From: Stanley Tucker [mailto:stucker@fmbanklafayette.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 01, 2009 10:11 AM

To: Comments

Subject: FW: FDIC SPECIAL ASSESSMENT



April 1, 2009

Mr. Robert E. Feldman
Executive Secretary
Attn: Comments
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20429

Via email: <u>comments@fdic.gov</u>

File Reference: Assessments, RIN 3064-AD35

Dear Mr. Feldman:

FDIC Special Assessment – 20-Basis Points? 10-Basis Points?

On top of FICO 1.2 basis points – That doesn't pay out to 2012?

All of this on top of increase in the normal assessment?

Community Banks are being unfairly penalized!

We are paying our own insurance premiums, being forced to pay approximately ½ of the S&L bailout through the FICO assessment and now being asked to pay a 10-20 basis point special assessment to reimburse the fund for the losses it has incurred due mostly to loss from the "Too Big to Fail" banks, but also from the "Too Small to Save". Merrill Lynch brought in a huge deposit base, yet paid a one time assessment and was allowed to become fully insured – other large banks chartered were allowed to do similarly, where as Community Banks have paid on their deposit base for years. Also "Too Big to Fail" banks have large foreign deposits that are insured, but that they are not required to pay any premiums on them. They should be made to pay premiums on those deposits. Base

the assessment on assets less tangible capital, not domestic deposits, so large banks will be paying their fair share. FDIC should be required to levy a systemic risk premium on "Too Big to Fail Banks" based on their increased risk to the system.

With our current deposit base of \$82,000,000, we estimate that the 20 basis point special assessment would erase nearly 20% of our net income for the year. Our net interest margin is already being squeezed due to the low interest rate environment having to give lower rates and loans and trying to pay as much as interest as possible on our deposits.

In the past our bank has pretty much ignored the assessment factor in the interest rate and service charges levied on our customers. Due to the economy and the pressure on retained earnings we will have to pass the assessment charge through to our customers. This additional cost could cause the consumer to think longer and harder about borrowing.

Because of pressure on retained earnings any "special" assessment should be allowed to be amortized over a period of years.

In closing, I urge FDIC to explore all alternatives for funding the DIF in lieu of the special assessment. Community Banks in this country did not cause this crisis, but they are set up to pay a hefty price if this special assessment is imposed.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak to you on this subject.

Sincerely,

Stanley T Tucker President/CEO

- * This message is intended only for specified recipients. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing, or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. This communication represents the originator's personal views, which may not reflect those of Farmers & Merchants Bank. If you received this email in error, please immediately notify postmaster@fmbanklafayette.com. *
- * This message is intended only for specified recipients. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing, or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. This communication represents the originator's personal views, which may not reflect those of Farmers & Merchants Bank. If you received this email in error, please immediately notify postmaster@fmbanklafayette.com. *