slowdown in economic activity. The city would be particularly hard hit by a downturn in real estate prices, as fully 10 percent of its workforce is employed in the construction sector (twice the national rate).

Atlanta
Of the nation’s largest metropolitan markets, Atlanta ranks among the top ten in office, industrial, retail, and apartment construction, with ratios of construction activity to current space of 12 percent, 8 percent, 7 percent, and 5 percent, respectively. Development, much of which is widely reported to be speculative, is very active despite relatively high office and industrial vacancy rates. Atlanta’s expanding real estate markets have been driven largely by strong in-migration and employment growth rates. However, both these rates are slowing, and many market observers are concerned that the area’s development cycle has reached its peak.12

Nashville
Nashville ranks among the top ten metro markets in office and apartment development, with ratios of construction activity to existing space of 10 percent and 6 percent, respectively. Although not among the top 15 markets, Nashville’s hotel sector is expanding rapidly as well (construction activity stands at 12 percent of current space). Nashville’s economy is reported to be slowing because of recent losses in manufacturing-sector jobs and slowing net-migration rates. The rapid pace of development has recently placed downward pressure on office, industrial, and hotel occupancy rates.

Salt Lake City
Salt Lake City ranks among the top five markets in the nation in office, industrial, and hotel development, with ratios of construction activity to current space of 14 percent, 6 percent, and 27 percent, respectively. The main drivers behind the area’s rapid development have been high-tech corporate expansions, population immigration, and preparation for the 2002 Winter Olympic Games. However, both job growth and in-migration rates are slowing, which could result in lower absorption rates for commercial space in the near term. Over

12 See the November 1998 issue of the Federal Reserve Board’s Beige Book.
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the longer term, analysts have expressed concerns that development and job growth attributable to the Olympics will result in a significant glut of space following the Winter Games.

Charlotte

Charlotte ranks among the top five metro areas in office, retail, and apartment development, with ratios of construction activity to current space of 15 percent, 7 percent, and 6 percent, respectively. The area’s hotel sector is also developing rapidly. Charlotte’s real estate markets are highly dependent on the health of the financial industry, which has been the primary driver of development activity. However, job growth in the financial services sector has recently slowed, and the manufacturing sector (which accounts for 19 percent of all jobs) is experiencing net job losses.

Portland

Portland has the third most active hotel and apartment development in the nation, with ratios of construction activity to current space of 25 percent and 7 percent, respectively. The area’s office market is also expanding rapidly. Portland’s development has been driven largely by in-migration and job growth in the technology sector. However, because of the significance of exports to the overall economy (exports to Asia account for approximately 7 percent of Oregon’s gross state product), the technology sector is particularly vulnerable to weak Asian markets. Accordingly, job growth has moderated, reaching its lowest level in five years. The area has also experienced a recent decline in construction-sector jobs. Although still strong, in-migration rates have fallen from 1996 levels.

Phoenix

Phoenix ranks among the top ten metro markets in industrial, retail, hotel, and apartment development, with ratios of construction activity to existing space of 6 percent, 6 percent, 18 percent, and 6 percent, respectively. The area is also experiencing rapid development in the office sector. Phoenix has one of the fastest-growing job markets in the country. Although still strong relative to the nation, employment growth has slowed somewhat since 1996, as has the rate of in-migration. The prominence of the semiconductor and high-tech businesses makes Phoenix especially vulnerable to the economic slowdown in Asia.

Dallas

Dallas ranks among the top ten metro markets in office, hotel, and apartment development, with ratios of construction activity to existing space of 10 percent, 19 percent, and 6 percent, respectively. The area is also experiencing rapid development in the retail sector. Dallas’s economy remains one of the fastest growing in the country, and in-migration to the area continues to rise. However, economic growth has slowed somewhat recently because of weakening high-tech and energy sectors. Although its industrial base is more diversified today than in the mid-1980s, Dallas remains exposed to a large energy sector, whose profits are vulnerable to declining oil and energy prices. Concerns over the volume of planned office development have led to widely published reports of curtailments in credit availability to speculative office projects. Although tighter credit availability may ease pressures on vacancy rates over the long term, the market will still have to absorb the large volume of space presently under construction, much of which is speculative.

Orlando

Orlando ranks among the top three metro markets in office, retail, and apartment development, with ratios of construction activity to existing space of 15 percent, 9 percent, and 7 percent, respectively. Of the nine markets discussed in this article, Orlando’s current pace of construction is perhaps easiest to support, thanks to rising employment and in-migration growth. However, despite strong employment growth, office vacancy rates have edged higher over the past 12 months because of the rapid pace of construction. Orlando may be more vulnerable than other metropolitan areas to a slowdown in the national economy owing to its dependence on the tourism sector.
Credit Availability Affects the Pace of Commercial Development

CMBS and real estate investment trusts (REITs) have generated a significant share of funding for commercial real estate over the past several years. As a result, any disruption in CMBS and REIT markets strains credit availability for new commercial development. For instance, widening CMBS spreads in the wake of September’s market volatility have caused many issuers to either delay or cancel new CMBS issues. REITs, too, have reportedly curtailed purchases because of falling per-share values and a corresponding decline in equity issues to support acquisitions.

Weaknesses in the CMBS and REIT markets also may be dampening many lenders’ enthusiasm for commercial real estate development. Construction lenders will be less willing to make speculative loans to the extent that permanent funding is not available, and CMBS and REITs served as major providers of such funding. REITs were particularly aggressive purchasers in such markets as Atlanta, Orlando, and Dallas. Tightened construction lending conditions appear to be borne out by the November 1998 issue of the Federal Reserve Board’s Beige Book, which indicates that new construction for speculative commercial projects has either been curtailed or come to a virtual halt throughout many Federal Reserve districts, including Atlanta and Dallas. Most districts also reported tightened credit conditions and higher loan pricing, which could further dampen construction activity.

The turmoil faced by CMBS and REITs presents both opportunities and risks for banks. Many industry participants view tighter credit accessibility as a positive development in light of the rapid pace of construction, which, in some cases, has been accompanied by extremely tight loan pricing margins and a loosening of underwriting standards. However, some lenders may view the changing fortunes of CMBS and REITs as an opportunity to regain market share. In any case, it will take several months for recent market events to be fully reflected in hard numbers for construction activity. Whether tightening credit availability proves to be a temporary phenomenon given the recent, albeit gradual, recovery in CMBS spreads and the broad recovery in the equity markets, remains to be seen.

Summary

This article updates a previously published analysis that used year-end 1997 data to rank the potential vulnerability of major metropolitan areas to overbuilding. Using primarily midyear 1998 information, this update adds three markets to the six identified in the initial analysis as vulnerable to broad-based overbuilding. This assessment is based on a number of factors including construction activity trends, local area employment and population migration trends, as well as a collection of views and projections from credible industry analysts. For many of these markets, the prospects for near-term declines in commercial real estate demand may be increasing because of slower economic growth and weakened markets abroad. Certain secular demographic and economic trends also suggest the possibility of lower demand levels in the current cycle relative to prior cycles. Although data through June 1998 indicate ongoing rapid development, there is growing evidence that recent events in the capital markets have at least temporarily tempered the appetite for further development in some rapidly expanding metro areas. For these markets, most participants view the curtailment in credit availability in a positive light because it would serve to moderate the severe cyclical swings in real estate values experienced by several markets during the 1980s.

Steven Burton, Senior Banking Analyst
Recent Trends in Syndicated Lending

• A strong U.S. economy, intensifying competition, and the increasing marketability of bank loans have driven record volumes of syndicated lending in the 1990s.

• After several years of liberalized underwriting, evidence suggests that some banks have tightened standards and terms for loans to large commercial borrowers.

• Market developments and underwriting trends over the past several years have implications for credit quality, earnings, and liquidity at institutions that hold or originate syndicated loans.

Commercial and industrial lending is a major source of revenue for commercial banks, yet this business line has lagged other major lending categories in terms of liquidity, standardization, and commoditization. However, in recent years the transformation of commercial lending has accelerated and is altering the way lenders do business. This trend has been particularly apparent in syndicated commercial lending. This article briefly defines syndicated loans, reviews the 1990s boom in the market, and discusses the implications of competitive pressures and secondary market liquidity for underwriting trends and risk profiles of commercial banks active in this market.

Syndicated Lending Overview

A syndicated loan is a credit extended to one large or medium-sized corporate borrower that is originated by a group, or syndicate, of lenders. Syndicated lending differs slightly from participation lending, which is common in commercial banks. Although both types of lending allow for flexibility in reducing company-specific risk and adhering to legal restrictions for loans to one borrower, only one lender originates a participation loan, which is then sold in undivided participation interests either concurrently or subsequently to third parties. A syndicate usually consists of a group of institutions that work closely on a number of deals that are sold to subscribers at origination.

Syndicated loans can generally be categorized according to rating, terms, pricing, or target investors. The investment-grade loan market, often referred to as the pro-rata or retail market, is the lowest-risk segment of syndicated lending and comprises approximately 80 percent of all volume originated from 1987 to 1997. These loans commonly take the form of liquidity backups or lines of credit and are marketed to commercial bank investors. Loan Pricing Corporation (LPC) defines these credits as those rated BBB−/Baa3 or better, or nonrated deals with pricing equal to or less than rated deals in these bands. Near-investment-grade, leveraged, and highly leveraged markets, often referred to as B, C, and D tranche term loans or non-investment-grade loans, include credits with longer maturities, greater risk, and higher pricing. Non-investment-grade loans are typically structured for institutional investors and compete more directly with the traditional high-yield bond market. LPC defines non-investment-grade loans as those rated BB+/Ba1 or worse, or nonrated deals with pricing greater than deals graded BBB−.2

Competitive Trends in the Syndicated Loan Market

A handful of large U.S. commercial banking companies originate the vast majority of U.S. syndicated corporate credits across all quality types. According to LPC, 14 U.S. banking companies were among the top 25 syndicated lenders (based on the number of agent or co-agent transactions) and accounted for half of 1998 syndicated loan transactions to U.S. corporations through mid-November. In 1997, nine U.S. banking companies were among the top 25 and executed 36 percent of the market’s transactions. Before 1997, the most active domestic commercial banks saw their market share erode from a peak of 45 percent of transactions in 1992 to 34 percent in 1996, primarily because of intensifying competition from nontraditional syndicated lenders such as investment banks and foreign banks. Although U.S. banks have recently recovered market share (as Japanese banks have significantly withdrawn from the market), a strong U.S. economy, expanding liquidity in the bank loan market, and a trend toward one-stop shopping

in the financial services industry have attracted competitors to the syndicated market in the 1990s.

**Syndicated Loan Liquidity**

U.S. commercial banking companies retain or buy a large volume of syndicated loans, yet estimates show that most of the volume is sold to other institutional investors. Information from the shared national credit program\(^4\) indicates that at year-end 1997, FDIC-insured commercial banking companies had extended facilities and commitments totaling $1.8 trillion, of which an estimated $565 billion was funded. To put this figure in perspective, an official of the **Office of the Comptroller of the Currency** estimated that 57 percent of outstanding syndicated loans were held by foreign banks; 26 percent by originating banks, mutual funds, and insurance companies; and 17 percent by subscribing banks.\(^5\)

Indeed, according to **BankAmerica Corporation**, the number of nonbank institutional investors in bank loans, including prime rate mutual funds, hedge funds, and insurance companies, increased from 14 in 1993 to more than 100 in 1998. These investors have played a pivotal role in enhancing the bank loan as a distinct asset class by increasing trading activity, demanding third-party loan ratings, and contributing to the development of loan derivative products.

Perhaps the most important new development in syndicated lending has been the deepening secondary market for bank loans as many new investors seek to purchase them. As shown in Chart 1, the volume of secondary trading in bank loans has grown sharply, more than tripling between 1994 and 1997 to over $60 billion. Trading in 1998 through the third quarter was on pace to top the 1997 level. Traded loans are often non-investment-grade issues, which have been the focus of most demand by the burgeoning institutional investor base. One important force behind the development of a bank loan secondary market has been rapid expansion in the number of bank loans rated by third-party rating services.

Independent credit ratings of bank loans were initiated in 1995 when “several years of rapid development in the syndicated bank loan market generated a critical mass of interest in the credit characteristics of these instruments.”\(^6\) **Standard and Poor’s, Moody’s, Duff and Phelps, and Fitch/IBCA** are now actively involved in rating bank loans. Through 1997, Standard and Poor’s and Moody’s combined rated $677 billion in loans. As rating activity increases access to and availability of standardized analysis and research for bank loans, including market analysis, ratings criteria, and historical loss recovery rates, investors are becoming more comfortable with loans as a distinct asset class. Moreover, independent loan ratings allow investors to value a company’s loans relative to its other rated loans or bonds.

Bank loan secondary market activity and independent ratings have prompted the development of new ways to package and improve the market acceptance of these assets. As a result, the securitization of bank loans and the development of various types of derivative products have proliferated. As discussed in “CLOs Lure Another Major Bank Asset off the Balance Sheet,” in **Regional Outlook**, third quarter 1998, collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) are a major market development allowing for the securitization of corporate loans. A large investor appetite for varied types of asset-backed securities and a desire to move assets off the balance sheet to lower risk-based capital requirements have helped promote a sharp increase in this type of securitization. Loan derivatives also may allow lenders to better manage the trade-off between maintaining borrower relationships and avoiding excessive concentrations of risk. This trade-off has become increasingly

---

\(^4\) The shared national credit program is a cooperative examination program conducted by the three federal banking agencies and cooperating state agencies to review large, complex credits held at multiple institutions. Loans subject to review are syndicated loans or groups of loans and commitments of $20 million or more shared by three or more supervised institutions.


important with the trend toward one-stop shopping in financial services.

One-Stop Financial Providers
For several years, analysts have noted a trend toward financial services supermarkets—financial institutions positioning themselves as providers of a complete array of advisory services and financial products. One aspect of this trend has been the tendency of traditional lenders to improve their ability to offer a full array of equity and debt underwriting, as characterized by the expansion of Section 20 activities among major U.S. commercial banking companies. Traditional securities underwriters view entry into the syndicated loan market similarly. For example, no investment bank had a syndicated loan underwriting department in 1994, but several are now making inroads into the market, especially the leveraged market, and some increased their syndicated loan volume fivefold in 1997.

In some cases, the desire of commercial banks to move toward one-stop financial services and the resulting approaches to relationship management have affected the underwriting of loans to large commercial borrowers that have multiple financing and advisory service needs.

**Historical Perspective on Syndicated Loan Underwriting Trends**

Increased interest by investors in bank loans and strong competition for business resulted in syndicated lending at historically narrow spreads and on more liberal terms. Accordingly, the syndicated loan market was a borrowers’ market for much of the 1990s. As shown in Chart 2, the volume of syndicated loan originations increased almost fivefold between 1991 and 1997, with record volume levels achieved in each of these years. Much of the volume was driven by growth in the origination of loans for the purpose of refinancing existing debt, especially from 1995 to 1997, as borrowers took advantage of increased lender competition and investor demand to reduce funding costs and extend maturities. In some cases, borrowers were able to refinance loans obtained just months earlier at significant savings and more favorable terms.

Chart 3 shows that lending spreads compressed sharply from 1993 to 1997, particularly for lower-quality credits. LPC stated that “[e]xcessive competition has driven spreads and fees to all-time lows, with the investment grade market purely a relationship play.” Consistent with the financial supermarket concept discussed above, as relationship lending proliferated, many lenders were evaluating transactions on the basis of overall relationship returns rather than individual transaction returns. Consequently, borrowers willing to offer an institution ancillary business, such as cash management, securities underwriting, or securitization services, were likely to receive more favorable loan pricing than borrowers seeking to execute just one loan deal.

During the same period, a clear trend toward weakened underwriting resulted in deteriorating risk/return rela-

---

**Notes:**
tions across syndicated lending categories. Financial indicators market analysts use to evaluate whether lenders are being adequately compensated for risk generally weakened. For example, the ratio of debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization rose to relatively high levels for an increasing number of leveraged and highly leveraged loans. Moreover, lengthening maturities reflected looser underwriting. A decline in the spreads between loans of one-year and five-year maturities made it cost-effective to borrow for longer periods. LPC indicated that the differential between fees on undrawn 364-day revolving loans and undrawn five-year loans had dropped by one-half during this period. As a result, many borrowers extended maturities on new credits, and, as shown in Chart 4, the average maturity of investment-grade loans originated in the mid-1990s lengthened significantly.

Recent Underwriting Developments

Beginning in late 1997, lenders and investors began to resist aggressively priced investment-grade and near-investment-grade loans. This resistance led to a leveling of pricing, fewer refinancing opportunities for borrowers, and increased focus on the higher-risk leveraged lending market, where nonbank institutional investor demand was strong and pricing was richer. In response, overall syndicated lending volume declined almost 16 percent during the first three quarters of 1998 compared with the same period in 1997. However, within total new syndicated loan volume, leveraged loan originations grew 77 percent to $200 billion during the same period, accounting for approximately one-third of all syndicated credits—the largest proportion of the market since 1989. Of particular note was that this growth in higher-risk lending came at a time when losses in speculative-grade bonds had been trending higher and growth in profits for nonfinancial U.S. corporations had been slowing (see Chart 5).

Global economic turmoil and the flight to quality that disrupted the capital markets during the third quarter of 1998 spilled over into the bank loan market and solidified a shift to a lenders’ market. LPC noted in its third-quarter 1998 review of syndicated lending that “[r]ates and fees are on the upswing meaning opportunistic refinancings…continue to dwindle. Concessions suddenly are going to lenders rather than borrowers, and volume continues the drop [from levels] seen earlier in the year.” Growth in leveraged lending also declined sharply as the number of institutional investors in the market fell by one-half from the second quarter.

The shifting dynamics of the market in late 1998 were characterized by the aforementioned slowdown in originations, a sharp increase in pricing (see Chart 3, previous page), and evidence that underwriting had become more stringent. The volatility in credit markets resulted in deals being rescinded or incorporating “market flex” pricing language that enabled lenders to manage the yield requirements of investors due to changing yields on competing capital markets instruments. The influ-

---

Ibid., pp. 16-17.
Ibid., p. 43.
ence of the secondary market on new loan pricing became apparent as investors required underwriters to factor in higher secondary market yields. In addition, as shown in Chart 6, the Federal Reserve Board’s November 1998 Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey on Bank Lending Practices reported that a significant minority of surveyed lenders had tightened lending standards and terms for commercial loans to large and middle-market firms. On net, nearly 40 percent of domestic bank respondents had tightened lending standards for these borrowers for the three months ending November 30, and nearly half had increased pricing. These percentages are the highest reported since the last recession.

Underwriting was also influenced by increased borrower demand for bank loans—a secondary effect of the market volatility in late 1998. The aforementioned Federal Reserve Board survey noted an increased demand for bank commercial loans primarily as a result of shifts from other sources of credit, namely the bond and commercial paper markets. For example, one industry participant estimated that the loan market represented roughly 60 percent of capital market financing in January 1998, 40 percent in July as the high-yield bond market boomed, and nearly 100 percent in September as the bond markets stalled.

Although recent evidence suggests that some lenders have tightened standards and terms for loans to large commercial borrowers, market developments and underwriting trends over the past several years have implications for credit quality, earnings, and liquidity at institutions that hold or originate syndicated loans.

- A slowing economy and stress in industries exposed to weakened international economic conditions could result in increased losses during an economic downturn, especially for banks that are holding higher-risk syndicated loans. Although nonbank institutional investors hold the bulk of the riskier tranches of syndicated deals, some banks ventured into riskier, longer-term issues in response to narrow pricing on traditional loan pieces held by banks. Should liquidity become an issue in the secondary market, banks planning to sell these pieces may face losses. For example, as reflected in Chart 7, the rolling 52-week total return on the Goldman Sachs/LPC Liquid Leveraged Loan Index, which measures the performance of a diversified portfolio of the most actively traded performing leveraged loans, has fallen from over 8 percent in early 1998 to less than 4 percent in December 1998. Falling prices have caused reduced returns as required spreads on these credits have risen.

---

13 Large or middle market firms are those with annual sales greater than $50 million.
In Focus This Quarter

• Downstream subscribers that purchased thinly priced or loosely structured loans may not be adequately compensated for risk. This lack of compensation may be especially important for institutions that do not receive ancillary relationship income. Evidence suggests that downstream lenders became more willing to accept loans during the 1990s without receiving full documentation or making an independent credit analysis. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency reportedly attributed this trend to a desire to add loan volume coupled with comfort about company prospects because of the strong economy and strong corporate profits. As a result, on a risk-adjusted basis, the returns on these credits may hamper the performance of investing institutions.

• Sustained reductions in syndicated loan liquidity may adversely affect revenues and increase percentages of loan amounts retained by active syndicating institutions. If institutional investors remain withdrawn from the loan market for an extended period, syndicates may have increasing difficulty marketing deals, especially in the non-investment-grade segment. As a result, institutions dependent on revenues generated by this activity may face declining income as fewer deals are executed, or they may have to hold larger percentages of undersubscribed transactions. This situation may be further exacerbated by consolidation in the U.S. banking industry, which has combined several major syndicate agents in the 1990s and has reduced the number of potential downstream investment-grade subscribers in the market.

• Rising demand from borrowers exploiting relative pricing in the loan and capital markets may have credit and liquidity implications for underwriting institutions. Sustained volatility in the capital markets may increase the demand for bank loans and will likely significantly increase funding costs for many borrowers. For example, LPC recently compared loans that were extended to seven companies in early 1998 with similarly structured loans extended to the same companies after the third-quarter disruption in the capital markets. The analysis revealed significant increases in required yields, ranging from 112 to 388 basis points. Rising funding costs combined with a trend toward slower growth in corporate profits may reduce loan repayment capacity of borrowers in more troubled industries. In addition, banks that have extended liquidity backstops or backup lines of credit may be required to fund facilities that traditionally are not heavily used by borrowers. For example, without appropriate pricing adjustments, banks providing backup commercial paper loans may be called upon to fund these facilities as a result of volatility and relatively high spreads in the commercial paper market.

Increases in credit spreads on securities and syndicated loans, the recent rise in speculative corporate bond defaults, and slowing corporate profits may portend an increase in commercial credit problems for commercial banks. Now more than ever, those involved in bank risk management should pay close attention to fundamentals, including careful credit analysis, diversification, and maintenance of prudent underwriting standards. Attention to these fundamentals may help alleviate the need to overreact to sudden changes in the market environment.

Steven E. Cunningham, CFA, Senior Financial Analyst
Ronald L. Spieker, Chief, Regional Programs and Bank Analysis Section
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- The Kansas City Region’s economy shows continued strength, but employment growth slowed in the last half of 1998, with the manufacturing sector slowing the most.

- Community banks in the Region continue to operate in sound condition. Earnings through the first nine months of 1998 remain solid, as margins remain stable and provisions for loan losses remain moderate.

- The farm economy is expected to continue to endure financial distress in 1999, as farm income is expected to decline. Low prices for the major field crops and the hog sector may threaten the financial health and viability of many farmers. Agricultural land prices have declined in several states for the first time since the 1980s.

- Kansas City’s retail sector appears to be in the “oversupply” phase of the real estate cycle. The vacancy rate has risen steadily since 1995, although it still remains below the national average.

Region’s Economic and Banking Conditions

The Region’s economy showed evidence of slowing growth in the second half of 1998, as year-over-year employment growth in the July to November period slowed to 1.9 percent, compared with 2.4 percent in the first half of the year and 2.4 percent in 1997. This slowing was comparable to the national trends during the same period. A weakening demand for final goods and services and the continuing constraints of the tight labor market have contributed to the slowing growth in the Region.

Slowing of employment growth was even more pronounced in the Region’s manufacturing sector, which year-over-year reached only 1.1 percent during the July to November period, compared with 2.2 percent in the first half of 1998 and 1.8 percent in 1997. An outlook of continuing weakness in manufacturing for 1999 is apparent in the monthly survey of purchasing managers’ expectations as presented in Chart 1.

In November, the monthly index of the Region’s expectations declined below the national index for the first time in 1997 or 1998. Due to strongly improving expectations in Minnesota and Kansas, the Region’s index improved in December, but continued to point toward contraction in total.

Community banks (commercial banks with less than $250 million in assets) in the Kansas City Region continue to operate in sound condition. Earnings through the first nine months of 1998 remain solid, as seen in the aggregate return-on-assets ratio of 1.31 percent, as margins remain stable and provisions for loan losses remain moderate. Capital levels are strong, and loan loss reserves continue to adequately cover very moderate levels of problem loans. Still of concern in community banks is liquidity, which continues to tighten as loan growth outstrips deposit growth. Aggregate loans-to-assets and loans-to-deposits ratios continue to climb and now stand at 63.3 and 75.1 percent, respectively.

This quarter’s Banking Scorecard (see Chart 2, next page) highlights the condition of the Region’s farm banks, which essentially mirrors the condition of community banks.
Banking Scorecard

In aggregate, as of September 30, 1998, the Region’s farm banks (the 1,396 FDIC-insured financial institutions whose agricultural operating loans and agricultural real estate loans make up at least 25 percent of their total loans) continued to operate in sound condition. Earnings, which remain strong with the aggregate return-on-assets ratio at 1.26 percent, contribute to adequate capital levels. Problem loans remain manageable, and reserve levels provide a sound cushion against loan problems that could arise if commodity prices do not improve in 1999. One continuing concern is liquidity, which continues to tighten as farm banks expand their loan portfolios much faster than they are able to attract inexpensive, “core” deposits. As a result, farm banks continue to look to more expensive funding options, such as large time deposits, borrowings, and brokered deposits, to fund their operations. Increased interest expenses have put moderate pressure on net interest margins, which have declined slightly but still have contributed to the strong earnings farm banks have posted in recent years.
Outlook for 1999 Agricultural Economy Appears Unfavorable

The Region’s farm economy is expected to continue to endure financial stress in 1999, as low prices for the area’s major agricultural commodities will likely continue. In November 1998, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) forecasted net farm incomes for 1998 at $48 billion, down $1.8 billion from 1997 and down $5.3 billion from the record level of 1996.

Farm income in 1998 and 1999 will be helped by the $6 billion package of economic assistance approved by Congress in October 1998. The bill provided supplemental payments of $2.8 billion to crop farmers, paid in proportion to the amounts of production flexibility payments due to them under the Federal Agricultural Improvement and Reform (FAIR) Act. In addition, $600 million of the flexibility payments due in 1999 were advanced into 1998. The balance of the $6 billion package will be disbursed as disaster assistance for agricultural areas distressed by weather, flooding, or disease during the period 1996 to 1998. The USDA began taking applications for this assistance in January 1999, with the exact plans for disbursement at the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture. Wheat farmers of North Dakota will likely receive some relief under the provisions of the agriculture assistance legislation.

Forecasts for commodity prices by the USDA suggest that farm income in 1999 will also be below the level of 1998. Table 1 shows the relative importance of several major commodities in the Kansas City Region and forecasted prices for 1999.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cash Receipts</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>32.87</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogs</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>66.32</td>
<td>62.47</td>
<td>72.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Corn, soybeans, and wheat are quoted in $/bushel. Hogs and cattle are quoted in $/cwt. Source: USDA’s World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates, December 10, 1998.

Following significant declines in 1998, prices for each of the major field crops are expected to be even lower in 1999, resulting from both abundant domestic and world supplies and weak export demand.

| Corn |

The USDA forecast of lower prices for corn in 1999 is based on the expectation of large inventories of stored corn during the year and a reversal of the trend of increasing exports during the early years of the decade. Preliminary estimates of the 1998 U.S. corn crop place it at 9.84 billion bushels, or 5 percent above the previous year’s harvest. Production in the Region exceeded 5.3 billion bushels, a record harvest as nearly ideal weather and growing conditions resulted in record yields in six of the seven states. Acres of corn planted in the Region increased 3.2 percent over 1997 and 2.4 percent over 1996. Farmers increased plantings as the FAIR Act of 1996 removed the supply control policies the U.S. government had historically used.

The USDA forecasts the value of corn exports at $4.7 billion for 1999, compared with $5 billion in 1998 and $6.9 billion in 1997. Competition from Argentina in the European markets and China in Asia has reduced the relative attractiveness of the U.S. crop. Contraction of the depressed U.S. hog industry that is expected in 1999 will also reduce domestic demand for corn.

| Soybeans |

Soybean farmers will likely face even more significant price deterioration in 1999. The 1998 crop came in at a record 2.76 billion bushels, with record planted acreage in the United States and the Region. Farmers responded to the new incentives of the FAIR Act and high prices during 1996 and 1997 with substantial increases in plantings. Domestic inventories of soybeans for 1999 are expected to be the largest in 12 years, while foreign production may also attain record levels in 1999 because Brazil has emerged as a major export competitor. The combined weakness in the corn and soybean markets will pose increased risks to the states of the Region, as many farmers have traditionally rotated between the crops or planted both in an attempt to diversify against price risk.

| Wheat |

Continuing and forecasted low prices in the wheat market present perhaps the greatest risk in the Region’s agricultural sectors. Because wheat is the commodity most dependent on export markets, the fortunes of U.S.
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wheat farmers are strongly influenced by international markets. Two successive years of large crops in the United States and foreign wheat-producing countries have led to significant accumulations of inventories, leading to the likelihood of low prices throughout 1999.

Low wheat prices have caused the most stress in North Dakota. Agricultural cash receipts for wheat typically account for more than 40 percent of the state's agricultural production, the largest concentration of a single commodity in any of the states. Since 1993, wheat yields in North Dakota and northwestern Minnesota have been decreased by persistent scab disease, a fungal infestation. Although losses to scab declined somewhat in 1998, the microorganisms are present in the soil of large acreages, and extensive losses in future years are a significant possibility. Efforts to diversify into other crops have met limited success because of the relatively dry climate of the Northern Plains.

Hogs
In the livestock sector, hog prices have declined more than 35 percent since 1997. Production increased 9 percent in 1998 and is forecasted to increase another 4 percent to more than 20 billion pounds in 1999, despite the low prices prevailing this year. The increased importance of larger, well-capitalized hog operations has slowed the typical contraction of the industry in response to low prices. Modern large-scale producers have achieved lower costs of production through technological and managerial innovation. These operators continue to produce at high volumes to realize their economies of size and are better able to weather periods of low prices. Smaller producers typically lack the skills and technology to achieve the lower costs necessary to survive in a down market. Most industry observers believe the break-even price for traditional farrow-to-finish operations is about $35 per hundredweight, indicating that most smaller producers have lost money in 1998 and will continue to do so in 1999, with forecasted prices below $34 per hundredweight. More than 25,000 farmers in the Region with fewer than 1,000 hogs on their operations account for a third of the 30 million hogs in the seven states. Although the pork industry as a whole will continue to expand production, increasing numbers of smaller producers may be forced out of the industry.

Cattle
Cattle markets are the one major sector that will likely see improved price performance in 1999, as the third successive year of reductions in the breeding herd will finally reduce supplies and allow profits in both the cow-calf and feeding sectors. Although most analysts forecasted profitability for 1998, reduced export demand and a shrinking market share in relation to poultry and pork delayed recovery this year. The calf crop for 1998 was the smallest in 50 years in the United States, as ranchers responded to five successive years of small or negative profits. The smallest cattle herd in 40 years provides support for the USDA's 1999 price projection.

Depressed Commodity Prices Have Begun to Negatively Affect Land Prices

The depressed agricultural economy has begun to put downward pressure on land prices, as the demand for cropland depends in the long run on the value of the crops produced on it. The first evidence appeared in a survey of agricultural bankers by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, in the second quarter of 1998, which indicated a decline of 1 percent in Iowa from the previous quarter. A similar survey for the third quarter showed another 1 percent drop in land prices. A separate survey by the Realtors' Land Institute, a private research group, also showed a 2 percent drop in the value of Iowa farmland during the second and third quarters of 1998. Further declines in the fourth quarter could result in the first decline in average Iowa land values since the farm crisis year of 1986. Continued weakness in the corn, soybean, and hog markets points to further decline in 1999.

A survey of agricultural bankers by the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis also identified weakness in North Dakota land prices in the second and third quarters of 1998. Land prices increased 1 percent, on average, during that period, but respondents from the northeast section of the state reported declines in land value, the first such decline observed by the economist who has been conducting the survey since 1987. A parallel survey by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City for the third quarter showed quarterly decreases for all classes of farmland values for Kansas and Nebraska and decreases for irrigated cropland and ranchland in Missouri. All states have had steadily escalating land values since the mid-1980s.

Land prices have risen steadily during the 1990s, even when farm income has dropped for a single year, as it did in 1993 and 1995. The emerging trend of weakening land prices throughout the Region suggests an expectation of lower farm income for the near future.
Sustained Low Prices Could Stress Many Farmers

Low prices that are occurring simultaneously in the major commodity markets will increase the financial stress on many farmers in the Region. One recent study of Iowa farmers concludes that persistence of the current low prices will lead to significant deterioration of the financial health of commercial farms (see Chart 3). Economists at Iowa State University constructed a simulation of commodity prices at the 1998 level for the three-year 1998 to 2000 period. Using financial data from 1,153 commercial farms in Iowa, the researchers classified the farms into four categories of financial health—strong, stable, weak and severe.

For the farms studied, projected average net farm income declined approximately 60 percent, from $68,000 in 1997 to slightly more than $29,000 in 1998. For financially stressed farms, the decline in income is even greater, more than a 140 percent drop for farms in weak condition. Net cash flow is negative for farms in the weak and severe categories. Outstanding debt levels will thus increase by the amount of the cash-flow shortfall. The analysis concludes that if the current level of low prices were to persist through the year 2000, more than a third of Iowa’s commercial farms would require financial restructuring or liquidation, causing increased pressure on financial institutions and land markets. Considering that the prices of corn, soybeans, and hogs are expected to be as low or lower in 1999 as they were in 1998, the scenario described in the Iowa State study seems increasingly plausible.

In a separate analysis, economists at the University of Nebraska project that the depressed farm economy will accelerate the exodus of farmers from the business. Although about 3 percent of Nebraska farmers leave the industry in a typical year, twice as many, or 3,000 operators, are expected to leave in 1998. If low prices persist in 1999, as most forecasts indicate, three times the normal number of farmers will likely leave by the end of the year.

The results of the Iowa State University study may also be applicable to much of Minnesota, Nebraska, and Missouri, where corn, soybeans, and hogs are also important commodities. The forecasted low returns to farmers in 1999 will be the second consecutive year of poor results, leading to the increased likelihood of financial distress.

Implications for Banks

Because the Region’s financial institutions are highly dependent on agricultural lending (refer to Map 1, next page, which illustrates the Region’s dependence on farm loans), low crop and livestock prices can have a significant effect on their operations. Banks could see their loan quality, liquidity, and earnings diminish if low prices persist.

- Although low prices have not yet affected loan portfolios, continued low prices could result in increasing levels of delinquent loans. Past-due loans at the Region’s farm banks constituted a moderate 2.3 percent of total loans as of September 30, 1998, just slightly higher than the level posted in the previous three quarters. This low past-due level reflects farmers’ strong financial condition at the beginning of the year, resulting from good years in 1996 and 1997. However, low prices in 1998 have immediately affected the Region’s farmers, and they may be more vulnerable if low prices continue into 1999 as predicted.


2 Frederick, Roy, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Department of Agricultural Economics, cited in the Omaha World-Herald, October 18, 1998.
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MAP 1

The Region’s Western States Are Highly Dependent on Agriculture

Farm Loans/Total Loans
9/30/98
No Farm Loans
0–25%
25–50%
50–100%

Note: “Farm Loans” refer to both agricultural operating loans and loans secured by agricultural real estate.

Source: Bank and Thrift Call Reports, institutions with less than $1 billion in assets.

• Farmers may not want to sell their products in this low-price environment, which could delay repayment of operating loans. This delay may already be showing up in banks’ balance sheets. As of September 1998, the level of agricultural lending increased at an annual rate of 10.2 percent, compared with 9.4 percent at the same period in 1997 and just 2.1 and 3.5 percent in 1996 and 1995, respectively. The increase in loan volume without a significant underlying increase in agricultural activity suggests that farmers may be carrying over or refinancing operating loans.

• Increased carryover debt resulting from low prices could further tighten banks’ liquidity levels. Farmers may have the real estate equity needed to roll last year’s unpaid operating loans in with loans for this year. However, this doubling of loan volume could tax the liquid resources of many farm banks. As shown in the Banking Scorecard, farm banks in the Region already have very high levels of loans relative to both deposits and assets.

• Given the low prices forecasted, borrowers may find that their 1999 operating loans do not cash flow on paper. This may be especially problematic for highly leveraged borrowers, who may find it difficult to convince their lenders that they can perform on their obligations in the long term.

Can the Rapid Growth of the Retail Sector in Kansas City Be Sustained?

Because of a rapid buildup of commercial real estate, Kansas City’s retail sector appears to be in the “oversupply” phase of the real estate cycle. In this phase, the supply of retail building space is growing faster than the current demand but not beyond what the market could absorb in a reasonable time. Common characteristics of this phase are rising vacancy rates and slowing rental rate growth. Kansas City’s retail sector does not appear to have entered the recession phase, in which the surplus of available supply grows while demand for retail space slows dramatically or even reverses. During the past few years, Kansas City’s banks have increased their commercial real estate loans significantly in conjunction with a healthy real estate market. Banks that rely on the market value and cash flow of retail properties may be at risk because of the retail sector’s position in the real estate development cycle and structural changes in retailing.

Fundamental Demand Factors Remain Strong

Kansas City’s economy is fundamentally strong. The area’s unemployment rate is a low 3.5 percent, and employment growth during the 1990s has been steady across all major industrial sectors. Federal government employment is the leading employment industry in Kansas City, followed by the transportation and telecommunications industries. Strong employment fundamentals, coupled with other demand factors such as solid personal income growth, award-winning schools, and affordable housing, are fueling Kansas City’s robust residential and retail markets.

Johnson County, Kansas, the second most populated county in the 11-county metropolitan area, is the driving force for Kansas City in the 1990s. During the 1990s, Johnson County accounted for 50 percent of the population growth and 35 percent of the net increase in

jobs in Greater Kansas City. Johnson County’s median household income of nearly $55,000, which far exceeds the national average and average of other counties in the metropolitan area, has proved very attractive to retailers. Much of the boom in residential and retail construction activity has taken place in this county.

Some Signs of Weakening Are Emerging

The apparent health of Kansas City’s retail real estate market may mask inherent weaknesses that could worsen in an economic recession. Declining consumer confidence, increasing vacancies, and rising capitalization rates serve as potential signs of weakening in Kansas City’s retail real estate sector. For example, in Johnson County, consumer confidence dropped for four consecutive months through October. Although the confidence index rebounded in November and December 1998 to near its all-time high, the recent trend highlights the volatility in consumer confidence and its susceptibility to rapid deterioration. Sustained lower consumer confidence could lower demand for retail space, pushing vacancies up and rents down.

The degree to which retail demand tapers will influence how much surplus space will be created in 1999. As shown in Table 2, newly completed space is growing faster than what is being absorbed. Consequently, the vacancy rate has risen steadily since 1995, although it still remains below the national average of 7.4 percent. Planned retail construction activity and new construction starts have slowed, but any new retail completions in 1999 could add to the level of surplus space.

Investors apparently perceive more risk in retail space, despite increasing prices and rents. From 1989 to 1997, capitalization rates for Class A space rose from 8.9 to 9.6 percent and are now above the 1997 national average of 9.2 percent. Prices and rents for Class B and C retail space, which would encompass many neighborhood and community retail centers, are not readily available; however, it is reasonable to assume that a recession would have an equal, if not worse, impact on Class B and C retail space.

The Big-Box Trend Should Continue Shaking Up Existing Retailers

The risks to retailers and landlords come not only from the threat of a recession, given the retail sector’s position in the development cycle, but also from structural and competitive changes in the industry. In the past two years alone, Kansas City has seen an inflow of nationally recognized retailers, many setting up shop in “big-box” spaces. Since 1997, Target has built three superstores and is finishing two more for 1999; Home Depot built four stores and is planning a fifth store for 1999; and Walgreen Drug Stores constructed ten stores and is planning an additional ten for 1999. These retailers’ swift entry into the market exemplifies a strategy of market saturation as a means of establishing dominance and market share. Some analysts, for example, estimate that when a Home Depot enters a market, competitors lose 30 to 40 percent of sales within weeks.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>New Const. Starts (000s)</th>
<th>Net New Comp. (000s)</th>
<th>Vacancy Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>3,220</td>
<td>1,364</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>3,524</td>
<td>1,930</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2,781</td>
<td>2,074</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997–YTD 2Q</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>1,829</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998–YTD 2Q</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>2,761</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YTD = year to date
Source: F.W. Dodge Real Estate Analysis and Planning Services

The County Economic Research Institute, a nonprofit research company located in Overland Park, Kansas, began tracking consumer confidence in Johnson County in 1993. From 1993 through 1997, the Johnson County Index of Consumer Confidence rose from near 110 to 165. The October 1998 index (November 1998 issue of Johnson County Economic Research Institute, third quarter 1998) stands at 139. Note: 1985 = 100.

F.W. Dodge Real Estate Analysis and Planning Services showed that retail space in the planning phase as of March 1997 topped out at over 40 million square feet, compared with less than 8 million square feet in the planning stages during 1995 and 1996. As of September 1998, planned retail construction dropped to approximately 22 million square feet but still remains at near 26 percent of total retail space in Kansas City.

6 The FDIC’s Regional Outlook, third quarter 1997, article titled “Retail Shakeout: Causes and Implications for Lenders” talks about “big-box” retailing. A synonymous term for big-box retailers is “category killers” because they kill off the competition in their category. Big-box stores may be as large as 250,000 square feet, the equivalent of five football fields.

7 Refers to anchored, unenclosed shopping centers. According to Metro Market Facts, a publication of the National Real Estate Index, prices and rents for Class A retail space grew 10 percent and 6 percent, respectively, during 1997.

The tremendous competitive pressures imposed on existing retailers can accelerate the departure of some participants and force changes in others. Shortly after Home Depot entered the Kansas City market, Kansas City home-based Payless Cashways, Inc., the fourth largest home-improvement retailer in America, filed for bankruptcy. Another home-improvement retailer, Builder’s Square, closed two of its three Kansas City stores. After Target entered the Kansas City market, Missouri-based Venture Stores, with seven Kansas City locations, filed for bankruptcy and closed its doors. Although these companies were already financially weak, the emergence of strong competitors likely accelerated their demise.

A longer-term implication for lenders is the growth in nonstore retailing and its threat to traditional “brick and mortar” retailing. Electronic shopping methods such as on-line retailing, direct mail catalogs, and television shopping allow retailers to reach customers for whom physical location is irrelevant. Barry Libert, managing editor of Arthur Andersen’s Boston-based Transformation Group, draws an interesting parallel between the commercial real estate and banking industries. He points out that technology is driving a slow decline in real estate demand, increasing its rate of obsolescence, and reducing its relative investment rates of return. Retailing is now realizing what banks have practiced for years (through ATMs and telephone and Internet banking): Using less real estate and more technology effectively serves and retains customers.

### Implications for Banks

Trends in retailing could have significant implications for Kansas City’s community banks. These banks have

---
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---

*There were 74 community banks (those with less than $250 million in assets) in the Kansas City metropolitan statistical area as of September 30, 1998. For analysis purposes, figures and ratios stated are for the 69 such banks that have not been involved in mergers or have not opened for business since September 30, 1996.*
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