M B C A

MID-SIZE BANK COALITION OF AMERICA

A	associated Bank	
В	Bank of Hawaii	Robert E. Feldman Executive Secretary Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 550 17 th Street, NW Washington, DC 20429
(City National Bank	
0	Commerce Bancshares, Inc.	
F	First Hawaiian Bank	Re: Assessments: Assessment Base and Rates (RIN 3064–AD66) Assessments: Large Bank Pricing (RIN 3064–AD66)
F	First Horizon National Corporation	Dear Mr. Feldman:
F	FROST NATIONAL BANK	On behalf of the Midsize Bank Coalition of America (MBCA), I am
F	Fulton Financial Corporation	writing to express support for the above cited proposals by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") to revise its methodology for calculating
C	OLD NATIONAL	deposit insurance assessments. ¹
Р	PEOPLE'S UNITED BANK	By way of background, the Midsize Bank Coalition of America (MBCA) is an <i>ad hoc</i> group formed for the purpose of providing the perspectives of midsize banks on financial regulatory reform to regulators and legislators. The
R	Raymond James Bank	
S	Silicon Valley Bank	19 institutions that comprise the MBCA operate a total of 2,800 branches in 39 states, Washington D.C. and three U.S. territories. Their combined assets
T	CF FINANCIAL CORPORATION	exceed \$315 billion (ranging from \$7 to \$25 billion) and, together, they employ more than 58,000 people. These institutions hold nearly \$230 billion in deposits and total heavy of more than \$100 billion.
Т	THE PRIVATE BANK	and total loans of more than \$190 billion.
Т	RUSTMARK CORPORATION	We appreciate the hard work of the staff and the challenges that the FDIC faces as it seeks to implement its Restoration Plan for the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF). In this regard, we believe that the above-referenced proposals would implement a fairer and more workable assessment system that should ensure that the DIF is adequately funded on a going-forward basis. However, as noted below, we respectfully request that the FDIC reconsider its proposed definition of "leveraged loans" for purposes of determining assessments.
U	JMB Financial Corporation	
V	Valley National Bank	
V	Webster Bank	
V	Whitney Holding Corporation	

555 South Flower Street, 9th Floor | Los Angeles | California | 90071

701 8th Street, N.W. Suite 700 | Washington, D.C. | 20001

¹ Assessments, Assessment Base and Rates, 75 FR 72582 (Nov. 24, 2010); Assessments, Large Bank Pricing, 75 FR 72612 (Nov. 24, 2010).

Mr. Robert E. Feldman 30 December 2010 Page Two

In the past, assessments have been calculated primarily by reference to the amount of a bank's insured deposits and they have not, in our view, adequately taken into account the nature and extent of other activities and liabilities that a bank and its affiliates may engage in that potentially create greater risk. Certain banks and bank holding companies that engage in diverse and complex business activities have therefore benefitted disproportionately and inappropriately because while their activities pose greater risks to the DIF and they have less traditional funding sources, the amounts that they pay to fund it are not proportionately higher than the amounts paid by smaller banks that pose less risk and/or have a more conservative source of funding.

Together, the proposed rule changes would improve upon this situation by calculating assessments by reference to a bank's total assets and by reference to the risks that it presents to the DIF. In this regard, we note and applaud the fact that assessments for large and complex banks would also depend on the ability of the bank in question to withstand asset- and liquidity-related stresses, as well as the potential effect on the DIF if the institution were to fail. At the same time, the FDIC would retain a limited ability to make adjustments for large banks in order to reflect quantitative or qualitative measures that are not adequately captured in scorecards.² We believe that this is a much more appropriate methodology that will facilitate a fairer playing field for banks of all sizes and more equitably fund the DIF. Accordingly, we strongly support the two proposals noted above and urge their implementation.

However, we note that for purposes of determining concentration risk and calculating assessments, both of the proposals would define "leveraged loans" to include, among others, commercial loans with a balance sheet leverage ratio higher than 50% or loans where a transaction resulted in an increase in the leverage ratio of more than 75%. In addition, loans that were not deemed to be leveraged at origination could become "leveraged" if they subsequently are deemed to satisfy these criteria. ³ We believe these measures may discourage small business lending because a small entity's balance sheet may be affected greatly by matters unrelated to loan quality (such as fluctuations in real estate values). Moreover, many, if not most, community banks do not gather this sort of balance sheet data, but rely on credit and loan scores. We believe that in order to determine whether a loan – especially a small business loan -- should be

555 South Flower Street, 9th Floor | Los Angeles | California | 90071

701 8TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 700 | WASHINGTON, D.C. | 20001

² Assessments, Large Bank Pricing, supra, n. 1.

³ Assessments, Assessment Base and Rates, 75 FR 72607-72608; Assessments, Large Bank Pricing, 75 FR 72648-72649.

Mr. Robert E. Feldman 30 December 2010 Page Three

deemed "leveraged," the FDIC should look at a number of factors and qualitative matters, including, but not limited to, the credit score of the borrower (and any guarantor), as well as the nature and extent of any security. This would reflect a more realistic assessment of the risk profile of any given loan, would increase lending and would, rightfully, reduce the number of "leveraged loans."

We appreciate this opportunity to provide you with our comments and look forward to discussing these matters with you in the near future.

Yours Truly,

Sechel

Russell Goldsmith Chairman, Midsize Bank Coalition of America Chairman and CEO, City National Bank

cc: Sheila C. Bair, Chairman Martin J. Gruenberg, Vice Chairman Thomas J. Curry, Director John Walsh, Director John E. Bowman, Director

> Rose Kushmeider Christopher Bellotto Sheikha Kapoor Lisa Ryu Christine Bradley Brenda Bruno Robert L. Burns

Jack Barnes, People's United Bank William Cooper, TCF Financial Corp. Dick Evans, Frost National Bank

555 South Flower Street, 9th Floor | Los Angeles | California | 90071

701 8th Street, N.W. Suite 700 | Washington, D.C. | 20001

Philip Flynn, Associated Bank Richard Hickson, Trustmark Corp. Peter Ho, Bank of Hawaii John Hope, Whitney Holding Corp. Don Horner, First Hawaii Bank Bryan Jordan, First Horizon National Corp. David Kemper, Commerce Bancshares, Inc. Mariner Kemper, UMB Financial Corp. Gerald Lipkin, Valley National Bank Steven Raney, Raymond James Bank Larry Richman, The Private Bank James Smith, Webster Bank Scott Smith, Fulton Financial Corp. Ken Wilcox, Silicon Valley Bank Mike Cahill, City National Bank Brent Tjarks, City National Bank

Mark Siegel, Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell Richard Alexander, Arnold & Porter LLP Andrew Shipe, Arnold & Porter LLP