

October 15, 2010

Mr. Robert E. Feldman, Executive Secretary Attention: Comments Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17<sup>th</sup> Street, NW Washington, DC 20429

RE: FDIC rule: RIN 3064-AD37

Dear Mr. Feldman:

I am writing to respectfully request that the FDIC delay the proposed required notification requirements relative to IOLTA account holders of the proposed rule to implement the section of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) in order to allow the time necessary for Congress to take action on this matter.

Since 1958, the Legal Aid Society of San Mateo County has provided free civil legal services to low-income individuals, families, seniors, adults, teens and children in San Mateo County. Legal Aid is a 501(c)(3) organization that offers individual representation, community education, systemic advocacy and strategic collaborative approaches to address legal issues and resolve problems in important areas of basic need including access to government benefits, housing, healthcare, special education and disability rights, and domestic violence/family law matters. Core programs address legal needs identified as most important to our community through periodic surveys of the client population and community agencies

California legal services organizations are concerned that detriment to the IOLTA program will occur if this Regulation takes effect before Congressional action. In California, there are approximately \$2.2 billion in balances in over 41,000 IOLTA accounts with over 75,000 attorneys participating in the IOLTA program. If financial institutions are required to notify individual account holders of IOLTA accounts that their account will no longer be eligible for full FDIC coverage after this year, attorneys will be faced with a dilemma as to whether to move their IOLTA funds to a non-interest bearing account, which is not permitted under California statute, move their accounts to a bank that is "to big to fail," or split their funds between banks in order to maximize insurance coverage – an impractical solution because the funds are short-term and because attorneys do not know what other funds their clients may have at the bank. The potential loss of IOLTA revenue could have devastating consequences to a significant source of funding for civil legal aid to the indigent in California and would be disruptive to attorneys, banks, and nonprofit recipients of IOLTA funding.

In order to prevent any negative effects and facilitate uninterrupted full coverage for IOLTA accounts, I respectfully request the FDIC delay the proposed required notification requirements relative to IOLTA account holders, allowing Congress the time necessary to take action on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lauren Zorfas Executive Director