In Focus This Quarter

◆ **High Loan-to-Value Lending: A New Frontier in Home Equity Lending**—High loan-to-value home equity loans have grown in popularity as consumers have sought ways to consolidate credit card debt and lenders have sought ways to deal with declining profit margins on traditional home equity loans. High loan-to-value loans pose unique risks for lenders because of their hybrid nature: they combine characteristics of both a secured home equity loan and an unsecured consumer loan. Losses on such loans are increasing rapidly, and the current rate of loss raises concern about how these loans might perform in an economic recession. *See page 4.*

*By Diane Ellis*

◆ **Commercial Development Still Hot in Many Major Markets, but Slower Growth May Be Ahead**—Following the experience of the 1980s, the threat of an oversupply of commercial real estate is watched with keen interest by market participants and observers alike. This article highlights nine metropolitan areas that may be vulnerable to overbuilding based on the rapid pace of development occurring within those markets, various indicators of current and prospective demand, and projections by credible industry analysts. These concerns could be mitigated to the extent that reduced credit availability within the capital markets leads to a slowing in construction activity. *See page 11.*

*By Steven Burton*

◆ **Recent Trends in Syndicated Lending**—A strong U.S. economy, intensifying lender competition, and increasing marketability of bank loans have driven record volumes of syndicated lending in the 1990s. These factors led to several years of liberalized underwriting in the syndicated market. While evidence suggests that some banks have tightened standards and terms for loans to large commercial borrowers, market developments and underwriting trends over the past several years have implications for credit quality, earnings, and liquidity at institutions that hold or originate syndicated loans. *See page 19.*

*By Steven E. Cunningham, Ronald L. Spieker*

Regional Perspectives

◆ **Regional Economic and Banking Conditions**—Job growth in New England slowed during 1998, as weak global demand continued to hinder net exports and manufacturing employment...Slower economic growth, declining margins, and the potential for increased credit risk may dampen revenue expansion and put downward pressure on earnings. *See page 25.*

◆ **Credit Risk May Be Increasing in New England**—Continued weakness in the manufacturing sector’s employment picture seems likely this year...This weakness may raise the risk of consumer and business credit default for some local insured institutions in areas with an above-average reliance on manufacturing. *See page 26.*

◆ **Personal Bankruptcy Trend Poses Credit Risk to Institutions**—Personal bankruptcies continue to climb and may be related to rising consumer credit risk in the nation and New England in 1999. *See page 28.*

◆ **Will Subprime or High LTV Lending Be Attractive to Banks?**—Subprime and high loan-to-value lending may increase in insured institutions as finance companies and other specialty lenders curtail lending in response to unfavorable capital market conditions. *See page 29.*

*By the Boston Regional Staff*
The *Regional Outlook* is published quarterly by the Division of Insurance of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation for the following eight geographic regions:

- **Atlanta Region** (AL, FL, GA, NC, SC, VA, WV)
- **Boston Region** (CT, MA, ME, NH, RI, VT)
- **Chicago Region** (IL, IN, MI, OH, WI)
- **Dallas Region** (CO, NM, OK, TX)
- **Kansas City Region** (IA, KS, MN, MO, ND, NE, SD)
- **Memphis Region** (AR, KY, LA, MS, TN)
- **New York Region** (DC, DE, MD, NJ, NY, PA, PR, VI)
- **San Francisco Region** (AK, AZ, CA, FJ, FM, GU, HI, ID, MT, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY)

Single copy subscriptions of the *Regional Outlook* can be obtained by sending the subscription form found on the back cover to the FDIC Public Information Center. Contact the Public Information Center for current pricing on bulk orders.

The *Regional Outlook* is available on-line by visiting the FDIC’s website at www.fdic.gov/publish/regout. For more information or to provide comments or suggestions about the Boston Region’s *Regional Outlook*, please call Daniel Frye at (781) 320-1792 or send an e-mail to dfrye@fdic.gov.

The views expressed in the *Regional Outlook* are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect official positions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Some of the information used in the preparation of this publication was obtained from publicly available sources that are considered reliable. However, the use of this information does not constitute an endorsement of its accuracy by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

- Chairman: Donna Tanoue
- Director, Division of Insurance: Arthur J. Murton
- Executive Editor: George E. French
- Editors: Lynn A. Nejezchleb, Maureen E. Sweeney
- Publications Manager: Teresa J. Franks
To the Reader:

I am pleased to announce the first publication of the *Regional Outlook National Edition*. Since its inception, the *Regional Outlook* has been published quarterly in eight separate volumes that were tailored specifically for each FDIC Region. This format was ideal for the reader who wanted detailed analysis of a specific Region.

Beginning this quarter, the FDIC will publish in a single volume the highlights of our Regional analysis of trends affecting FDIC-insured institutions across the United States. The eight unabridged Regional editions will continue to be published for readers who want more detailed information about trends in their Regions. All editions will continue to offer the In Focus series of articles on trends affecting the risk exposures of FDIC-insured institutions.

For example, this quarter the In Focus series highlights important trends affecting commercial lenders and consumer lenders. The Regional Perspectives articles explore in more detail how these and other trends may affect FDIC-insured institutions around the United States.

Both the Regional and National editions are available by subscription or on the FDIC’s website at www.fdic.gov. As always, we welcome your comments on the content or format of this publication. Please refer to the back cover and inside the front cover for information about how to subscribe or comment.

Sincerely,

George French  
Executive Editor
High Loan-to-Value Lending: A New Frontier in Home Equity Lending

• High loan-to-value (HLTV) loans are typically junior liens on owner-occupied single-family residences, but there is limited collateral protection because the combined loan amounts often exceed the value of the home.

• Nonbank, specialty lenders have dominated this line of business, and their growth has been fueled by strong demand for asset-backed securities collateralized by HLTV loans.

• Insured depository institution involvement in HLTV lending has been increasing, and opportunities for further involvement opened up when market turmoil resulted in a contraction of HLTV specialists.

• HLTV lending involves unique risks because it combines characteristics of both secured home equity lending and unsecured consumer lending.

Just a few years ago, it would have been difficult to imagine a mainstream lender writing a home equity loan in excess of the equity that the consumer had in his or her home. However, intense competition and declining profit margins in traditional home equity lending have lenders looking to boost volume and profits by relaxing underwriting standards. At the same time, consumers are signaling their desire to transfer their credit card balances to lower-costing home equity loans. These trends have given lenders, including insured depository institutions, the impetus to enter the HLTV home equity market. Furthermore, new opportunities have opened up for insured depository institutions to get involved in HLTV lending as a result of turmoil in the equity and asset-backed securities market, which resulted in a severe liquidity crisis that effectively sidelined many HLTV specialists.

It could be said that the home equity industry owes its resurgence to the boom in credit card lending that preceded it, because today’s home equity borrowers primarily use these loans to consolidate their outstanding debt. A survey by the Consumer Bankers Association found that, whereas in 1991 home improvement was the primary reason for taking out a home equity loan, debt consolidation is now the primary reason, with 40 percent of borrowers using a home equity loan for this purpose in 1997. This shift also is evidenced by another recent survey by Brittain Associates, Inc., which estimated that during a 24-month period ending June 1998, 4.2 million households converted $26 billion in credit card debt to home equity mortgage debt. Given the high levels of credit card debt on households’ balance sheets, it should be no surprise that consumers with other borrowing options are looking for ways to consolidate their debt and reduce their borrowing costs.

CHART 1

Originations of Home Equity Loans Have Surpassed Originations of Credit Card Loans in the Past Two Years

Source: Inside MBS & ABS
HLTV Loans Are Hybrid Loans

HLTV loans are considered hybrid loans and can be thought of as a marriage between secured lending and unsecured credit card loans. HLTV loans are typically junior liens on owner-occupied single-family residences where the combined loan amounts exceed the value of the home—sometimes by as much as 125 to 150 percent. Some lenders also make HLTV first mortgages, which enable consumers to finance their down payment and closing costs and consolidate other debts.

In return for pledging their home as collateral, borrowers are charged lower rates of interest than on unsecured consumer loans. Even at 125 to 150 percent loan-to-value, the rates on HLTV loans generally are lower than credit card loans. In 1997, the average rate on an HLTV was 13 to 14 percent, whereas the average rate on a credit card loan was 16 percent. Because HLTV loans carry lower interest rates and are long-term loans (15 to 30 years), the monthly payment on one is often considerably less than the total monthly payments on the loans that were paid off in the consolidation.

HLTV loans also appeal to consumers because they can benefit from the tax deductibility on a portion of their interest payments. Current IRS rules allow interest to be deducted on that portion of the loan that is equal to or less than the value of the home at the time the loan is closed.

The primary disadvantage of converting unsecured credit card and other consumer debt to an HLTV loan is that in the event of default, the borrower could lose his or her home. However, many consumers burdened by the high cost of unsecured consumer debt apparently have viewed the chance to lower their monthly payments as worth the risk. HLTV loans have been particularly popular in California, where property value declines in the early 1990s left homeowners with little or no equity in their homes. The inset box shows the typical characteristics of an HLTV loan and an HLTV borrower.

Underwriting of HLTV Loans Emphasizes the Borrower’s Credit Quality

Because of their limited or nonexistent collateral protection, HLTV loans typically are considered unsecured loans and the emphasis in underwriting is on the borrower’s credit quality rather than on collateral value. Large HLTV lenders use credit scoring to underwrite their loans, and a major component of their scoring is a credit bureau or Fair, Isaac Company (FICO) score. Other important factors are the borrower’s debt-to-income ratio, mortgage credit history, consumer credit history, bankruptcies, foreclosures, notice of defaults, deeds in lieu of foreclosure, and repossessions.

HLTV loans are not necessarily subprime loans; the term “subprime” refers to the credit quality of the borrower rather than the margin of collateral protection. Instead, many lenders assert that HLTV loans are made to “prime” borrowers and can point to the fact that FICO scores on HLTV loans have been rising, averaging approximately 689 in 1998. Scores above 680 are generally associated with “A” credit quality; however, the average ignores the fact that major HLTV lenders allow FICO scores to go much lower, typically as low as 620.1 Standard & Poor’s recently reported that performance problems clearly exist on loans for which the borrower’s FICO score is below 650.2

The agencies that rate asset-backed securities collateralized by HLTV loans offer another perspective on the credit quality of HLTV borrowers. Moody’s has described HLTV borrowers as in the “A–” to “B” grades, and Standard & Poor’s has characterized the loans as “A–” to “B+” in terms of credit quality. Any grade below A can be considered subprime. Indeed, according to Mortgage Information Corp., the bulk of subprime mortgage activity occurs in the A– category. However, some analysts have preferred to characterize HLTV borrowers as squarely in between the subprime and prime designations.

Whatever the label given to the quality of borrowers, they typically have a large amount of high-cost revolving debt, and converting this debt into a second lien on their home is an attractive alternative. They also might have some degree of poor credit performance. Table 1 shows the underwriting criteria used by one of the largest HLTV lenders. Because underwriting classification systems are not uniformly applied among HLTV lenders, this table should be viewed only as a guide.

**Fueled by Easy Access to Capital, HLTV Loans Have Grown Rapidly**

On the basis of the volume of loans securitized, the HLTV loan market has expanded rapidly over the past several years (see Chart 2). Originations have grown from $1 billion in 1995 to $8 billion in 1997 and are expected to be around $12 billion in 1998.

Nonbank, specialty finance companies presently dominate the HLTV market, and their easy access to capital has been an important factor behind their growth. These specialists depend on their ability to securitize the loans and sell them in the asset-backed securities market. Strong investor demand for all kinds of asset-backed securities has allowed HLTV lenders to raise a substantial amount of funding without a strong degree of corporate credit quality. However, their reliance on the asset-backed securities market to fund operations exposes them to liquidity risk if demand for these securities declines.

A healthy initial public offering market also has fueled the growth of these specialty lenders, and gain-on-sale accounting has allowed lenders to establish an earnings track record and attract debt and equity investors. Gain-on-sale accounting requires securitizers to calculate and record a gain on sale from securitizations; however, the use of gain-on-sale accounting exposes lenders to prepayment risk. If the securitized loans prepay at a faster

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualifying Parameters</th>
<th>A+</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FICO Score</strong></td>
<td>700+</td>
<td>680-699</td>
<td>660-679</td>
<td>640-659</td>
<td>629-639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortgage History (Past 12 Months)</strong></td>
<td>1x30*</td>
<td>1x30</td>
<td>1x30</td>
<td>1x30</td>
<td>1x30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bankruptcy (Years since Discharge)</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Debt to Income (%)</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Loan to Value</strong></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Cashout</strong></td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Loan Amount</strong></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number of times delinquent multiplied by days delinquent.

Note: HLTV = High loan-to-value; FICO = Fair, Isaac Company.

Source: The 10K filing of a major HLTV lender.
rate than the assumption used to calculate the gain, the company could be forced to take a write-down, which can affect earnings, liquidity, and capitalization. Institutions that invest in securities collateralized by HLTV loans also are exposed to prepayment risk. (For more information on gain-on-sale accounting, see “Gain-on-Sale Accounting Can Result in Unstable Capital Ratios and Volatile Earnings” in Regional Outlook, second quarter 1998.)

In addition to the easy access to capital, favorable economic conditions also have encouraged HLTV lending. The long economic expansion has brought about the return of home price appreciation to nearly all parts of the country, which has encouraged HLTV lending because rising home values serve to reduce lender and investor exposure fairly quickly. The median sales price of existing single-family residences has increased an average of 4.39 percent per year since 1995, according to the National Association of Realtors.

Market Turmoil Hit HLTV Specialty Lenders Hard

The market volatility that began last summer illustrated the importance of liquidity risk and prepayment risk. HLTV specialists were faced with a liquidity crunch when they were hit hard in the equity downturn, in many cases significantly harder than the general market. Investors retreated from HLTV lenders and their asset-backed securities, in part as a result of a “flight to quality” as the Asian crisis spilled over into other global markets. A core of investors participating in the HLTV market also exited the market when prepayments occurred at faster rates than anticipated, largely a result of lower market interest rates, and forced lenders to take write-downs of interest-only residuals. Another factor in the investor retreat from this market was the growing skepticism concerning the performance of HLTV loans during an economic downturn.

When investor demand for asset-backed securities dried up, HLTV lenders were unable to securitize their loans profitably. This situation created a severe liquidity crisis for specialty lenders who relied heavily upon this source of funding. As a result, some lenders were forced to put themselves up for sale, and others were forced to undergo massive restructuring.

HLTV Lending Presents Unique Risks to Home Equity Lenders

In addition to the risks associated with the securitization of HLTV loans (liquidity and prepayment risk), HLTV lending poses some unique risks for lenders who hold these loans in their portfolio, service them, or guaranty the performance of asset-backed securities. Because HLTV loans are a relatively new product, their credit risk is untested and could be affected by the following factors:

- **Increased rate of default.** Data on the performance of HLTV loans are limited; however, the loss potential is starting to become visible when vintage analysis is performed. Chart 3, next page, shows that when recent vintages are adjusted for seasoning, charge-off rates on HLTV loans are increasing at a rapid rate. Both the severity and frequency of default are much higher than for traditional A-quality home equity loans and are even higher than subprime home equity loans. The fact that charge-offs are higher than subprime loans suggests that the credit quality of HLTV borrowers is not too different from subprime borrowers and that when default occurs, the loss is more severe because of the lack of collateral protection. Lenders who do not accurately forecast the magnitude and costs of default associated with HLTV loans, or who make underwriting mistakes, might find that this line of business is not as profitable as anticipated.

Lenders that rapidly increase HLTV exposures might consider the use of vintage analysis, also called “static pool” analysis, as a means of evaluating loan portfolio performance. This technique is effective when there is rapid growth, which can make it more difficult to accurately track delinquency and default trends when only an average delinquency or default ratio is calculated. Refer to “Subprime Lending: A Time for Caution” in Regional Outlook, third quarter 1997, for additional discussion on vintage analysis.

- **Untested performance in a recession.** HLTV lending has existed for only a few years and has yet to be tested by economic recession. The rapid rise in charge-offs on HLTV loans has occurred in a relatively robust economic environment, and the losses during an economic downturn could be considerably
higher than anticipated. Moody’s has asserted that losses will mimic those of credit cards, and losses on credit card loans are usually higher than other consumer mortgage-related products.

HLTV lenders’ heavy reliance on credit-scoring models raises further questions about how these loans might perform in a recession because these models are largely unproven in a recession as well. To improve the accuracy of credit-scoring models and the model’s ability to appropriately price the risk assumed, lenders can continually test and refine credit-scoring models to ensure that actual performance approximates projections.

Changes in the borrower’s financial condition present a greater risk to HLTV lenders than in other types of secured lending, which introduces additional credit risks. In the event of default, the lender is likely to suffer a complete loss because foreclosure is probably infeasible and the size of HLTV loans is much larger than other types of unsecured or partially secured loans. Therefore, adverse changes in the borrower’s financial condition are very important and can be affected by the following factors:

- **Debt reloading.** The primary reason consumers take out an HLTV loan is to consolidate credit card and other high-cost consumer debt. However, lenders cannot prevent HLTV borrowers from running up additional credit card debt after the loan is made. Consequently, these loans might serve to only postpone or amplify credit problems. A recent survey by Brittain Associates, Inc., indicates that a large percentage of borrowers who take out home equity loans proceed to run up credit card debt shortly thereafter. Their survey of over 6,000 borrowers who used home equity loans to consolidate their debts revealed that only 30 percent of those borrowers remained free of credit card debt one year later.

- **Long-term exposure.** Consumer loans typically have been made on a short-term basis; however, HLTV loans are made for terms up to 30 years. Therefore, the credit quality on an HLTV loan is more vulnerable to catastrophic events such as borrower job loss, illness, or divorce. Furthermore, the term of these loans far exceeds the predictive power of FICO scores, which have proven to be predictive for about a two-year period according to Moody’s.

Because of their fixed terms and limited collateral protection, there are some unique operational risks associated with servicing and collecting an HLTV loan. Servicing and collecting an HLTV loan differs somewhat from servicing and collecting both secured lending and credit card lending because of the following factors:

- **Limited default remedies.** The servicing and collecting of HLTV loans are complicated by the fact that the threat of foreclosure is not as severe as in traditional secured lending. According to Moody’s, HLTV lenders must adopt a collection strategy similar to credit card lenders that will require early intervention and the ability to “talk” the borrower into

---

**Chart 3**

**Charge-Offs Are Rising More Rapidly on HLTV Loans Than for Any Other Type of Home Equity Loan (1996 and 1997 Origination Years); ...**

**Chart 4**

...However, Delinquency Rates on HLTV Loans Are Lower Than on Subprime Loans Because Loans Are Charged Off Sooner (1996 and 1997 Origination Years)
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making a payment without resorting to foreclosure. However, unlike credit card lenders, HLTV lenders have less flexibility in collection because lines cannot be adjusted and interest rates cannot be raised. The different demands for servicing and collecting these loans, compared with traditional and subprime home equity loans, could strain institutions that do not have an adequate investment or expertise in collecting these loans.

According to Moody’s, HLTV lenders generally write off their loans as a loss once they become 180 days delinquent. In contrast, subprime lenders go through a lengthy foreclosure procedure. The speedier resolution of HLTV loans is reflected in a lower level of delinquencies in HLTV portfolios compared with subprime portfolios (see Chart 4, previous page).

• **Limited borrower flexibility and motivation.** After a borrower has taken out an HLTV loan, opportunities to refinance are limited, and selling the home often is not feasible because of the large amount of cash needed at closing. As a result, counseling borrowers might prove to be harder than in credit card lending. Also, with negative equity in their homes, borrowers might have less incentive and ability to work with the lender to bring the loan current than to allow foreclosure.

**Insured Depository Institution Involvement in HLTV Lending Is Increasing**

Insured depository institution involvement in HLTV lending reportedly has been growing. Their precise involvement is difficult to quantify because these loans are not delineated in bank or thrift Call Reports. However, one indication of their growing involvement is cited in the Consumer Bankers Association 1998 home equity loan study. Twenty-five percent of respondents to its survey offered home equity loans with loan-to-values in excess of 100 percent, up from only 5.8 percent one year earlier.

Banks and thrifts can become involved in HLTV lending by using a variety of strategies. They can lend directly to HLTV borrowers or purchase HLTV loans from loan brokers and hold them in portfolio. Institutions also can originate the loans and securitize them or sell them to another company that will securitize them. A more indirect way for insured depository institutions to get involved in this market is to lend to HLTV specialty lenders in the form of warehouse lines. Institutions also can service HLTV loans or invest in asset-backed securities secured by HLTV loans.

In light of the contraction of HLTV specialists, the question arises as to whether banks will view this as an opportunity to further expand their presence in HLTV lending, given that consumer demand for these products is still strong. Recent press reports indicate that this is happening, as some insured depository institutions recently have piloted HLTV lending programs by buying loans and keeping them in portfolio. Another way that banks have recently become involved in HLTV lending is by investing in HLTV specialists. Many HLTV specialists have been looking for opportunities to affiliate with firms that have plentiful and stable sources of liquidity (“deep pockets”), and insured depository institutions have been viewed as ideal candidates. Several of the largest HLTV specialists have an insured depository institution as an affiliate.

**Insured Depository Institutions Are Subject to Real Estate Lending Standards**

Unlike many of the specialty finance companies, insured depository institutions are subject to regulations prescribed by the federal supervisory agencies. In 1992, the federal banking and thrift supervisory agencies finalized a uniform regulation and interagency guidelines for real estate. The regulation, in part, requires institutions to adopt and maintain written policies that establish appropriate limits and standards for all real estate loans, including HLTV loans. When a bank adopts a policy, the regulation requires consideration of the Interagency Guidelines for Real Estate Lending. These guidelines state that institutions should establish their own internal loan-to-value limits for real estate loans; however, they also indicate that the internal limits should not exceed 90 percent on a home equity loan. The guidelines recognize that it might be appro-
Appropriate to deviate from these guidelines and state that loans made in exception to these guidelines should be identified in the institution’s records and reported to the board of directors at least quarterly. Furthermore, the guidelines state that the aggregate amount of all loans in excess of the supervisory loan-to-value limits should not exceed 100 percent of total capital.

**Conclusion**

HLTV lending has provided a new option for consumers to work their way out from under burdensome credit card debt. It also has provided lenders with a new and potentially profitable line of business. Insured depository institution involvement in this line of business is growing and could continue to grow, especially if liquidity problems that have affected HLTV specialists continue. As with any line of business, success is dependent upon understanding the particular nature of the HLTV business and making the appropriate commitment of resources and expertise. With HLTV lending, there are unique risks involved because of the compound nature of these loans, which contain characteristics of both a secured home equity loan and an unsecured consumer loan. The risks involved in HLTV lending are further heightened by the fact that the performance of these loans is largely untested in an adverse economic environment.

*Diane Ellis, Senior Financial Analyst*
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Commercial Development Still Hot in Many Major Markets, but Slower Growth May Be Ahead

• Oversupply within commercial real estate markets typically arises from the difficulty developers face in accurately predicting future demand for a given project, particularly when projections are based on temporary or unsustainable increases in demand. Easy access to investment capital in the form of lower borrowing rates or relaxed underwriting standards can exacerbate the overproduction of space.

• This analysis identifies nine major metropolitan markets believed to be vulnerable to broad-based overbuilding. This vulnerability stems from rapid ongoing development across multiple property types, which threatens to outpace absorption or demand levels over the next one to two years. Overbuilding concerns are heightened by cyclical and secular demographic and economic trends that portend lower demand for commercial space.

• Trends in the capital markets may have tempered the appetite for further development in some rapidly expanding metro areas. Should such trends continue, construction activity could moderate, thereby mitigating some of the overbuilding concerns expressed in this article.

Since the boom development years of the 1980s, and the bust that followed, the financial community has devoted considerable resources to analyzing commercial real estate trends. The primary purpose of these efforts is to detect, as early as possible, warning signs of potential imbalances between supply and demand. The markets highlighted in this article are considered vulnerable to possible overbuilding on the basis of various early warning signs. Each of these markets is experiencing rapid commercial real estate development across multiple property types. In addition, each market exhibits one or more of the following characteristics: high vacancy rates relative to the pace of development, declining employment growth trends, declining in-migration trends, projected increases in vacancy rates by credible industry experts, and significant dependence on industry sectors vulnerable to either weak Asian markets or a slowing domestic economy.

The term “vulnerable” is used here to signify a potential, as opposed to a certain, outcome. In previous cyclical downturns, falling commercial real estate values were preceded by economic events that resulted in lower demand: Declining energy prices preceded the mid-1980s decline in Southwestern real estate markets; weaknesses in the financial sector preceded the late 1980s decline in Northwestern real estate markets; and sharp defense cutbacks preceded the early 1990s decline in Southern California real estate markets. It remains to be seen whether weakening Asian markets or prospects for slower economic growth serve as catalysts for slower commercial real estate demand in the current cycle. Whatever the catalyst, markets most affected by a downturn in real estate values will be those in which optimistic expectations, the basis for current construction activity, fall farthest from the mark.

Why Do Markets Become Overbuilt?

Commercial property developers often face substantial lags between a project’s conception and its completion: The longer the construction period, the greater the uncertainty surrounding demand projections. These risks can be largely mitigated if the developer enters into presale contracts or preleasing agreements with financially sound parties prior to breaking ground on construction. However, it is not unusual in rapidly developing and highly competitive markets for developers to anticipate or “speculate” what demand levels will be, based on current trends. If the market in question is experiencing a period of temporary or unsustainable growth (a “boom” period, for example), then projections may lead to an overly optimistic outlook for future demand, particularly when forecasts are weighted heavily toward recent rental, sales, and demographic trends. Projection error also arises from

---

1 In fall 1998, the FDIC’s Division of Insurance published a report ranking the risk of overbuilding within major metropolitan markets (see “Ranking the Risk of Overbuilding in Commercial Real Estate Markets,” Bank Trends, October 1998). This paper, which was based mainly on market information as of year-end 1997, highlighted six major metropolitan areas where the rapid pace of current construction activities raised concerns over the potential for broad-based overbuilding.

2 "Broad-based overbuilding" signifies potential overbuilding in two or more of five property types: office, industrial, retail, apartment, and hotel.
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the failure to consider competitors’ planned development activities.

If a developer’s demand projections fail to materialize, the result is an overhang of commercial property beyond what the market can absorb during a reasonable time frame. Easy access to investment capital can exacerbate overproduction of space by reducing or eliminating incentives to make reasoned and prudent investment decisions. Excessive leverage, where the developer has little personal capital at risk on a particular project, is a familiar example often associated with the excessive development of the 1980s. Loan pricing that fails to adequately account for the risks involved in a construction project is another example of how financing incentives could lead to imprudent development decisions.

Ranking the Risks of Overbuilding

The October 1998 Bank Trends study employed a three-step process to rank the vulnerability of markets to possible overbuilding. First, major metropolitan markets were ranked in terms of current construction activity relative to existing space for each of five property types: office, industrial, retail, apartment, and hotel. Second, relative construction activity was compared with current vacancy rates to assess the competitive pressures faced by newly developed projects. Third, market-related research was reviewed to determine which markets analysts considered candidates for possible supply/demand imbalances. Although the same approach was used in this updated analysis, additional factors were considered, including employment and population growth trends, the dependence of rapidly developing metropolitan areas on specific employers or industries, and the relationship between current economic trends and the potential demand for commercial real estate space.

Most Active Construction Markets

Charts 1 through 5 show the level of construction activity, for each property type, relative to the total stock of space as of June 30, 1998, for the top 15 major metropolitan markets. Although slowing somewhat, development in the Las Vegas market continues to lead all other markets in relative terms for office, industrial, and hotel construction. Las Vegas is also among the most active markets in apartment and retail construction. Other markets experiencing rapid development across multiple property types include Salt Lake City, Charlotte, Atlanta, Portland, Phoenix, Orlando, Dallas, Austin, Nashville, Jacksonville, and Seattle. As the charts show, office, industrial, apartment, and hotel construction activity rose from year-end 1997 levels among a majority of the fastest-developing markets. Retail development, however, appears to have slowed in a majority of the most active development markets during the first half of 1998.

Comparing Construction Activity with Vacancy Rates

Newly completed speculative projects must compete with existing vacant space. Accordingly, it is worthwhile to compare measures of relative construction

Chart 1

Top 15 Office Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashville</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Lauderdale</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes last four quarters’ completions and projects under construction for: ■ June 1998 ■ December 1997

Source: CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton

---

3 Construction activity generally refers to recent completions plus projects in process of being built. In the case of office and industrial properties, the source of data is CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton Research, and construction activity refers to completions for the last four quarters plus projects under construction. For all other property types, the source is F.W. Dodge and ERE Yarmouth, and construction activity refers to completions, projects in process of being built, starts, and pending projects.
In Focus This Quarter

**Chart 2**
Top 15 Industrial Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton

*Includes last four quarters' completions and projects under construction for:
  - June 1998
  - December 1997

**Chart 3**
Top 15 Retail Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: F. W. Dodge, Lend Lease Investment Research

*Includes completions, starts, projects being built, and pending projects as of:
  - June 1998
  - December 1997

**Chart 4**
Top 15 Apartment Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashville</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: F. W. Dodge, Lend Lease Investment Research

*Includes completions, starts, projects being built, and pending projects as of:
  - June 1998
  - December 1997

**Chart 5**
Top 15 Hotel Construction Markets*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>% of Stock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Average</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: F. W. Dodge, Lend Lease Investment Research

*Includes completions, starts, projects being built, and pending projects as of:
  - June 1998
  - December 1997
activity with current vacancy rates (as shown in Charts 6 and 7 for office and industrial space). The main idea behind these charts is that market segments with high existing vacancy rates raise the degree of competitive pressure for newly built space; markets with high vacancies may have less justification for continuing increases in new stock.

In the office sector, Las Vegas stands out as having the highest level of new development combined with high existing vacancy rates. Although the pace of development is markedly slower, office markets in both Atlanta and Dallas appear to be expanding rapidly despite high existing vacancy rates. In the industrial sector, Las Vegas, Atlanta, Riverside, Salt Lake City, and Phoenix all appear to be experiencing rapid development despite relatively high existing vacancy rates.

**Analyst Outlooks for Commercial Real Estate**

**Advocate Caution**

The first six months of 1998 saw continuing strong market fundamentals in most major markets and most property types: CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton Research (CBC) reported continuing nationwide declines in office and industrial vacancy rates accompanied by increasing rental growth rates,\(^7\) Wheat First Union (Wheat) reported improvements in occupancy and rental rates across the 30 major apartment markets it follows,\(^1\) and Smith Travel Research (Smith) reported continuing improvements in average daily room rates despite a modest decline in occupancy rates for the lodging sector (through the first nine months of 1998).\(^6\) The performance of the retail sector has been more mixed, as indicated by a significant decline in estimated rental growth rates from 1996 to 1997 (CBC) while retail vacancy rates have held steady over the past 12 months (F.W. Dodge).\(^7\)

Despite these generally positive trends, market observers are becoming more cautious about the outlook for commercial real estate markets. Much of their concern stems from significant increases in projected supply in the face of moderating absorption rates. CBC, for example, projects that nationwide office vacancy rates will rise from 9.3 percent as of June 1998 to 12.1 percent by June 2000 as a result of a sharp increase in completions combined with moderating absorption. Markets with the highest and most significant increases in projected office vacancy rates are highlighted in a recent Lehman Brothers study, which identifies 17 office markets as “danger zones.”\(^8\)

Analysts have also raised concerns over rapid development in other property types. F.W. Dodge, for instance, anticipates a sharp rise in the ratio of retail completions

---


\(^{7}\) These markets are Salt Lake City, Columbus, Austin, Nashville, Charlotte, Orlando, Las Vegas, Baltimore, Atlanta, Dallas, Phoenix, Philadelphia, Indianapolis, Chicago, Sacramento, Miami, and Houston (Lehman Brothers, Commercial REIT Research: Eye on Office Markets, October 1998).

\(^{8}\) CB Commercial/Torto Wheaton Research, The Office Outlook, The Industrial Outlook, and The Retail Outlook, Fall 1998.

to absorptions over the coming two years. In addition, the pace of hotel development has picked up substantially over the past two years to levels not seen since the late 1980s (see Chart 8). According to Smith, hotel completions continue to outpace demand and are expected to result in lower occupancy levels in 1999. For the apartment sector, Wheat cautions against a continuing escalation in apartment permits despite some expected slowing in employment growth in various markets over the coming 12 months.¹

**Economic Conditions May Temper Commercial Real Estate Demand**

The nation’s economy has shown unprecedented resiliency, even as some indicators suggest that growth may moderate in the near term. For instance, weakened global markets have placed increasing pressures on exporters, who have seen a falloff in demand in the wake of weaker foreign currencies relative to the dollar. Domestic firms, too, face rising competition from cheaper imports. These factors have created negative near-term expectations for corporate profitability, which in turn have resulted in rising layoffs and slowing employment growth. Although most economists feel that prospects for a recession are remote in the near term, even a modest slowdown in economic growth could result in higher vacancy rates in markets experiencing rapid development.

Over the longer term, various economic and demographic trends imply a weaker outlook for commercial real estate demand relative to past real estate cycles. In a recent analysis of the commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) market, Moody’s identifies the following trends, each of which implies secular declines in demand for one or more property types:¹⁰

- more efficient office space utilization as measured by continuing declines in square feet per worker;
- more efficient inventory management as measured by a proportional increase in the ratio of inventory growth to growth in warehouse space;
- shifts in spending patterns by baby boomers, the largest age cohort, away from goods and toward services;
- declining scrappage rates of obsolescent buildings because of a decline in the average age of the current stock of space relative to the comparable stage of prior cycles; and
- expected declines in labor force growth as the proportion of older workers increases.

In addition to these factors, other analysts have pointed out that tight labor markets and overtaxed infrastructure (e.g., water, roads, sewer, and public transportation) constrain demand by limiting growth within a particular market. Suburban areas that have seen the bulk of new construction over the past few years may be particularly hard hit if there is a backlash against the congestion and infrastructure capacity issues that accompany rapid growth.¹¹

**Markets Most Vulnerable to Overbuilding**

Based on a review of supply and demand trends coupled with analyst opinions and projections, the following markets appear to be most vulnerable to broad-based overbuilding in the coming one to two years (see also Table 1, next page, for prevailing trends in these markets). These markets are discussed in more detail in the Regional Perspectives section.

---

¹ Wheat specifically notes deteriorating supply/demand ratios in Dallas, Houston, Orlando, Charlotte, Nashville, and the San Francisco Bay area.


¹¹ See, for example, Price Waterhouse/Lend Lease Investment Research, *Emerging Trends in Real Estate 1999*. 
Las Vegas

Las Vegas’s hotel, office, and industrial development far surpasses that of other major markets, with ratios of construction activity to current space of 37 percent, 19 percent, and 12 percent, respectively. Rapid development is occurring despite high and increasing office and industrial vacancy rates, which place additional competitive burdens on newly completed space. The area’s retail and apartment sectors are also developing rapidly, ranking third and fourth, respectively, among major markets. Although Las Vegas continues to enjoy one of the fastest employment growth rates in the country, the rate of job growth has slowed considerably from 1994 to 1996 levels. Its real estate markets are highly dependent on the gaming sector, which could be especially vulnerable to a nationwide slowdown in economic activity. The city would be particularly hard hit by a downturn in real estate prices, as fully 10 percent of its workforce is employed in the construction sector (twice the national rate).

Nashville

Nashville ranks among the top ten metro markets in office and apartment development, with ratios of construction activity to existing space of 10 percent and 6 percent, respectively. Although not among the top 15 markets, Nashville’s hotel sector is expanding rapidly as well (construction activity stands at 12 percent of current space). Nashville’s economy is reported to be slowing because of recent losses in manufacturing-sector jobs and slowing net-migration rates. The rapid pace of development has recently placed downward pressure on office, industrial, and hotel occupancy rates.

Salt Lake City

Salt Lake City ranks among the top five markets in the nation in office, industrial, and hotel development, with ratios of construction activity to current space of 14 percent, 6 percent, and 27 percent, respectively. The main drivers behind the area’s rapid development have been high-tech corporate expansions, population in-migration, and preparation for the 2002 Winter Olympic Games. However, both job growth and in-migration rates are slowing, which could result in lower absorption rates for commercial space in the near term. Over

---

12 See the November 1998 issue of the Federal Reserve Board’s Beige Book.

---

**Table 1**

| Markets Most Vulnerable to Overbuilding: Summary of Trends and Expectations |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1H97 to 1H98 Vacancy Rates | Construction Activity ('95 to 1H98) | Employment Growth Trends | Net-Migration Trends | Projected Vacancy Rate Trends |
| Las Vegas | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Atlanta | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Nashville | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Salt Lake City | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Charlotte | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Portland | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Phoenix | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Dallas | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |
| Orlando | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ | ➔ |

**Note:** Arrows for vacancy and construction are intended to capture prevailing trends across all property types; experience with respect to a specific property type in a particular area may differ. H = half.

**Sources:** CB Commercial, F.W. Dodge, Regional Financial Associates, and Smith Travel Association.
the longer term, analysts have expressed concerns that
development and job growth attributable to the
Olympics will result in a significant glut of space fol-
lowing the Winter Games.

Charlotte
Charlotte ranks among the top five metro areas in
office, retail, and apartment development, with ratios of
construction activity to current space of 15 percent, 7
percent, and 6 percent, respectively. The area’s hotel
sector is also developing rapidly. Charlotte’s real estate
markets are highly dependent on the health of the finan-
cial industry, which has been the primary driver of
development activity. However, job growth in the finan-
cial services sector has recently slowed, and the manu-
ufacturing sector (which accounts for 19 percent of all
jobs) is experiencing net job losses.

Portland
Portland has the third most active hotel and apartment
development in the nation, with ratios of construction
activity to current space of 25 percent and 7 percent,
respectively. The area’s office market is also expanding
rapidly. Portland’s development has been driven largely
by in-migration and job growth in the technology sector.
However, because of the significance of exports to the
overall economy (exports to Asia account for approxi-
mately 7 percent of Oregon’s gross state product), the
technology sector is particularly vulnerable to weak
Asian markets. Accordingly, job growth has moderated,
reaching its lowest level in five years. The area has also
experienced a recent decline in construction-sector jobs.
Although still strong, in-migration rates have fallen
from 1996 levels.

Phoenix
Phoenix ranks among the top ten metro markets in
industrial, retail, hotel, and apartment development,
with ratios of construction activity to existing space of
6 percent, 6 percent, 18 percent, and 6 percent, respec-
tively. The area is also experiencing rapid develop-
ment in the office sector. Phoenix has one of the fastest-
growing job markets in the country. Although still
strong relative to the nation, employment growth has
slowed somewhat since 1996, as has the rate of in-
migration. The prominence of the semiconductor and
high-tech businesses makes Phoenix especially vulner-
able to the economic slowdown in Asia.

Dallas
Dallas ranks among the top ten metro markets in office,
hotel, and apartment development, with ratios of con-
struction activity to existing space of 10 percent, 19 percent, and 6
percent, respectively. The area is also experiencing rapid develop-
ment in the retail sector. Dallas’s economy remains one of the
fastest growing in the country, and in-migration to the area continues
to rise. However, economic growth
has slowed somewhat recently because of weakening
high-tech and energy sectors. Although its industrial
base is more diversified today than in the mid-1980s,
Dallas remains exposed to a large energy sector, whose
profits are vulnerable to declining oil and energy prices.
Concerns over the volume of planned office develop-
ment have led to widely published reports of curtail-
ments in credit availability to speculative office
projects. Although tighter credit availability may ease
pressures on vacancy rates over the long term, the mar-
ket will still have to absorb the large volume of space
presently under construction, much of which is specula-
tive.

Orlando
Orlando ranks among the top three metro markets in
office, retail, and apartment development, with ratios of
construction activity to existing space of 15 percent, 9
percent, and 7 percent, respectively. Of the nine markets
discussed in this article, Orlando’s current pace of con-
struction is perhaps easiest to support, thanks to rising
employment and in-migration growth. However, despite
strong employment growth, office vacancy rates have
edged higher over the past 12 months because of the
rapid pace of construction. Orlando may be more vul-
nerable than other metropolitan areas to a slowdown in
the national economy owing to its dependence on the
tourism sector.
Credit Availability Affects the Pace of Commercial Development

CMBS and real estate investment trusts (REITs) have generated a significant share of funding for commercial real estate over the past several years.\(^\text{13}\) As a result, any disruption in CMBS and REIT markets strains credit availability for new commercial development. For instance, widening CMBS spreads in the wake of September’s market volatility have caused many issuers to either delay or cancel new CMBS issues. REITs, too, have reportedly curtailed purchases because of falling per-share values and a corresponding decline in equity issues to support acquisitions.

Weaknesses in the CMBS and REIT markets also may be dampening many lenders’ enthusiasm for commercial real estate development. Construction lenders will be less willing to make speculative loans to the extent that permanent funding is not available, and CMBS and REITs served as major providers of such funding. REITs were particularly aggressive purchasers in such markets as Atlanta, Orlando, and Dallas.\(^\text{14}\) Tightened construction lending conditions appear to be borne out by the November 1998 issue of the Federal Reserve Board’s Beige Book, which indicates that new construction for speculative commercial projects has either been curtailed or come to a virtual halt throughout many Federal Reserve districts, including Atlanta and Dallas. Most districts also reported tightened credit conditions and higher loan pricing, which could further dampen construction activity.

The turmoil faced by CMBS and REITs presents both opportunities and risks for banks. Many industry participants view tighter credit accessibility as a positive development in light of the rapid pace of construction, which, in some cases, has been accompanied by extremely tight loan pricing margins and a loosening of underwriting standards. However, some lenders may view the changing fortunes of CMBS and REITs as an opportunity to regain market share. In any case, it will take several months for recent market events to be fully reflected in hard numbers for construction activity. Whether tightening credit availability proves to be a temporary phenomenon given the recent, albeit gradual, recovery in CMBS spreads and the broad recovery in the equity markets, remains to be seen.

Summary

This article updates a previously published analysis that used year-end 1997 data to rank the potential vulnerability of major metropolitan areas to overbuilding. Using primarily midyear 1998 information, this update adds three markets to the six identified in the initial analysis as vulnerable to broad-based overbuilding. This assessment is based on a number of factors including construction activity trends, local area employment and population migration trends, as well as a collection of views and projections from credible industry analysts. For many of these markets, the prospects for near-term declines in commercial real estate demand may be increasing because of slower economic growth and weakened markets abroad. Certain secular demographic and economic trends also suggest the possibility of lower demand levels in the current cycle relative to prior cycles. Although data through June 1998 indicate ongoing rapid development, there is growing evidence that recent events in the capital markets have at least temporarily tempered the appetite for further development in some rapidly expanding metro areas. For these markets, most participants view the curtailment in credit availability in a positive light because it would serve to moderate the severe cyclical swings in real estate values experienced by several markets during the 1980s.

Steven Burton, Senior Banking Analyst

---

\(^{13}\) See “Strong Demand and Financial Innovation Fuel Rebounding Commercial Real Estate Markets” in Regional Outlook, fourth quarter 1997.

\(^{14}\) See Lehman Brothers, Commercial REIT Data Book, December 9, 1997.
Recent Trends in Syndicated Lending

• A strong U.S. economy, intensifying competition, and the increasing marketability of bank loans have driven record volumes of syndicated lending in the 1990s.

• After several years of liberalized underwriting, evidence suggests that some banks have tightened standards and terms for loans to large commercial borrowers.

• Market developments and underwriting trends over the past several years have implications for credit quality, earnings, and liquidity at institutions that hold or originate syndicated loans.

Commercial and industrial lending is a major source of revenue for commercial banks, yet this business line has lagged other major lending categories in terms of liquidity, standardization, and commoditization. However, in recent years the transformation of commercial lending has accelerated and is altering the way lenders do business. This trend has been particularly apparent in syndicated commercial lending. This article briefly defines syndicated loans, reviews the 1990s boom in the market, and discusses the implications of competitive pressures and secondary market liquidity for underwriting trends and risk profiles of commercial banks active in this market.

Syndicated Lending Overview

A syndicated loan is a credit extended to one large or medium-sized corporate borrower that is originated by a group, or syndicate, of lenders. Syndicated lending differs slightly from participation lending, which is common in commercial banks. Although both types of lending allow for flexibility in reducing company-specific risk and adhering to legal restrictions for loans to one borrower, only one lender originates a participation loan, which is then sold in undivided participation interests either concurrently or subsequently to third parties.1 A syndicate usually consists of a group of institutions that work closely on a number of deals that are sold to subscribers at origination.

Syndicated loans can generally be categorized according to rating, terms, pricing, or target investors. The investment-grade loan market, often referred to as the pro-rata or retail market, is the lowest-risk segment of syndicated lending and comprises approximately 80 percent of all volume originated from 1987 to 1997. These loans commonly take the form of liquidity backstops or lines of credit and are marketed to commercial bank investors. Loan Pricing Corporation (LPC) defines these credits as those rated BBB–/Baa3 or better, or nonrated deals with pricing equal to or less than rated deals in these bands. Near-investment-grade, leveraged, and highly leveraged markets, often referred to as B, C, and D tranche term loans or non-investment-grade loans, include credits with longer maturities, greater risk, and higher pricing. Non-investment-grade loans are typically structured for institutional investors and compete more directly with the traditional high-yield bond market. LPC defines non-investment-grade loans as those rated BB+/Ba1 or worse, or nonrated deals with pricing greater than deals graded BBB–.2

Competitive Trends in the Syndicated Loan Market

A handful of large U.S. commercial banking companies originate the vast majority of U.S. syndicated corporate credits across all quality types. According to LPC, 14 U.S. banking companies were among the top 25 syndicated lenders (based on the number of agent or co-agent transactions) and accounted for half of 1998 syndicated loan transactions to U.S. corporations through mid-November.3 In 1997, nine U.S. banking companies were among the top 25 and executed 36 percent of the market’s transactions. Before 1997, the most active domestic commercial banks saw their market share erode from a peak of 45 percent of transactions in 1992 to 34 percent in 1996, primarily because of intensifying competition from nontraditional syndicated lenders such as investment banks and foreign banks. Although U.S. banks have recently recovered market share (as Japanese banks have significantly withdrawn from the market), a strong U.S. economy, expanding liquidity in the bank loan market, and a trend toward one-stop shopping

in the financial services industry have attracted competitors to the syndicated market in the 1990s.

Syndicated Loan Liquidity

U.S. commercial banking companies retain or buy a large volume of syndicated loans, yet estimates show that most of the volume is sold to other institutional investors. Information from the shared national credit program\(^4\) indicates that at year-end 1997, FDIC-insured commercial banking companies had extended facilities and commitments totaling $1.8 trillion, of which an estimated $565 billion was funded. To put this figure in perspective, an official of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency estimated that 57 percent of outstanding syndicated loans were held by foreign banks; 26 percent by originating banks, mutual funds, and insurance companies; and 17 percent by subscribing banks.\(^5\)

Indeed, according to BankAmerica Corporation, the number of nonbank institutional investors in bank loans, including prime rate mutual funds, hedge funds, and insurance companies, increased from 14 in 1993 to more than 100 in 1998. These investors have played a pivotal role in enhancing the bank loan as a distinct asset class by increasing trading activity, demanding third-party loan ratings, and contributing to the development of loan derivative products.

Perhaps the most important new development in syndicated lending has been the deepening secondary market for bank loans as many new investors seek to purchase them. As shown in Chart 1, the volume of secondary trading in bank loans has grown sharply, more than tripling between 1994 and 1997 to over $60 billion. Trading in 1998 through the third quarter was on pace to top the 1997 level. Traded loans are often non-investment-grade issues, which have been the focus of most demand by the burgeoning institutional investor base. One important force behind the development of a bank loan secondary market has been rapid expansion in the number of bank loans rated by third-party rating services.

Independent credit ratings of bank loans were initiated in 1995 when “several years of rapid development in the syndicated bank loan market generated a critical mass of interest in the credit characteristics of these instruments.”\(^6\) Standard and Poor’s, Moody’s, Duff and Phelps, and Fitch/IBCA are now actively involved in rating bank loans. Through 1997, Standard and Poor’s and Moody’s combined rated $677 billion in loans. As rating activity increases access to and availability of standardized analysis and research for bank loans, including market analysis, ratings criteria, and historical loss recovery rates, investors are becoming more comfortable with loans as a distinct asset class. Moreover, independent loan ratings allow investors to value a company’s loans relative to its other rated loans or bonds.

Bank loan secondary market activity and independent ratings have prompted the development of new ways to package and improve the market acceptance of these assets. As a result, the securitization of bank loans and the development of various types of derivative products have proliferated. As discussed in “CLOs Lure Another Major Bank Asset off the Balance Sheet,” in Regional Outlook, third quarter 1998, collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) are a major market development allowing for the securitization of corporate loans. A large investor appetite for varied types of asset-backed securities and a desire to move assets off the balance sheet to lower risk-based capital requirements have helped promote a sharp increase in this type of securitization. Loan derivatives also may allow lenders to better manage the trade-off between maintaining borrower relationships and avoiding excessive concentrations of risk. This trade-off has become increasingly

\(^4\) The shared national credit program is a cooperative examination program conducted by the three federal banking agencies and cooperating state agencies to review large, complex credits held at multiple institutions. Loans subject to review are syndicated loans or groups of loans and commitments of $20 million or more shared by three or more supervised institutions.


important with the trend toward one-stop shopping in financial services.

**One-Stop Financial Providers**

For several years, analysts have noted a trend toward financial services supermarkets—financial institutions positioning themselves as providers of a complete array of advisory services and financial products. One aspect of this trend has been the tendency of traditional lenders to improve their ability to offer a full array of equity and debt underwriting, as characterized by the expansion of Section 20 activities among major U.S. commercial banking companies. Traditional securities underwriters view entry into the syndicated loan market similarly. For example, no investment bank had a syndicated loan underwriting department in 1994, but several are now making inroads into the market, especially the leveraged market, and some increased their syndicated loan volume fivefold in 1997.

In some cases, the desire of commercial banks to move toward one-stop financial services and the resulting approaches to relationship management have affected the underwriting of loans to large commercial borrowers that have multiple financing and advisory service needs.

**Historical Perspective on Syndicated Loan Underwriting Trends**

Increased interest by investors in bank loans and strong competition for business resulted in syndicated lending at historically narrow spreads and on more liberal terms. Accordingly, the syndicated loan market was a borrowers’ market for much of the 1990s. As shown in Chart 2, the volume of syndicated loan originations increased almost fivefold between 1991 and 1997, with record volume levels achieved in each of these years. Much of the volume was driven by growth in the origination of loans for the purpose of refinancing existing debt, especially from 1995 to 1997, as borrowers took advantage of increased lender competition and investor demand to reduce funding costs and extend maturities. In some cases, borrowers were able to refinance loans obtained just months earlier at significant savings and more favorable terms.

Chart 3 shows that lending spreads compressed sharply from 1993 to 1997, particularly for lower-quality credits. LPC stated that “[e]xcessive competition has driven spreads and fees to all-time lows, with the investment grade market purely a relationship play.”

Consistent with the financial supermarket concept discussed above, as relationship lending proliferated, many lenders were evaluating transactions on the basis of overall relationship returns rather than individual transaction returns. Consequently, borrowers willing to offer an institution ancillary business, such as cash management, securities underwriting, or securitization services, were likely to receive more favorable loan pricing than borrowers seeking to execute just one loan deal.

During the same period, a clear trend toward weakened underwriting resulted in deteriorating risk/return rela-

---

**Footnotes**


After Several Years of Lengthening, the Average Maturity of New Investment-Grade Syndicated Loans Has Shortened in 1998

Origination Volume (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>364-day</th>
<th>Five-Year</th>
<th>Three/Four-Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Q98</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Loan Pricing Corporation

Global economic turmoil and the flight to quality that disrupted the capital markets during the third quarter of 1998 spilled over into the bank loan market and solidified a shift to a lenders’ market. LPC noted in its third-quarter 1998 review of syndicated lending that “[r]ates and fees are on the upswing meaning opportunistic refinancings…continue to dwindle. Concessions suddenly are going to lenders rather than borrowers, and volume continues the drop [from levels] seen earlier in the year.”11 Growth in leveraged lending also declined sharply as the number of institutional investors in the market fell by one-half from the second quarter.12

The shifting dynamics of the market in late 1998 were characterized by the aforementioned slowdown in originations, a sharp increase in pricing (see Chart 3, previous page), and evidence that underwriting had become more stringent. The volatility in credit markets resulted in deals being rescinded or incorporating “market flex” pricing language that enabled lenders to manage the yield requirements of investors due to changing yields on competing capital markets instruments. The influ-

11 Loan Pricing Corporation, Gold Sheets, Third Quarter 1998 Review, p. 3.
12 Ibid.
ence of the secondary market on new loan pricing became apparent as investors required underwriters to factor in higher secondary market yields. In addition, as shown in Chart 6, the Federal Reserve Board’s November 1998 Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey on Bank Lending Practices reported that a significant minority of surveyed lenders had tightened lending standards and terms for commercial loans to large and middle-market firms.\textsuperscript{13} On net, nearly 40 percent of domestic bank respondents had tightened lending standards for these borrowers for the three months ending November 30, and nearly half had increased pricing. These percentages are the highest reported since the last recession.

Underwriting was also influenced by increased borrower demand for bank loans—a secondary effect of the market volatility in late 1998. The aforementioned Federal Reserve Board survey noted an increased demand for bank commercial loans primarily as a result of shifts from other sources of credit, namely the bond and commercial paper markets. For example, one industry participant estimated that the loan market represented roughly 60 percent of capital market financing in January 1998, 40 percent in July as the high-yield bond market boomed, and nearly 100 percent in September as the bond markets stalled.\textsuperscript{14}

\textbf{Implications for Insured Institutions}

Although recent evidence suggests that some lenders have tightened standards and terms for loans to large commercial borrowers, market developments and underwriting trends over the past several years have implications for credit quality, earnings, and liquidity at institutions that hold or originate syndicated loans.

- A slowing economy and stress in industries exposed to weakened international economic conditions could result in increased losses during an economic downturn, especially for banks that are holding higher-risk syndicated loans. Although nonbank institutional investors hold the bulk of the riskier tranches of syndicated deals, some banks ventured into riskier, longer-term issues in response to narrow pricing on traditional loan pieces held by banks.\textsuperscript{15} Should liquidity become an issue in the secondary market, banks planning to sell these pieces may face losses. For example, as reflected in Chart 7, the rolling 52-week total return on the Goldman Sachs/LPC Liquid Leveraged Loan Index, which measures the performance of a diversified portfolio of the most actively traded performing leveraged loans, has fallen from over 8 percent in early 1998 to less than 4 percent in December 1998. Falling prices have caused reduced returns as required spreads on these credits have risen.

\textsuperscript{13} Large or middle market firms are those with annual sales greater than $50 million.


\textsuperscript{15} “Banks Compete with Funds in Buying Long-Term Tranches of Syndications,” \textit{American Banker}, March 19, 1997, p. 1.
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- **Downstream subscribers that purchased thinly priced or loosely structured loans may not be adequately compensated for risk.** This lack of compensation may be especially important for institutions that do not receive ancillary relationship income. Evidence suggests that downstream lenders became more willing to accept loans during the 1990s without receiving full documentation or making an independent credit analysis. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency reportedly attributed this trend to a desire to add loan volume coupled with comfort about company prospects because of the strong economy and strong corporate profits. As a result, on a risk-adjusted basis, the returns on these credits may hamper the performance of investing institutions.

- **Sustained reductions in syndicated loan liquidity may adversely affect revenues and increase percentages of loan amounts retained by active syndicating institutions.** If institutional investors remain withdrawn from the loan market for an extended period, syndicates may have increasing difficulty marketing deals, especially in the non-investment-grade segment. As a result, institutions dependent on revenues generated by this activity may face declining income as fewer deals are executed, or they may have to hold larger percentages of undersubscribed transactions. This situation may be further exacerbated by consolidation in the U.S. banking industry, which has combined several major syndicate agents in the 1990s and has reduced the number of potential downstream investment-grade subscribers in the market.

- **Rising demand from borrowers exploiting relative pricing in the loan and capital markets may have credit and liquidity implications for underwriting institutions.** Sustained volatility in the capital markets may increase the demand for bank loans and will likely significantly increase funding costs for many borrowers. For example, LPC recently compared loans that were extended to seven companies in early 1998 with similarly structured loans extended to the same companies after the third-quarter disruption in the capital markets. The analysis revealed significant increases in required yields, ranging from 112 to 388 basis points. Rising funding costs combined with a trend toward slower growth in corporate profits may reduce loan repayment capacity of borrowers in more troubled industries. In addition, banks that have extended liquidity backstops or backup lines of credit may be required to fund facilities that traditionally are not heavily used by borrowers. For example, without appropriate pricing adjustments, banks providing backup commercial paper loans may be called upon to fund these facilities as a result of volatility and relatively high spreads in the commercial paper market.

Increases in credit spreads on securities and syndicated loans, the recent rise in speculative corporate bond defaults, and slowing corporate profits may portend an increase in commercial credit problems for commercial banks. Now more than ever, those involved in bank risk management should pay close attention to fundamentals, including careful credit analysis, diversification, and maintenance of prudent underwriting standards. Attention to these fundamentals may help alleviate the need to overreact to sudden changes in the market environment.

---


Steven E. Cunningham, CFA, Senior Financial Analyst
Ronald L. Spieler, Chief, Regional Programs and Bank Analysis Section
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- The U.S. and New England economies continued to slow in late 1998, as weak global demand hindered net exports and manufacturing employment.

- Slower economic growth, declining margins, and the potential for increased credit risk may dampen revenue expansion and put downward pressure on earnings.

- Personal bankruptcies continue to climb, suggesting that consumer credit risk in the nation and New England will remain elevated in 1999.

- Subprime and high loan-to-value lending may increase in insured institutions as finance companies and other specialty lenders curtail lending in response to unfavorable capital market conditions.

Regional Economic and Banking Conditions

Economic Overview

Late last year it appeared that the Region’s economy was likely to continue to decelerate into 1999. As the Asian economic weakness spread around the globe in 1998, the manufacturing sector was the first to see the domestic effects, in curtailed exports and increased import competition. New England did not escape this slowdown. Employment growth in the Region, at least according to the preliminary results of the first 11 monthly establishment surveys, demonstrated a slowing trend from the prior year. In 1997, seasonally adjusted nonfarm employment rose by a monthly average of 15,000 for the Region and 282,000 for the nation. This pace slowed during the first 11 months of 1998, with the Region averaging only 7,000 net new jobs per month and the national rate falling to 226,000. Much of the slowing was due to a weakened hiring picture in the manufacturing sector. Seasonally adjusted manufacturing payrolls dipped 1.1 percent nationally between the end of 1997 and November 1998, and fell 1.6 percent in New England.

The pace of income growth also slackened in the Region during the first half of 1998. Per capita income rose 4.1 percent during the first six months of 1998, after gaining at a 5.2 percent rate for all of 1997. Even so, the pace of growth during the first half of 1998 matched the trend for the current expansion. All the Region’s states except Vermont had slower rates of income growth during the first half of 1998 than the prior year’s average (see Table 1). Maine’s pace fell the most, even dropping below the trend for this expansion. Despite the slower pace of income gains, consumer spending remained adequate to sustain economic growth nationally and in the Region through year-end. However, the advance in consumer spending is likely to be curtailed in 1999, given expectations that job and income gains will continue to decelerate.

Banking Overview

The Boston Region’s insured institutions reported strong results through the third quarter of 1998. Aggregate third-quarter return on assets (ROA) of 1.29 percent was in line with year-ago levels and remained well above the national average. Profitability was driven pri-

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1998 calculations based on population estimates from the New England Economic Project
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census
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primarily by a few of the Region’s largest banking organizations. The Region’s nine organizations with more than $5 billion in banking assets reported a collective ROA of 1.41 percent, 7 basis points higher than the year earlier period. Gains on the sale of assets at one institution were the primary reason for the increase. The Region’s remaining 412 insured institutions posted a collective ROA of 1.05 percent, down from 1.14 percent in the prior year, and the lowest level of profitability since third quarter 1996.

Continued margin compression arising from an unfavorable interest rate environment and strong competition for both loans and deposits is the primary reason for the erosion of earnings. The net interest margin (NIM) for these smaller institutions has fallen 16 basis points over the past four quarters and appears to be headed lower. The median NIM has fallen 20 basis points, suggesting that smaller institutions are being hit particularly hard by a flat yield curve that has persisted throughout 1998. Institutions operating in metropolitan areas have had greater average margin erosion than rural based institutions (17 basis points versus 14 basis points) despite operating with a NIM that is approximately 40 basis points below that of the rural institutions. The lower margin and more severe decline likely result from the greater level of competition in concentrated markets.

Asset quality indicators remain favorable, with the ratio of past-due loans to total loans falling by nearly 30 basis points from September 1997 levels, to 1.75 percent. Net charge-offs rose slightly over the past year, led primarily by consumer loans, but remain modest. Delinquencies and charge-offs continue to rise in both the credit card and other consumer loan categories, most notably at the Region’s credit card specialists. Credit cards have registered the greatest degree of deterioration, as card delinquencies and net charge-offs increased to 5.27 percent and 6.67 percent, respectively, both new highs for the Region. Net charge-offs also rose slightly for commercial loans.

Credit card and commercial loans, sectors evidencing signs of weakness, have been key areas targeted for growth at the Region’s larger organizations. For these organizations, which comprise two-thirds of the Region’s banking assets, credit cards and commercial loans grew 46 percent and 21 percent (adjusted for bank mergers and acquisitions), respectively, over the past year. The rapid growth in credit card outstandings resulted from large portfolio purchases by two of the Region’s major card issuers. Strong commercial loan growth was evident at most of these large banking organizations. Rapid growth has been a precursor to loan problems in the past and bears watching.

Credit Risk May Be Increasing in New England

Economic Growth May Slow on Weakness in Manufacturing Sector

Moving into 1999, most forecasters believed that economic growth would slow. The pace of economic expansion this year will again hinge on consumer spending and business investment, while government spending and investment are likely to contribute little to growth. Foreign trade is expected to remain the principal drag on the economy. As shown in Chart 1, personal consumption expenditures (“consumption”) have been the main contributor during the current expansion—68 percent of real gross domestic product growth arises from households’ spending on goods and services, excluding housing. The second largest contributor has been investments in plant and equipment by U.S.-based businesses (“nonresidential investment”). Much of the subtraction from growth caused by net exports (exports less imports) occurred after 1996, with the most pronounced effects in the first half of 1998.

The slowdown in overall employment growth during 1998 is entirely attributable to the manufacturing sector, which bore the brunt of slumping global demand. The negative trade picture could have been worse had the credit crunch in Asia not acted to restrain low-cost exports from flooding into the United States. Banking problems in Asia, resulting from massive defaults on real-estate backed loans, led to a sharply curtailed supply of capital. This curtailment limited the ability of Asian manufacturers to fund increased production and delivery of exports to the United States. In addition, significant imbalances in merchandise trade flows led to disruptions in oceanic shipping lines. However, signs in late 1998 pointed to an easing in the Asian credit crunch, and shipping companies have adjusted prices and schedules to accommodate the unbalanced trade flows.

Given expectations that global demand will remain restrained and that import competition will intensify for
U.S. producers, continued weakness in the manufacturing sector's employment picture seems likely this year. This weakness may raise the risk of consumer and business credit default for some local insured institutions in areas of New England with above-average reliance on manufacturing. In addition, insured institutions may be squeezed between this increase in credit risks (and thus costs) and a generally weaker outlook for revenue growth, as smaller employment and income gains, coupled with a weaker outlook for corporate profits, sideline the economy's main drivers: consumption and business investment.

To determine which locations in the Region are potentially more exposed to weak global demand through their reliance on manufacturing, it is useful to look at a map of New England counties showing the share of total employment in the manufacturing sector in those counties divided by the U.S. share. Map 1 illustrates this exposure, using data from WEFA for 1997. Certain rural counties, where paper mills and other factories are dominant employers, tend to have shares of manufacturing employment that greatly exceed the national average. A few counties have exposures more than double the national average. One of them, Sagadahoc County, Maine, is among that group due to the presence of Maine's largest employer, Bath Iron Works—but the shipyard's demand is not threatened by the current global slump. One area that is threatened by the potential for low-cost imports and has a manufacturing employment exposure double the national average, is Providence County, Rhode Island. Much of Rhode Island has exposure to jewelry manufacturing, toys and other miscellaneous manufacturing, industrial and office equipment producers, and shipbuilding. Some of these industries are more exposed to import competition than others. The other highly exposed counties are Sullivan County, New Hampshire (primarily the Sturm Ruger small arms factory, and paper and wool mills), and Essex County, Vermont (paper mills and furniture factories).

Other traditionally manufacturing-oriented areas in New England are at risk from global economic developments. For example, Bristol County, Massachusetts, has large employers in the electronic components, electrical equipment, and textiles industries; Worcester County's manufacturing jobs are clustered in abrasives, information technology, machine tools, industrial equipment, and instruments. In northeastern Vermont and western Maine, paper mills, producers of wood products, and footwear/apparel factories are among the dominant employers. The Region's many technology manufacturers (semiconductors, computers, networking gear, and semiconductor production and test equipment) suffered in 1998, mostly owing to declining export demand, while paper producers labored under depressed prices resulting from the sharp drop in global demand. Many of the Region's labor-intensive producers, such as jewelry, toys, textiles, apparel, leather goods, and footwear manufacturing, are likely to face the greatest increase in competitive pressures during 1999, as the global liquidity crisis recedes and 1998's imbalances in shipping are addressed.
Insured institutions with high concentrations of retail loans in counties with high manufacturing employment report just over 50 percent of loans in residential real estate and about 21 percent in other retail loans. With high retail loan concentration is defined as loans to individuals (excluding one- to four-family first liens) greater than 100 percent of core capital.

TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions in Manufacturing Areas Are Feeling Pressures of Economy</th>
<th>Sep-98</th>
<th>Sep-97</th>
<th>Sep-96</th>
<th>Sep-95</th>
<th>Sep-94</th>
<th>Sep-93</th>
<th>Sep-92</th>
<th>Sep-91</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RETURN ON ASSETS</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET INTEREST MARGIN</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOI/ASSETS</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NOI = Net Income before Securities Gains or Losses (Net of Tax)

Source: Bank & Thrift Call Reports

Personal Bankruptcy Trend Poses Credit Risk to Institutions

U.S. personal bankruptcy filings have been trending upward since the late 1970s, recently breaking records and exceeding 1 million for the third consecutive year. The number of annual filings declined in the beginning of the current expansion but resumed increasing in 1994. They are estimated to reach a record high of 1.4 million in 1998. For the first nine months of 1998, personal bankruptcies totaled 1.1 million, an increase of 3.9 percent over the same period in 1997.

The adverse trend in bankruptcy filings is also evident in the Region; however, rates remain lower than national levels (see Chart 2). Since 1994, regional bankruptcies have increased 75 percent, from 29,000 to an estimated 51,000 in 1998. Five of the six New England states had lower personal bankruptcies per 1,000 persons than the nation in 1998; the exception was Rhode Island, with an estimated 5.4 compared with 5.2 nationally. Only four counties in the Region had higher personal bankruptcies per 1,000 persons than the nation in 1998: New Haven, Connecticut; Kent and Providence, Rhode Island; and Belknap, New Hampshire. A slowdown in the manufacturing sector could increase bankruptcy rates in counties with high exposure.

The Region experienced a slight decline in filings in 1998 but may see an increase in 1999. The decline was led by decreases in Massachusetts and New Hampshire, with increases in the remaining states. The overall decline may be due to the decline in long-term interest rates in 1998. Lower rates provide significant opportunity for refinancing and consolidating high debt levels and may enable some consumers to avert bankruptcy.

A slowdown in the economy this year could accelerate personal bankruptcy filings. After the last recession in 1990-91, personal filings in the nation increased by 50 percent over prerecessionary levels, while New England filings increased by 250 percent. Personal bankruptcies could increase by the magnitude seen during past reces-
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sions, although the effects may not be as severe in a slowdown as they would in a recession.

Increases in bankruptcies have been linked to the rise in consumer debt and are highly correlated with rising consumer loan charge-off rates, increasing credit risk to some financial institutions. Levels of total consumer credit and revolving credit (credit cards) have continued to rise, as have consumer debt burden levels, throughout the current expansion. Financial institutions that are heavily involved in credit card and consumer loans may see an increase in charge-offs this year if the economy slows and consumer indebtedness and personal bankruptcies continue to rise.

With the exception of specialized credit card lenders, the Region’s insured institutions do not show significant signs of weakness in retail loans. These loans represent 14 percent of total loans, and that percentage has dropped about 1 percent per year in each of the past three years. Credit card loans make up less than 1 percent of loans in these institutions. Past-due retail loans and charge-offs are declining in these institutions, as shown in Chart 3, while the Region’s specialized lenders and the nation as a whole are experiencing rising delinquencies and charge-offs. Boston Region-based credit unions, typically strong consumer lenders, reflect no adverse trends in consumer credit quality.

While current credit quality indicators do not suggest a developing consumer credit problem for most of the Region’s insured institutions, indirect indicators suggest that the financial health of consumers is becoming more tenuous. Rising bankruptcies and consumer losses, already at record levels in what are considered good economic times, suggest that growing numbers of consumers are living a credit-driven lifestyle that could result in significantly higher levels of bankruptcies and consumer loan losses should the economy weaken. It is unlikely that the consumer problems would be limited to the portfolios of specialty lenders and finance companies, many of which are extending credit to the same customers who have financed homes and other consumer goods at insured institutions throughout the Region.

**Will Subprime or High LTV Lending Be Attractive to Banks?**

Lower interest rates have allowed homeowners to refinance mortgage debt and reduce monthly debt service levels, and the advantage of tax-deductible mortgage interest also has prompted many borrowers to consolidate credit card and other consumer debt into mortgage loans, including those with high loan-to-value (LTV) ratios. While uninsured financial companies have been the major originators of high LTV loans, recent market conditions brought on by a liquidity crunch have caused many of these lenders to cut back origination of such loans despite record mortgage originations and strong customer demand. Capital market conditions have also negatively affected subprime lenders. Banks and thrifts may be poised to move into these rapidly growing markets since the nonbank lenders have been forced to curtail their lending activities. Demand from consumers is still strong, and banks may be attracted by the higher yields that these higher-risk loans offer. While there is relatively little involvement in high loan-to-value mortgage lending or subprime origination in the Region’s insured institutions at present, insured institutions should proceed cautiously if undertaking this type of lending, particularly at a time when economic conditions appear to be softening.

*Boston Regional Staff*
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Commercial Real Estate Update

While many national markets are in danger of over-building, the Region’s commercial real estate (CRE) markets sustained moderate growth in 1998. Stock market fluctuations and world economic turmoil curtailed investment in the third quarter. With the lack of available funding, developers halted construction, limiting completed projects. Low supply, coupled with sustained demand for office and industrial space, contributed to low vacancy rates and strong rent growth throughout the Region.

Regional markets have been following the same trends as reported in previous Regional Outlook articles, with low office and industrial vacancy rates. The Boston Region has remained strong, with a 5.7 percent office vacancy rate in the third quarter, down from 6.2 percent in 1997. Hartford office and industrial vacancy rates have improved also, decreasing to 17.8 percent and 8.6 percent from 18.6 percent and 9.7 percent, respectively, over the same period. Office vacancy rates are forecast to increase slightly in suburban Boston this year, as job growth slows and numerous projects are completed.

Net absorption has been positive throughout the Region. In Boston, the 2.1 million net square feet absorbed through the third quarter of 1998 surpassed 1997 year-end totals. A report from Grubb & Ellis noted that most of the 3 million square feet of new space built and occupied was in the suburbs of Boston. As many firms consolidate their operations into built-to-suit space, vacancy rates in some suburban markets may increase in 1999. Even so, rents are not likely to fall; rather, the rapid pace of increase will slow.

The Region’s insured institutions remain relatively exposed to CRE loans, although concentration levels are much lower than in previous years. While national exposure to construction and development loans increased from 1997 to 1998, exposure to all real estate loans, including unfunded commitments, decreased in the Region. For further analysis on the CRE markets in New England, see Regional Outlook, fourth quarter 1998.

Source: CB Commercial/Haver
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